

# Agriculture

# Projects Summary

(\$ in thousands)

Project Title	Rank	Fund	Project Requests for State Funds			Gov's Rec	Gov's Planning Estimates	
			2018	2020	2022	2018	2020	2022
MDA\MDH Laboratory Building Infrastructure Improvements and Renovation	1	GO	19,901	0	0	19,901	0	0
		GF	720	0	0	0	0	0
Rural Finance Authority Loans	2	GO	20,000	0	0	20,000	0	0
Laboratory Capital Equipment	3	GF	1,041	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Project Requests</b>			<b>41,662</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39,901</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>General Obligation Bonds (GO) Total</b>			<b>39,901</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39,901</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>General Fund Cash (GF) Total</b>			<b>1,761</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

[www.mda.state.mn.us](http://www.mda.state.mn.us)

### AT A GLANCE

- 450 employees across the state
- Analyzed over 5300 dairy product samples and 2700 meat and poultry samples in partnership with the MDA Laboratory Division
- Conducted over 6,700 inspections of retail and manufactured food facilities and over 300 commercial animal food facilities
- Certified 1.8 billion pounds of ag products as plant pest free for export to 68 countries by 112 MN companies
- 41 species of invasive and noxious weeds regulated, controlled or eradicated
- Annual \$10.235 million state investment matched by \$60.3 million in private investment with estimated 980 jobs created through AGRI program
- More than 1,300 farms and famers' markets licensed to use the Minnesota Grown logo
- In partnership with 87 counties, collected over 525,000 pounds of waste pesticides in 2016

### PURPOSE

**Our mission is to enhance Minnesotans' quality of life by ensuring the integrity of our food supply, the health of our environment, and the strength of our agricultural economy.**

The Minnesota Department of Agriculture (MDA) provides many services to Minnesota farmers, their consumers, and the Agriculture economy. Among our many efforts, we:

- Invest in farmers through education and grants aimed at modernizing the family farm,
- Invest in the future of the agricultural economy with grants for research and value-added processing,
- Ensure that state and federal regulations for food and health safety are followed,
- Educate producers, suppliers, and consumers on proper production and handling of food products,
- Educate Minnesotans about environmental hazards to keep our farms, homes, businesses and neighborhoods safe,
- Promote the consumption of Minnesota-grown foods, and
- Promote the export of Minnesota crops and livestock.

We support the following statewide outcomes:

- A thriving economy that encourages business growth and employment opportunities
- All Minnesotans have optimal health
- People in Minnesota are safe
- A clean, healthy environment with sustainable uses of natural resources

### BUDGET

Our budget comes from three primary sources. The agency's primary funding resource is fee revenues. These revenues must be spent to support the activities from which they are collected and currently make up over one third of the agency's budget. The state's General Fund is also a large part of the agency's funding at roughly one third of the budget. A significant amount of the general fund budget passes through MDA in AGRI (Agricultural Growth, Research and Innovation) and other assistance programs. Federal funds currently account for about 10 percent of MDA's budget.

### STRATEGIES

We use a range of regulatory and voluntary strategies to support our mission. This includes the use of registration, labeling, licensing, permitting, inspection and enforcement efforts. Additionally, we coordinate and conduct outreach activities such as workshops, conferences, and field demonstration projects.

Our staff conducts inspections and verifies samples to ensure that producers, processors, wholesalers, haulers, grocery and convenience stores and other industry personnel are producing and handling dairy, food, meat and feed products in a safe manner to protect them from unintended alteration and contamination.

We ensure that Minnesota plant products meet the import requirements of our trading partners, meet grading standards established in contracts, that seeds meet viability and purity standards, that general health standards are met for nursery stock, and that standards for freedom from harmful plant pests are met.

Our marketing and promotional initiatives offer producers technical and financial assistance on a variety of issues, including dairy and livestock development, business planning, and emerging water quality and conservation practices.

Our staff facilitate access to markets through the Minnesota Grown Directory, international trade market research and client support for trade missions and export assistance. We also support the organic industry through education, direct financial support, and skill development.

