



Minnesota Department of **Human Services**

**Minnesota Family Investment Program  
Annualized Self-support Index and  
Work Participation Rate for 2009  
(For Determination of 2010 Performance-Based Funds)**

Published August 2009  
Minnesota Department of Human Services  
Program Assessment & Integrity Division, 651-431-3936  
444 Lafayette Road North  
St. Paul, MN 55155

[http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/economic\\_support/documents/pub/DHS\\_id\\_004113.hcsp#MFIP](http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/economic_support/documents/pub/DHS_id_004113.hcsp#MFIP)

*This information is available in other forms to people with disabilities by contacting us at 651-431- 3936 (voice) or through the Minnesota Relay Service at 711 or 1-800-627-3529 (TDD), or 1-877-627-3848 (speech to speech relay service).*

## About This Information

The information reported here is used to award performance-based funds for the 2010 calendar year. For more information on the performance-based funds, see the following section of the Minnesota Statutes: <http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/stats/256J/626.html>.

### **The Annualized Three-year Self-support Index for Counties or Tribes for the period of April 2008 through March 2009**

This measure tracks whether adults are either (1) working an average of 30 or more hours per week or (2) no longer receiving MFIP cash, three years from a baseline quarter. Adults who are "timed-off" the program after 60 months are only counted as a success on this measure if they were working 30 or more hours per week or were converted to SSI during the last month for which their eligibility was determined using reliable employment information. For further information on this measure, see Evaluation Note #15, *Updated Information on the MFIP Self-Support Index*, located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064O-ENG>

The annualized three year Self-support Index rate reported is based on adults who were eligible for MFIP any month during the period of April 2005 through March 2006. Also included is a county's or tribe's range of expected performance which determines whether or not that county or tribe qualifies for performance-based funds. The range of expected performance is determined from a regression, a statistical technique to control (or account) for demographic and economic differences among counties. It "levels the playing field" among counties. For further information see Evaluation Note #19, "Leveling the Playing Field: A Regression Model for Comparing the Effectiveness of TANF Employment Services Across Minnesota Counties and Tribal Programs" at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064U-ENG> The final column on the spreadsheet details whether a county or tribe performed below, within, or above the range of expected performance for that county or tribe. Counties and tribes that performed below their range of expected performance will not receive an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. Counties and tribes that performed within or above their range of expected performance earned an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation.

### **The Annualized TANF Work Participation Rate for the period of April 2008 through March 2009**

This measure identifies the percentage of federal TANF cases that were fully engaged in employment or employment-related activities from April 2008 through March 2009, per federal activity requirements. The MFIP Work Participation Rate (WPR) was a factor in performance-based funding to Minnesota counties and tribes and was used from 2004 through March 2007.

This measure was replaced in 2007 because of changes in the federal Deficit Reduction Act legislation. The Preliminary TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families) WPR which replaced the MFIP WPR now becomes the factor in performance-based funding for Minnesota counties and tribes. In comparison with the TANF WPR, the MFIP WPR allowed a significantly wider range of persons to be exempt from work activities which in most cases resulted in a higher rate than the TANF WPR. More information on the *TANF Work Participation Rate* can be found in Evaluation Note #18, located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064T-ENG> The TANF Work Participation Rate target set by the federal agency is 50%, but this has been reduced to 39.4% due to the Caseload Reduction Credit (CRC) of 10.6%. The CRC is a federal law which allows states to reduce their work participation rate by a percentage that a state reduces its overall caseload in the prior fiscal year compared to its caseload in Federal Fiscal Year 2005. State law was recently changed to allow the CRC to be applied to the counties/tribes.

Counties that either achieved a 39.4% or higher TANF Work Participation Rate for the year or had a five percentage point increase from the 2008 measure earned an additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation. Counties that did not meet these thresholds will not receive the additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. The last column on the spreadsheet identifies those counties or tribes that qualify for performance-based funds. It also identifies those counties or tribes that must complete a PIP and have it approved by the department before they qualify for the performance-based funds.

