

STATEMENT OF NEED AND REASONABLESS

RULES GOVERNING ADULT HALFWAY HOUSES

CORR.

2920.0100

Subp. 17

Rationale: The definition of provisional license was deleted. It was felt that this was an unnecessary part of the rule, facilities did not need this status, and it added an additional licensing visit to all new facilities. It was further felt that to substantially comply ought to be the minimal requirement.

Evidence: It has been the experience of the Inspection and Enforcement Unit that all facilities substantially complied with the rules at the first inspection. There appeared to be little advantage to visiting the facility a second time when the facility was found to be in substantial compliance the first time.

Subp. 18 Rationale: The term "original" was added for clarification.

Evidence: The staff working on the amendments felt such a change clarified the definition.

Subp. 22 Rationale: Language change recommended by the Revisors of Statute Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

Subp. 26A Rationale: The change uses the term variance rather than waiver to be consistent with the Adm. Procedures Act.

Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 14.05, Subd. 4.

CORR.

2920.0200

Subp. 1

Rationale: Updated the legal reference and reduced some of the language making the statement more consistent with other rules of the Minnesota Department of Corrections.

Evidence: Minnesota Statute 241.021, Subd. 1, Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities and Rules Governing Residential Facilities.

Subp. 2 Rationale: The purpose of the rules is outlined by state statute and not necessary to include in rules. The process is outlined by law and evidenced in the record and not necessary to be included in the rules.

Evidence: Minnesota Statute 241.021. Records held by both the Attorney General's Office and the Department of Corrections Rules making procedures - Rules Governing Adult Halfway House 1979.

CORR.  
2920.0500  
Subp. 1

Rationale: Eliminates unnecessary language.

Evidence: Felt by DOC staff that the detention of the statement does not change the rule and did not need to be included.

Subp. 2

Rationale: Amends the material to be filed at the time of application. It was found after working with the rule for a period of time that much of what was thought to be needed at the time of application was not needed. The change reflect those things that currently are felt to be needed at the time of application.

Evidence: Conclusion of DOC staff after working with the rule for over three years.

Subp. 3

Rationale: Relocated statement within the rule.

Evidence: Deleted from 2920.0600

CORR.  
2920.0600

Rationale: Repealed because the 30 days reapplication does not appear to work. People forget to notify the DOC. Therefore, once a license is issued, the DOC keeps track of the renewal dates and contacts the homes. Other material was repealed because it was either relocated in the rule or felt not needed at the time of application.

Evidence: Three years experience of DOC working with rule 2920.0500 Subp. 3.

CORR.  
2920.0700

Rationale: The concept of a provisional license was deleted through repealer. It was felt that this was an unnecessary part of the rule, the facility did not need this status and it added an additional licensing visit to all new facilities. It was further felt that to substantially comply ought to be the minimum requirement.

Evidence: It has been the experience of the Inspection and Enforcement Unit that all facilities substantially comply with the rule at first inspection. There appears to be little advantage to visiting the facility a second time when the facility was found to be in substantial compliance the first time.

CORR.  
2920.0800  
Subp. 1

Rationale: Deleted a statement which was instructional but not a rule and not considered necessary.

Evidence: Staff of the Inspection and Enforcement Unit evaluated the need for the statement and advised that it added nothing to the rule and should be deleted.

Subp. 6

Rationale: The responsibility is put on the Commissioner to issue a license if the home is found to be in substantial compliance.

Evidence: It is felt that once the requirements are outlined by rule, that the authority cannot be arbitrary.

CORR.  
2920.0900

Rationale: Amended to be consistent with the deletion of the concept of provisional licenses.

Evidence: 2920.0700 Repealed.

CORR.  
2920.1000

Rationale: Amended to clarify statement. In so doing, it was felt that the time frame of 72 hours was not meaningful to the rule. The term detention is not appropriate for this rule and for this type of facility. Conceptually, it is felt the Commissioner needs to have the authority to restrict the use of a given facility if conditions exist which endanger the health, welfare or safety of residents and staff.

Evidence: Clarification felt necessary by the staff of the Inspection and Enforcement Unit.

CORR.  
2920.1100

Rationale: Language change to be consistent with Minnesota Statute Chapter 14 and with recommendations made by the Revisor of Statute Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Statute 14.05, Subd. 4; Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

CORR.  
2920.1200

Rationale: There are no substantive changes made in this section. Amendments to clarify and reduce unnecessary words.