---

[Minnesota Statutes Chapter 17](https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=17), (<https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/?id=17>) creates the Department of Agriculture and provides specific authority to the commissioner.

### At A Glance

The Department of Agriculture uses capital funding to support its goals of

- Protecting the food supply, natural environment and human health
- Maintaining an ability to assist with emergencies involving the agricultural sector
- Developing the state's agricultural resources

### Factors Impacting Facilities or Capital Programs

The Department of Agriculture has significant responsibility in planning for the needs of the state buildings it occupies, especially the MDA/MDH Laboratory building located at 601 Robert St N in St. Paul. The activities conducted by the MDA Laboratory Services Division employ very sophisticated equipment and procedures which require specialized building space with unique utilities, tight controls over temperature, air flow, and safety devices. Factors that drive capital needs for the Lab include ongoing technological developments, evolving threats to public safety and health, the natural environment, and the food supply. Additionally, quality control requirements drive needs for the reliability and regular replacement of the lab's capital assets.

In addition, MDA uses capital funding in the implementation of the Rural Finance Authority loan programs. The bonding used in support of these programs is user-financed, however new bonding authorizations must be enacted to keep the loan programs funded. Factors currently increasing demand for these programs include the escalating interest rate environment and a recent change in statute that allows people with net worth to qualify for these programs.

### Self-Assessment of Agency Facilities and Assets

Analytical capital equipment costs required to meet changing and emergency needs of the MDA Laboratory Services Division go beyond levels that can be supported within the regular operating budgets of the department. Capital equipment constitutes core infrastructure to run tests of the complexity needed to address current issues faced in the agricultural sector and is in need of funding so the department can meet the critical needs anticipated in the coming years.

The MDA moved into new office and laboratory facilities in the fall of 2005. These facilities are shared with the Department of Health (MDH) and provide excellent opportunities for the two agencies to improve their productivity and to work collaboratively on areas of mutual concern. In the fall of 2015 the Department of Administration initiated the retro-commissioning of the MDA/MDH laboratory facility to determine if the fundamental building systems were operating as required to deliver functional and efficient performance to meet the two agency's current facility requirements and the original basis of design. The results of the retro-commissioning study highlighted several building infrastructure improvements required to make this facility function correctly and safely.

### Agency Process for Determining Capital Requests

All divisions within MDA were surveyed to assess whether there are pressing capital needs or opportunities where capital investment could generate operational savings. The recently completed a retro-commissioning study and its findings was given top consideration given their impact on the Agency's mission to protect the food supply, environment, and human health. Additional consideration was given to the urgency of addressing potential threats to Minnesota's agricultural activities.

### Major Capital Projects Authorized in 2016 and 2017

In 2017, \$35,000,000 was appropriated for the agricultural loan programs of the Rural Finance Authority.

(\$ in thousands)

**MDA|MDH Laboratory Building Infrastructure Improvements and Renovation****AT A GLANCE****2018 Request Amount:** \$20,621**Priority Ranking:** 1

**Project Summary:** The Agriculture and Health departments are jointly seeking capital funding of \$20.62 million to correct safety, energy, and operational efficiency problems at the MDA/MDH Laboratory Building in Saint Paul. Architectural, mechanical, and electrical improvements are needed throughout the building to support critical laboratory testing in the areas of emergency response, food safety, infectious diseases, homeland security, and environmental contaminants.

**Project Description**

The Minnesota Department of Agriculture/Minnesota Department of Health (MDA/MDH) Lab Building is an 181,000 square-foot building completed in 2005. Periodic retro-commissioning is a recommended best practice for these type of facilities to ensure the building and its various systems are performing as originally designed and provides a stable environment for laboratory testing.

Sebesta, an NV5 Global Company, recently completed a retro-commissioning study to answer those questions. Retro-commissioning is a process that evaluates and improves the way building equipment and systems work together. Depending on the age of a building, the retro-commissioning process can uncover problems associated with design or construction, or problems that developed throughout the building's life. The results of the Sebesta retro-commissioning study highlighted several building infrastructure improvements needed to make the MDA/MDH Lab building function correctly and safely. The recommendations include general architectural, mechanical, and electrical improvements throughout the building to correct safety, energy, and operational efficiency problems.