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year Self-support Index  
for Determination of 2010 Performance-based Funds  
(Annualized April 2008 through March 2009)**

County	Actual Three Year Self- support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Below, Within, or Above Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Aitkin	0.777	0.736	0.825	Within
Anoka	0.719	0.719	0.743	Within
Becker	0.776	0.691	0.752	Above
Beltrami	0.700	0.633	0.682	Above
Benton	0.751	0.724	0.782	Within
Big Stone	0.672	0.754	0.864	Below
Blue Earth	0.774	0.730	0.806	Within
Brown	0.813	0.791	0.863	Within
Carlton	0.805	0.758	0.811	Within
Carver	0.833	0.731	0.798	Above
Cass	0.802	0.675	0.754	Above
Chippewa	0.849	0.723	0.837	Above
Chisago	0.803	0.751	0.789	Above
Clay	0.752	0.704	0.769	Within
Clearwater	0.758	0.691	0.800	Within
Cook	0.735	0.712	0.893	Within
Cottonwood	0.840	0.765	0.834	Above
Crow Wing	0.769	0.739	0.797	Within
Dakota	0.704	0.705	0.733	Below
Dodge	0.797	0.746	0.848	Within
Douglas	0.849	0.771	0.832	Above
Faribault-Martin	0.819	0.762	0.832	Within
Fillmore	0.892	0.841	0.896	Within
Freeborn	0.812	0.800	0.849	Within
Goodhue	0.771	0.731	0.807	Within
Grant	0.837	0.754	0.857	Within
Hennepin	0.641	0.637	0.654	Within
Houston	0.795	0.772	0.824	Within
Hubbard	0.800	0.714	0.799	Above
Isanti	0.812	0.707	0.767	Above
Itasca	0.752	0.735	0.801	Within
Jackson	0.861	0.774	0.859	Above
Kanabec	0.781	0.742	0.802	Within
Kandiyohi	0.808	0.757	0.812	Within
Kittson	0.898	0.663	0.886	Above
Koochiching	0.813	0.740	0.796	Above
Lac Qui Parle	0.838	0.762	0.905	Within
Lake	0.731	0.751	0.843	Below
Lake of the Woods	0.643	0.722	0.879	Below
Le Sueur	0.795	0.772	0.826	Within
Lincoln-Lyon-Murray	0.808	0.784	0.853	Within
Mcleod	0.797	0.789	0.851	Within
Mahnomen	0.616	0.515	0.644	Within
Marshall	0.880	0.821	0.899	Within
Meeker	0.778	0.750	0.829	Within
Mille Lacs	0.780	0.733	0.792	Within
Morrison	0.779	0.739	0.800	Within
Mower	0.816	0.752	0.810	Above

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year Self-support Index  
for Determination of 2010 Performance-based Funds  
(Annualized April 2008 through March 2009)**

County	Actual Three Year Self- support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Below, Within, or Above Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Nicollet	0.735	0.738	0.809	Below
Nobles	0.793	0.737	0.827	Within
Norman	0.846	0.781	0.880	Within
Olmsted	0.805	0.786	0.830	Within
Otter Tail	0.826	0.749	0.814	Above
Pennington	0.866	0.748	0.832	Above
Pine	0.762	0.724	0.785	Within
Pipestone	0.883	0.754	0.849	Above
Polk	0.797	0.711	0.762	Above
Pope	0.739	0.784	0.867	Below
Ramsey	0.615	0.606	0.627	Within
Red Lake	0.763	0.739	0.858	Within
Redwood	0.806	0.767	0.835	Within
Renville	0.823	0.768	0.841	Within
Rice	0.780	0.776	0.837	Within
Rock	0.865	0.810	0.891	Within
Roseau	0.828	0.735	0.865	Within
St Louis	0.710	0.691	0.730	Within
Scott	0.737	0.697	0.753	Within
Sherburne	0.816	0.723	0.764	Above
Sibley	0.819	0.802	0.893	Within
Stearns	0.745	0.742	0.796	Within
Steele	0.844	0.769	0.845	Within
Stevens	0.827	0.690	0.835	Within
Swift	0.788	0.754	0.847	Within
Todd	0.772	0.714	0.789	Within
Traverse	0.870	0.655	0.886	Within
Wabasha	0.859	0.756	0.831	Above
Wadena	0.769	0.746	0.799	Within
Waseca	0.819	0.785	0.853	Within
Washington	0.741	0.703	0.756	Within
Watonwan	0.868	0.751	0.824	Above
Wilkin	0.882	0.772	0.893	Within
Winona	0.763	0.743	0.814	Within
Wright	0.822	0.739	0.780	Above
Yellow Medicine	0.724	0.714	0.865	Within
White Earth Band	0.595	0.564	0.636	Within
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	0.571	0.555	0.621	Within
Leech Lake Band	0.562	0.556	0.628	Within
Red Lake Band	0.504	0.563	0.619	Below
Mille Lacs Band	0.550	0.568	0.625	Below

TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2010 Performance-based Funds