Evidence: The staff of the DOC reviewing this material felt that the amendments would make the rule clearer.

CORR.  
2920.1300

Rationale: To be consistent with recommendations made by the Revisor of Statute Office and to be consistent with other changes made in the rules.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule-making Manual, 1984, p. 18  
2920.0700 repealed.

CORR.  
2920.1600

Rationale: The inclusion of this rule has the potential of doing a great deal more harm than it would benefit. Clients placed in a poor situation due to bureaucratic management problems outweighs the possible hardship if a delay should occur. This is a philosophical difference since the rule was originally written.

Evidence: DOC staff evaluated this rule and felt that it should be repealed.

CORR.  
2920.1700

Rationale: The severability clause is not necessary to be included in the rules. It was repealed because it was not necessary.

Evidence: Administrative Procedures Act, Chapter 14, Minnesota Statutes.

CORR.  
2920.1800

Rationale: The rule was developed so that all halfway houses would be a legal entity. The parts deleted were deleted because that once a facility is a legal entity, the corporate laws apply and need not be repeated in this rule.

Evidence: Minnesota Statute 302A; Minnesota Statute Chapter 317

CORR.  
2920.1900

Rationale: For clarification and as recommended by the Revisor of Statute Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

CORR.  
2920.2200

Rationale: Deleted in this section and moved to another part of the rules.

Evidence: 2920.2700.

CORR.  
2920.2300

Rationale: Amended to delete the requirement of advertising. Private for profit agencies should not be required to publicly advertise and the laws governing non-profit corporations addresses tax issues for them and need not be regulated by these rules.

Evidence: Minnesota Statute 302A; Minnesota Statute Chapter 317.

CORR.  
2920.2700

Rationale: Amended to relocate rule in these rules.

Evidence: 2920.2200.

CORR.  
2920.3200

Rationale: To simplify statement and delete some unnecessary wording. The certified audit is not required by law and can be very costly to a small agency. Therefore, this was deleted.

Evidence: Minnesota Statute 302A; Minnesota Statute Chapter 317.

CORR.  
2920.3300

Rationale: To simplify statement and take some of the unnecessary wording out of it. Additionally, it was felt that Minnesota Statute regulates for profit and non-profit agencies and what must be revealed to the public. It does not need to be regulated by the regulating authority.

Evidence: Minnesota Statute 302A; Minnesota Statute Chapter 317.

CORR.  
3920.3700  
Subp. 6

Rationale: It was felt that the rule needed clarification and expansion so that supervision does not mean "available by telephone".

Evidence: Currently the standard is being met by all facilities. DOC staff felt clarification should be made for future.

CORR.  
2920.3800  
Subp. 4

Rationale: Amended to include all employees who provide direct service. It is felt that this is generally the case anyway but the way it was written previously, it would be possible to get caught without a first aid trained person.

Evidence: A decision made by the staff of DOC when considering amendments to the rules.

CORR.  
2920.3900

Rationale: To require the mantoux testing of employees at the time of employment only. Previously such testing was required annually. To require annual mantoux testing, except under specific circumstances has become an obsolete requirement.

Evidence: Minnesota Department of Health, Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

CORR.  
2920.4000

Rationale: To repeal the educational requirements for staff. It is felt there is no evidence to support an idea that such educational standards are needed to do the kind of tasks that are required for such facilities.

Evidence: A decision made by the DOC staff when considering amendments to the rules.

CORR.  
2920.4900

Rationale: Amended to include the concepts. One is to require a service plan but not necessarily treatment. It is fair to require a written plan for what will happen to a client at the facility. This neither dictates treatment nor does it delete treatment. Secondly, the change addresses counseling. It is felt to require counseling dictates the program.

Evidence: Based on decisions made by DOC when reviewing potential amendments to rules.

CORR.  
2920.5000

Rationale: Amended to eliminate rationale from rules. It is felt the reason for the rule is not appropriate in the rule and also, it appears that the rationale dictates program which it is felt the rules should not do.

Evidence: Based on discussion at DOC when reviewing potential amendments to rules.

Subp. 2, E Rationale: Edit change to eliminate unnecessary terms and words.

Evidence: A writing style not appropriate to rule writing. Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29.

CORR.  
2920.5100

Rationale: Edit change to eliminate unnecessary words.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29.

CORR.  
2920.5200

Rationale: To require the facility to allow residents to have input into facility policy and procedure is to dictate program. It was felt this is not the appropriate role of the minimum standards. Other edit changes were for clarification and form.