Required corrections and improvements include:

- Replacing deteriorated ductwork to reduce the risk of liquid and air contamination of laboratory spaces and to reduce the need to operate exhaust systems beyond design parameters.
- Updating exhaust and airflow systems to ensure that lab air contaminants do not enter office and meeting spaces.
- Repairing and replacing components of HVAC systems to improve efficiency and to ensure safe and proper conditions for laboratory testing.
- Redesigning laboratory spaces to minimize the risk of cross contamination of samples.
- Create a dedicated biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory space needed to test food for threat agents such as anthrax, plague, and ricin that meets federal standards and allows for safe handling of select agents.
- Installing a centralized, building-wide uninterruptable power supply to ensure critical laboratory equipment does not shut down during a power outage.

- Performing standard 10-year maintenance on building systems to protect the value of this \$60 million asset.

While MDA and MDH rely on a variety of standard operating procedures to mitigate these risks, the improvements identified in the retro-commissioning study are a more efficient and effective means to ensure laboratory testing is accurate.

Work will include bidding, construction administration, construction, commissioning, and post-construction phases.

## **Project Rationale**

The MDA/MDH laboratories play a critical role in protecting human health, the environment, and the agricultural economy in Minnesota. Much of the testing performed by these laboratories is not available at other laboratories and requires the use of sophisticated, up-to-date facilities and instrumentation. Routine testing includes screening of food, water, human clinical specimens, and a variety of other samples to identify environmental risks, infectious diseases, threats to our food and water supplies, and other public health threats. The labs perform testing and analyses to:

- Ensure a safe, secure food supply free of pesticides, food-borne pathogens, and environmental contaminants by testing food samples and investigating foodborne disease outbreaks.
- Detect, investigate, and control infectious diseases like Ebola, Zika, and measles.
- Monitor bacteria and viruses to look for alarming trends such as resistance of microorganisms to antimicrobial agents or vaccines used to treat and prevent illness.
- Protect the environment and drinking water from hazardous chemicals, radioactive substances, pharmaceutical compounds, and misuse of agricultural chemicals.
- Detect rare but treatable disorders in newborns, so they can receive treatment to prevent illness, physical disability, or death.
- Detect and respond to potential biological and chemical terrorism threats, such as anthrax and nerve agents.

In order to perform advanced testing on these demanding organisms and chemicals, the MDA/MDH laboratories must employ sophisticated equipment and procedures that require highly specialized facilities. As a consequence, the state invested \$60 million to construct a state-of-the-art laboratory facility to support these important public services. This facility, if operating properly, provides a safe environment for staff processing and handling specimens and samples through the use of fume hoods, biosafety cabinets, and engineering controls to maintain proper directional air flow. Proper functioning of the facility provides analysts confidence that they are not being inadvertently exposed to harmful substances and helps ensure that data generated within the facility is accurate and not at risk from cross contamination, which could affect patient health and negatively impact the regulated community. The concerns identified in the retro-commissioning study must be corrected to ensure the building meets current functionality requirements and can be operated safely and efficiently well into the future. This proposal contains a request for additional dollars to contract the moving expenses associated with sensitive high end scientific equipment and the subsequent calibrations and verification of their performance.

## **Project Timeline**

- May 2015 – Project initiated for retro-commissioning building
- June 2015 – Consultant RFP to SDSB
- Nov 2015 – Sebesta (NV5) selected through SDSB for retro-commissioning and potentially design

- Aug 2016 – Winter Fixes bid package for immediate repairs
- Dec 2016 – Retro-commissioning report finalized
- Mar 2017 – Schematic Design package completed
- June 14, 2017 – Design Development package completed
- Jun 15, 2017 – Capital Budget Request submittal
- Sep 2017 – CM at Risk under contract for Pre-Construction Services
- Mar 2018 – Construction Documents (bid docs) 95% complete
- May 2018 – Bond bill approval
- Jun 2018 – Construction Documents (bid docs) 100% complete
- Jul 2018 – Subcontractor bidding
- Aug 2018 – GMP execution
- Sep 2018 – Tentative start of on-site construction
- Jun 2020 – Tentative substantial completion of construction