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2007 - March 2008	Annual Rate April 2008 - March 2009	Eligible for 2010 Performance-Based Funds
AITKIN	38.3%	30.3%	No
ANOKA	32.7%	33.1%	No
BECKER	27.2%	33.1%	Yes
BELTRAMI	22.0%	23.5%	No
BENTON	36.3%	34.7%	No
BIG STONE	27.1%	23.1%	No
BLUE EARTH	32.0%	41.7%	Yes
BROWN	52.0%	47.1%	Yes
CARLTON	36.8%	37.0%	No
CARVER	23.9%	37.8%	Yes
CASS	24.6%	33.3%	Yes
CHIPPEWA	27.3%	36.1%	Yes
CHISAGO	32.6%	38.9%	Yes
CLAY	36.1%	38.1%	No
CLEARWATER	26.5%	36.4%	Yes
COOK	12.5%	27.1%	Yes
COTTONWOOD	30.4%	32.7%	No
CROW WING	28.2%	25.1%	No
DAKOTA	24.8%	29.5%	No
DODGE	26.6%	38.8%	Yes
DOUGLAS	21.1%	32.6%	Yes
FARIBAUT-MARTIN	43.9%	29.5%	No
FILLMORE	40.6%	30.2%	No
FREEBORN	33.9%	31.6%	No
GOODHUE	22.2%	35.1%	Yes
GRANT	22.2%	57.7%	Yes
HENNEPIN	24.7%	25.0%	No
HOUSTON	24.0%	28.6%	No
HUBBARD	33.9%	37.8%	No
ISANTI	36.3%	36.9%	No
ITASCA	23.0%	32.9%	Yes
JACKSON	25.6%	31.1%	Yes
KANABEC	18.9%	17.2%	No
KANDIYOHI	29.3%	38.9%	Yes
KITTSOON	4.9%	27.8%	Yes
KOOCHICHING	25.8%	25.4%	No
LAC QUI PARLE	43.8%	4.9%	No
LAKE	32.7%	11.9%	No
LAKE OF THE WOODS	28.8%	37.5%	Yes
LE SUEUR	33.2%	33.3%	No
LINCOLN-LYON-MURRAY	34.5%	36.8%	No
MCLEOD	28.7%	38.7%	Yes
MAHNOMEN	15.3%	18.4%	No
MARSHALL	21.5%	34.0%	Yes
MEEKER	32.6%	29.3%	No
MILLE LACS	36.2%	41.1%	Yes
MORRISON	28.0%	37.2%	Yes
MOWER	38.9%	37.5%	No
NICOLLET	37.9%	30.1%	No
NOBLES	37.8%	43.5%	Yes

TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2010 Performance-based Funds

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2007 - March 2008	Annual Rate April 2008 - March 2009	Eligible for 2010 Performance-Based Funds
NORMAN	22.4%	28.3%	Yes
OLMSTED	32.7%	34.3%	No
OTTER TAIL	25.8%	33.3%	Yes
PENNINGTON	27.8%	36.3%	Yes
PINE	31.2%	29.2%	No
PIPESTONE	23.8%	35.1%	Yes
POLK	18.5%	33.7%	Yes
POPE	35.6%	45.5%	Yes
RAMSEY	19.8%	26.2%	Yes
RED LAKE	15.8%	35.3%	Yes
REDWOOD	27.5%	30.3%	No
RENVILLE	25.0%	34.8%	Yes
RICE	31.9%	29.9%	No
ROCK	28.2%	40.3%	Yes
ROSEAU	17.3%	22.2%	No
ST. LOUIS	30.2%	33.7%	No
SCOTT	33.7%	31.4%	No
SHERBURNE	20.1%	29.3%	Yes
SIBLEY	38.0%	31.1%	No
STEARNS	32.2%	28.5%	No
STEELE	30.2%	30.7%	No
STEVENS	14.9%	12.4%	No
SWIFT	20.2%	33.0%	Yes
TODD	25.1%	35.6%	Yes
TRAVERSE	37.5%	48.6%	Yes
WABASHA	30.4%	22.2%	No
WADENA	26.0%	38.1%	Yes
WASECA	40.7%	34.6%	No
WASHINGTON	37.2%	41.8%	Yes
WATONWAN	30.2%	33.2%	No
WILKIN	26.6%	34.0%	Yes
WINONA	22.3%	29.8%	Yes
WRIGHT	33.9%	30.6%	No
YELLOW MEDICINE	26.3%	30.1%	No
LEECH LAKE	24.0%	28.4%	No
MCT	28.6%	23.6%	No
RED LAKE	39.0%	25.2%	No
WHITE EARTH	16.7%	14.8%	No