Evidence: A decision reached by DOC staff when considering amendments to the rules. Other changes were recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

CORR.  
2920.5300

Rationale: Amendment forces the development of formal policy.

Evidence: It was difficult to evaluate the rule when doing annual inspections. By requiring formal policy, the regulating authority will be able to better access this rule.

CORR.  
2920.5400

Rationale: Amended for clarification and form.

Evidence: Recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office; Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 29.

CORR.  
2920.5500

Rationale: The rule as written incorporated and referenced on authority which could not be regulated by the DOC. Essentially, it was meaningless. The specific parts of nutritional requirements is included in the rules and was not changed.

Evidence: Food and Nutrition Board, National Academy of Science, 8th Edition, 1974; 2920.5500, A to D.

CORR.  
2920.5700

Rationale: Prior to amending the rule, the rule dictated program. The change is an effort to prevent the rule from dictating program and philosophy.

Evidence: The philosophy basis for discipline as originally written.

CORR.  
2920.5800  
Subp. 1-7

Rationale: Rule amended to expand program options while still retaining outside limits. Also, rule dictated philosophy. Other changes recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evident: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18. Decisions made by DOC when considering amendments to the rules.

Subp. 8

Rationale: Rule amended to indicate that Halfway Houses should not have the authority to physically lock up people. If people need to be behind locked doors, new conditions should apply beyond these rules. Therefore, only licensed detention facilities should be used for this purpose.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, current philosophy of the DOC.

CORR.  
2920.5900

Rationale: Basic editing changes for clarification and as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18 and p. 29.

CORR.  
2920.6000  
Subp. 1, 2

Rationale: Basic editing changes as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, p. 18.

Subp. 4

Rationale: Amendment added after original presentation to public. The need is for facilities to have a formal policy directing specific action to be taken with the personal belongings of a resident who leaves and does not return.

Evidence: Amendment requested by Jeff Martin of the DOC in a memo dated July 16, 1984 and part of the record of thesis amended rules as adopted.

CORR.  
2920.6200

Rational: Edited change as recommended by the Revisor of Statutes Office. The concept of "make public" was deleted because it was unclear what it meant. It was felt POST was more what was needed.

Evidence: Revisor of Statutes Office upon reviewing of amendments. Decision made by DOC staff.

CORR.  
2920.6300

Rationale: Original statement was shotgun affect and covered the water front. The statement as it now reads is what the DOC staff feel is needed.

Evidence: Decision made by DOC staff at the time of considering the DOC amendments.

CORR.  
2920.6600

Rationale: Edit changes to clarify the statement and reduce verbage.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, p. 18 and p. 29.

CORR.  
2920.6700

Rationale: Edit changes to clarify the statement and reduce verbage.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, p. 18 and p. 29.



- CORR.  
2920.6900      Rationale: Edit changes to clarify the statement and reduce verbage.  
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, p. 18 and p. 29.
- CORR.  
2920.7000      Rationale: Edit changes to clarify the statement and reduce verbage.  
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, p. 18 and p. 29.
- CORR.  
2920.7300      Rationale: Edit changes to clarify the statement and reduce verbage.  
Rationale: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, p. 18 and p. 29.
- CORR.  
2920.7400      Rationale: Edit changes to clarify the statement and reduce verbage.  
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, p. 18 and p. 29.
- CORR.  
2920.7500      Rationale: Edit changes to clarify the statement and reduce verbage.  
Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, p. 18 and p. 29.
- CORR.  
2920.7600      Rationale: Amended as recomended by the Review of Statutes Office. The added "three letters of reference" was an effort to be more specific.  
Evidence: Revisor of Statutes Office at the time of revising these rules as amended. Decision of DOC staff when considering review of the rules.

STATEMENT OF NEED AND REASONABLENESS

RULES GOVERNING ADULT HALFWAY HOUSES

CORR. 2920.0100

Rationale: Subpart 17. The definition of professional license has been deleted due to the deletion of this type of license within the rules as amended.

Evidence: Proposed amended rules 2920.0700 repealed.

Rationale Subpart 18. Clarification of statement.

Evidence: Proposed language change.

Rationale: Subpart 22. Language change proposed by the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

Rationale: Subpart 26A. The change uses the term variance rather than waiver to be consistent with the Administrative Procedures Act.

Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 14.05, Subdivision 4.