**Other Considerations**

N/A

**Impact on Agency Operating Budgets**

The Department of Administration will increase lease rates charged to MDA and MDH for the life of the bonds to recover debt service costs. Staff and equipment will need to be relocated within the building multiple times during the project to maintain highly-specialized laboratory testing that cannot be performed elsewhere. These relocation costs are not bondable.

**Description of Previous Appropriations**

N/A

**Project Contact Person**

Ryan Allen  
 Pricipal Project Manager  
 651-201-2392  
 ryan.allen@state.mn.us

**Capitol Area Architectural and Planning Board Review**

The Capitol Area Architectural and Planning Board (CAAPB) supports this request, recognizing that much if not all the work will affect only the interior of the building and that any work requiring design changes to the exterior will require CAAPB review and approval.

**Governor's Recommendation**

The Governor recommends \$19.901 million in general obligation bonds for this request.

# Agriculture

# Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

## MDA|MDH Laboratory Building Infrastructure Improvements and Renovation

### PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Years	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
<b>State Funds Requested</b>				
General Obligation Bonds	\$ 0	\$ 19,901	\$ 0	\$ 0
General Fund Cash	\$ 0	\$ 720	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>Funds Already Committed</b>				
<b>Pending Contributions</b>				
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 20,621</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

### TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior Years	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
Property Acquisition	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Predesign Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Design Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Project Management	\$ 0	\$ 1,110	\$ 0	\$ 0
Construction	\$ 0	\$ 17,320	\$ 0	\$ 0
Relocation Expenses	\$ 0	\$ 720	\$ 0	\$ 0
One Percent for Art	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Occupancy Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Inflationary Adjustment	\$ 0	\$ 1,471	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 20,621</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

### IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
IT Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0

### SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 19,901	100 %
User Financing	\$ 0	0 %

## STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

Is this project exempt from legislative review under M.S. 16B.335 subd. 1a?	No
<b>Predesign Review (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 3):</b>	
Does this request include funding for predesign?	No
Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration?	Yes
Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration?	Yes
<b>Will the project design meet the Sustainable Building Guidelines under M.S. 16B.325?</b>	Yes
<b>Will the project designs meet applicable requirements and guidelines for energy conservation and alternative energy sources (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 4 and 16B.32)?</b>	Yes
<b>Have Information Technology Review Preconditions been met (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 5 &amp; 6 and 16E.05 subd. 3)?</b>	Yes
<b>Will the project meet public ownership requirements (M.S. 16A.695)?</b>	Yes
<b>Will a use agreement be required (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 2)?</b>	No
<b>Will program funding be reviewed and ensured (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 5)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the matching funds requirements be met (M.S. 16A.86 subd. 4)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the project be fully encumbered prior to the Cancellation Deadline (M.S. 16A.642): December 31, 2022?</b>	Yes
<b>M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required</b>	N/A
<b>M.S. 174.93: Guideway Project</b>	
Is this a Guideway Project?	No
Is the required information included in this request?	N/A

(\$ in thousands)

## Rural Finance Authority Loans

**AT A GLANCE****2018 Request Amount:** \$20,000**Priority Ranking:** 2**Project Summary:** Authorization to sell general obligation bonds totaling \$20 million. Proceeds from the bond sales will be used to support loan programs administered by the Minnesota Rural Finance Authority (RFA).**Project Description**

The RFA will purchase a forty-five percent (45%) interest in the lender's first mortgage (up to \$400,000) to an eligible farmer under the Basic, Seller Assisted and Agriculture Improvement Loan Programs.

The RFA will purchase a forty-five percent (45%) interest in the lender's first mortgage (up to \$525,000) to an eligible farmer under the Restructure II and Livestock Expansion Loan Programs.

The participation interest is set up on a reduced interest rate to improve the farmer's cash flow and share the risk of making the loan with the lender.

**Project Rationale**

The Minnesota Rural Finance Authority was created in 1986 to develop the state's agricultural sector by partnering with local lenders to offer credit to farmers on terms and conditions not otherwise available.

The RFA saw a sharp increase in loan volume in the first part of 2017, receiving loan requests in the first 5 months that are closer to an average year's worth of requests. RFA loan rates remain low in an escalating interest rate environment, making RFA participations financially attractive to farmers. This has spurred a number of new expansions of livestock facilities and restructuring of debt. The Beginning Farmer program has also seen a high level of interest and usage. Application volume may as much as double by August of 2017 due to the recent change in statute that allows for almost double the maximum net worth farmers can have in order to qualify for our programs. It is likely that the new limits and the continued lower than market interest rates will drive robust future demand for these programs.

**Project Timeline**

Ongoing

**Other Considerations**

Principal and interest received on loan participations are deposited into a fund for the redemption of bonds issued under the various programs and may not be used to fund further loans. Annually, around December 1, these funds are transferred to the Minnesota Management and Budget Debt Service Fund for bond redemption and interest payments on the bonds for the following year.

**Impact on Agency Operating Budgets**

There is no change to annual operating budgets.

**Description of Previous Appropriations**

Laws of Minnesota for 2017, Chapter 4, appropriated \$35 million in general obligation bonds.

**Project Contact Person**

Whitney Place  
Director of Government Affairs  
651-201-6480  
whitney.place@state.mn.us

**Governor's Recommendation**

The Governor recommends \$20 million in general obligation-user financed bonds for this request.

# Agriculture

# Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

## Rural Finance Authority Loans

### PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Years	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
<b>State Funds Requested</b>				
General Obligation Bonds	\$ 35,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>Funds Already Committed</b>				
<b>Pending Contributions</b>				
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 35,000</b>	<b>\$ 20,000</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

### TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior Years	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
Property Acquisition	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Predesign Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Design Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Project Management	\$ 35,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
Construction	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Relocation Expenses	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
One Percent for Art	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Occupancy Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Inflationary Adjustment	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 35,000</b>	<b>\$ 20,000</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

### IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
IT Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0

### SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 0	0 %
User Financing	\$ 20,000	100 %

**STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS**

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

<b>Is this project exempt from legislative review under M.S. 16B.335 subd. 1a?</b>	Yes
<b>Predesign Review (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 3):</b>	
Does this request include funding for predesign?	N/A
Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration?	N/A
Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration?	N/A
<b>Will the project design meet the Sustainable Building Guidelines under M.S. 16B.325?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the project designs meet applicable requirements and guidelines for energy conservation and alternative energy sources (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 4 and 16B.32)?</b>	N/A
<b>Have Information Technology Review Preconditions been met (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 5 &amp; 6 and 16E.05 subd. 3)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the project meet public ownership requirements (M.S. 16A.695)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will a use agreement be required (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 2)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will program funding be reviewed and ensured (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 5)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the matching funds requirements be met (M.S. 16A.86 subd. 4)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the project be fully encumbered prior to the Cancellation Deadline (M.S. 16A.642): December 31, 2022?</b>	Yes
<b>M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required</b>	N/A
<b>M.S. 174.93: Guideway Project</b>	
Is this a Guideway Project?	No
Is the required information included in this request?	N/A

**Laboratory Capital Equipment****AT A GLANCE****2018 Request Amount:** \$1,041**Priority Ranking:** 3**Project Summary:** \$1.041 million is requested for Laboratory Capital Equipment to maintain and increase the analytical capability of the Laboratory Services Division of the Department of Agriculture at its facility at 601 Robert St. North in St. Paul.**Project Description**

The laboratory plays a critical role in emergency response and must maintain a broad array of equipment to provide data critical for decision making on issues affecting food safety and security, the environment and to the overall agricultural economy. The nature of laboratory work has evolved in the last twenty years to require even more sophisticated laboratory equipment to meet program goals and objectives. Many of the most common analytical techniques employed within the laboratory require significant capital investment in laboratory equipment. This equipment is required to meet regulatory standards and the stated program goals and objectives of our customers. The costs associated with some of these systems can range up to \$500,000 each. Laboratory capital equipment in the Laboratory Services Division continues to reach its expected service life faster than it can be replaced. This initiative would replace critical capital equipment, which is near or at the end of their service life and add additional new capability, which has been requested. Specifically, this effort provides for equipment totaling \$1,041,000.