CORR. 2920.0200 INTRODUCTION

Rationale: Updates the legal reference and reduces some of the unneeded language making the statement more consistent with other rules of the Minnesota Department of Corrections.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Residential Facilities.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Repealer to clean up unnecessary language.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.0500 PROCEDURES FOR ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR LICENSE

Rationale: Subpart 1. Eliminates some unnecessary language.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Amends the material to be filed at the time of application. It was found after working with the rule for a period of time that much of what was thought previously to be needed at the time of application was not needed. The changes reflect those things that currently are felt to be needed at the time of application.

Evidence: Three years of experience by the Department of Corrections in regulating adult halfway houses.

CORR. 2920.0500 (Continued)

Rationale: Subpart 3. Restructures where the relicensing application requirements are located in the rule.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.0800 CONDITIONS OF LICENSE

Rationale: Subpart 1. Makes changes as recommended by the Revisor's of Statutes Office plus eliminates some language which is unnecessary.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, Page 18.

Rationale: Subpart 6. Puts the responsibility on the Commissioner of Corrections to issue a license if an applicant is in substantial compliance with the rules.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.0900 REVOCATION, SUSPENSION AND DENIAL OF LICENSE

Rationale: Amendments made consistent with recommendations of the Revisor of Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.1000 RESTRICTION OF USE OF COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

Rationale: Clarification of language.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.1100 VARIANCE OF SPECIFIC RULES

Rationale: Language changes to be consistent with Minnesota Statutes Chapter 14 and with recommendations made by the Revisor of Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 14.05, Subdivision 4; Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.1200 STUDY OF APPLICATIONS

Rationale: There are no substantive changes made in this section. Amendments to clarify and reduce unnecessary words.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.1300 NOTICE TO APPLICANT OF COMMISSIONER'S ACTION

Rationale: To be consistent with recommendations made by the Revisor of Statutes and to be consistent with other changes made within the rule.

Evidence: Minnesota Rule Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.1800 LEGAL STATUS OF AND AUTHORITY FOR ADULT  
HALFWAY HOUSES

Rationale: Subpart 1. An effort to clarify the requirement of the rule.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Repeal due to redundancy.

Evidence: Self-evident

Rationale: Subpart 3. Repealed because it is already covered within Minnesota Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Statutes 302A; Minnesota Statutes Chapter 317.

CORR. 2920.1900 POLICY MAKING CHANGES

Rationale: For clarification and as recommended by the Revisor or Statutes.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.2700 OPERATIONS MANUAL

Rationale: Amendment for clarification

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.3200 FINANCIAL AUDIT

Rationale: To simplify statement and take some of the unnecessary wording out of it.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.3300 DOCUMENTS DISTRIBUTED TO GOVERNING BOARD

Rationale: To make language clearer.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.3700 PERSONNEL POLICIES: GENERAL

Rationale: Subpart 6. Amendment offered to clarify statement and to assure that somebody is on duty and awake during the hours that residents are sleeping.

Evidence: Consistent with other rules for other kinds of correctional institutions. Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

Rationale: Subpart 4. To get all employees who provide direct service to residents to be first aid trained. It is a concern of the Department of Corrections that unless all such employees are first aid trained, that there will be gaps in times when such training might be needed and unavailable. This is required by several of the DOC rules regulating correctional facilities.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Residential Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

Rationale: Subpart 6. It is felt that the rule had little or no meaning.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.3900 MANTOUX TEST OR CHEST X-RAY REQUIRED

Rationale: To require the mantoux testing of employees at the time of employment only. Previously such testing was required annually. To require annual mantoux testing, except under special circumstances, has become an obsolete requirement<sup>+</sup>.

Evidence: Minnesota Department of Health. Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities; Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

CORR. 2920.4000 QUALIFICATIONS OF STAFF

Rationale: Subpart 1. To repeal the educational requirement for staff. It is felt that there is no evidence to support an idea that such educational standard is needed to do the kind of tasks that are required for such facilities. }

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 1. Gramatical change only.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.4000 (Continued)

Rationale: Subpart 2. Numbering change only.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Rules pertaining to professional staff were deleted. There are other standards maintained either by professional organizations or by state law.

Evidence: Minnesota State Statutes regarding licensed psychologists, licensed medical doctors, etc.