**Project Rationale**

Analytical capital equipment costs required to meet changing program goals and objectives of the Department place an undue and unmanageable burden on operating budgets of the department. This equipment constitutes core infrastructure to run tests of the complexity needed to address current issues faced in the agricultural sector. This request is an investment in this core infrastructure.

**Project Timeline**

N/A

**Other Considerations**

Separate from this request, the Laboratory has estimated that we would need an increase to our base operating budget of \$685,000 annually to ensure orderly acquisition, replacement, and maintenance of our capital equipment.

**Impact on Agency Operating Budgets**

Analytical capital equipment costs required to meet changing program goals and objectives of the Department go beyond levels that can be supported within the regular operating budgets of the department. This situation poses an unacceptable risk to lab capability and readiness to respond to Agricultural issues that require laboratory services.

**Description of Previous Appropriations**

There has been no bonded money appropriated for similar purposes in the past three bienniums.

**Project Contact Person**

Whitney Place  
Director of Government Affairs  
651-201-6480  
whitney.place@state.mn.us

**Governor's Recommendation**

The Governor does not recommend capital funding for this request.

# Agriculture

# Project Detail

(\$ in thousands)

## Laboratory Capital Equipment

### PROJECT FUNDING SOURCES

Funding Source	Prior Years	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
<b>State Funds Requested</b>				
General Fund Cash	\$ 0	\$ 1,041	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>Funds Already Committed</b>				
<b>Pending Contributions</b>				
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 1,041</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

### TOTAL PROJECT COSTS

Cost Category	Prior Years	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
Property Acquisition	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Predesign Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Design Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Project Management	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Construction	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Relocation Expenses	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
One Percent for Art	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Occupancy Costs	\$ 0	\$ 1,041	\$ 0	\$ 0
Inflationary Adjustment	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 1,041</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

### IMPACT ON STATE OPERATING COSTS

Cost Category	FY 2018	FY 2020	FY 2022
IT Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (\$)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Operating Budget Impact (FTE)	0.0	0.0	0.0

### SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

	Amount	Percent of Total
General Fund	\$ 0	
User Financing	\$ 0	

**STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS**

The following requirements will apply to projects after adoption of the bonding bill.

<b>Is this project exempt from legislative review under M.S. 16B.335 subd. 1a?</b>	Yes
<b>Predesign Review (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 3):</b>	
Does this request include funding for predesign?	N/A
Has the predesign been submitted to the Department of Administration?	N/A
Has the predesign been approved by the Department of Administration?	N/A
<b>Will the project design meet the Sustainable Building Guidelines under M.S. 16B.325?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the project designs meet applicable requirements and guidelines for energy conservation and alternative energy sources (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 4 and 16B.32)?</b>	N/A
<b>Have Information Technology Review Preconditions been met (M.S. 16B.335 subd. 5 &amp; 6 and 16E.05 subd. 3)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the project meet public ownership requirements (M.S. 16A.695)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will a use agreement be required (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 2)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will program funding be reviewed and ensured (M.S. 16A.695 subd. 5)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the matching funds requirements be met (M.S. 16A.86 subd. 4)?</b>	N/A
<b>Will the project be fully encumbered prior to the Cancellation Deadline (M.S. 16A.642): December 31, 2022?</b>	N/A
<b>M.S. 16A.502 and M.S. 16B.31 (2): Full Funding Required</b>	N/A
<b>M.S. 174.93: Guideway Project</b>	
Is this a Guideway Project?	No
Is the required information included in this request?	N/A