CORR. 2920.4300 ADMISSIONS POLICIES

Rationale: To conform with recommendations of the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.4400 ADMISSIONS FORM

Rationale: To spell out what the CCF is.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.4900 SERVICE PLAN

Rationale: It is felt that the old rule was dictating program and philosophy rather than offering standards.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.5000 INVOLVEMENT OF RESIDENT AND FAMILY AND  
COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Rationale: Subpart 2. Sentence deleted because it was felt that this sentence dictates philosophy rather than stating a rule. The rule is stated later in the paragraph.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.5100 WORK ASSIGNMENTS AND WORK PROGRAM

Rationale: To take out unnecessary words and conform to recommendations made by the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.5200 HOUSE RULES

Rationale: The issue of residents having input into the development of house rules and the decision making process aspires to a philosophy and essentially dictates program. The Department of Corrections feels that this is not an appropriate role for the regulatory process.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.5300 RELIGION

Rationale: Mandates that the freedom of religious affiliation be outlined in policy form.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.5400 COMPLIANCE WITH LAW

Rationale Clarification of the rule and conforms to recommendations made by the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.5500 DIETARY SERVICE

Rationale: To be in conformance with other DOC rules. Also it was felt that the reference was not pertinent to the rules.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Detention Facilities, Rules Governing Juvenile Residential Facilities.

CORR. 2920.5700 DISCIPLINE AND DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Rationale: To eliminate rules dictating philosophy of treatment. There are other changes made for reasons for clarification.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.5800 ROOM RESTRICTIONS, FACILITY RESTRICTIONS,  
AND PRIVILEGE SUSPENSION

Rationale: Subpart 1. To eliminate the confusion and dictating policy to the program via rules.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. To not dictate program through the use of rules.

Evidence: Self-explanatory.

CORR. 2920.5800 (Continued)

- Rationale: Subpart 3. To conform with recommendations of the Revisor's Office as well as to eliminate regulatory rules from dictating program concepts and philosophy.
- Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18 and self-evident.
- Rationale: Subpart 4. To avoid rules from dictating policy and philosophy of program.
- Evidence: Self-evident.
- Rationale: Subpart 5. To avoid from dictating policy and philosophy of program.
- Evidence: Self-evident.
- Rationale: Subpart 6. An editing change.
- Evidence: Self-evident.
- Rationale: Subpart 7. An editing change.
- Evidence: Self-evident.
- Rationale: Subpart 8. An edited change which clearly spells out the fact that these facilities are not to confine and lockup residents.
- Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.5900 SECURITY PROCEDURES

- Rationale: Basic editing changes recommended by the Revisor's Office.
- Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.6000 PERSONAL POSSESSIONS

- Rationale: Editing changes
- Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.6200 SEARCHES

- Rationale: Editing change.
- Evidence: Self-evident.



CORR. 2920.6300 COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS

Rationale: Editing changes which eliminate some unnecessary statements.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.6400 FIRE SAFETY POLICY AND PROCEDURES

Rationale: Editing of statement.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.6600 BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

Rationale: Editing of statement

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.6700 BEDROOMS: REQUIREMENTS

Rationale: Subpart 1. Editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 2. Editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 4. Editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.6900 COUNSELING SPACE AND VISITING ROOMS

Rationale: Editing change recommended by the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.7000 BATHROOM FACILITIES

Rationale: Editing change as recommended by the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Minnesota Rules Drafting Manual, 1984, page 18.

CORR. 2920.7300 ENVIRONMENT

Rationale: Editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.7400 HEALTH CARE AND MEDICAL SERVICES

Rationale: Subpart 4. Editing change.

Evidence: Self-evident.

CORR. 2920.7500 RECORDS AND EVALUATION OF RESIDENTS

Rationale: Subpart 6. It was felt by the DOC that requiring a face sheet with such specifics goes beyond the kind of detail in record-keeping that needs to be regulated by rules.

Evidence: Evaluation done by the Department of Corrections.

Rationale: Subpart 7. Editing change. It was felt that that which was deleted was unnecessary to the rule.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 8. Editing of statement deleting unnecessary parts of the rule.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 9. Recommend repealer because it is felt this area is regulated in another area of the rules in a satisfactory manner.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Halfway Houses 2920.7500, Subpart 6.

Rationale: Subpart 10. Editing change recommended by the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 11. Editing change recommended by the Revisor's Office.

Evidence: Self-evident.

Rationale: Subpart 12. It is felt that this is handled in other areas.

Evidence: Rules Governing Adult Halfway Houses, 2920.7500, Subpart 6.

CORR. 2920.7600 PERSONNEL RECORDS

Rationale: Subpart 1. Changes recommended by the Revisor's Office plus adding letters of reference which are simply moved from another section of rules.

Evidence: Self-evident.