



Minnesota Department of **Human Services**

**Minnesota Family Investment Program
Annualized Self-support Index and
Work Participation Rate for 2010
(For Determination of 2011 Performance-Based Funds)**

Published August 2010
Minnesota Department of Human Services
Program Assessment & Integrity Division, 651-431-3936
444 Lafayette Road North
St. Paul, MN 55155

http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/economic_support/documents/pub/DHS_id_004113.hcsp#MFIP

This information is available in other forms to people with disabilities by contacting us at 651-431-3936 (voice) or through the Minnesota Relay Service at 711 or 1-800-627-3529 (TDD), or 1-877-627-3848 (speech to speech relay service).

About This Information

The information reported here is used to award performance-based funds for the 2011 calendar year. For more information on the performance-based funds, see the following section of the Minnesota Statutes: <http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/stats/256J/626.html>.

The Annualized Three-year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Counties and Tribes for the period of April 2009 through March 2010

This measure tracks whether adults are either (1) working an average of 30 or more hours per week or (2) no longer receiving MFIP cash, in the quarter three years from a baseline quarter in which they were eligible for MFIP or DWP. Adults who are “timed-off” the program after 60 months are only counted as a success on this measure if they were working 30 or more hours per week or were converted to SSI during the last month for which their eligibility was determined using verified employment information. For further information on this measure, see Evaluation Note #15, *Updated Information on the MFIP Self-Support Index*., on the DHS website located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064O-ENG>.

The annualized three-year Self-support Index rate reported is based on adults who were eligible for MFIP or DWP any month during the period of April 2006 through March 2007. Also included is a county’s or tribe’s range of expected performance which determines whether or not that county or tribe qualifies for performance-based funds. The range of expected performance is determined from a regression, a statistical technique to control (or account) for demographic and economic differences among counties. It “levels the playing field” among counties. For further information see Evaluation Note #19, “Leveling the Playing Field: A Regression Model for Comparing the Effectiveness of TANF Employment Services Across Minnesota Counties and Tribal Programs” at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064U-ENG>. The final column on the spreadsheet details whether a county or tribe performed below, within, or above the range of expected performance for that county or tribe. Counties and tribes that performed below their range of expected performance will not receive an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. Counties and tribes that performed within or above their range of expected performance earned an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation.

The Annualized TANF Work Participation Rate for Counties and Tribes for the period of April 2009 through March 2010

This measure identifies the percentage of federal TANF cases that were fully engaged in employment or employment-related activities from April 2009 through March 2010, per federal work activity requirements. The MFIP Work Participation Rate (WPR) which was a factor in performance-based funding to Minnesota counties and tribes and was used from 2004 through March 2007, was replaced in 2007 because of changes in the federal Deficit Reduction Act legislation. More information on the *TANF* Work Participation Rate can be found in Evaluation Note #18, located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064T-ENG>.

The TANF Work Participation Rate target set by the federal agency is 50%, but this has been reduced to 39.8% due to the Caseload Reduction Credit (CRC) of 10.2%. The CRC is a federal law which allows states to reduce their work participation rate by the percentage that a state reduced its overall caseload in the prior fiscal year compared to its caseload in Federal Fiscal Year 2005. State law was changed to allow the CRC to be applied to the counties/tribes.

Counties that either achieved a 39.8% or higher TANF Work Participation Rate for the year or had a five percentage point increase from the 2009 measure earned an additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation. Counties that did not meet either of these thresholds will not receive the additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. The last column on the spreadsheet identifies those counties or tribes that qualify for performance-based funds. It also identifies those counties or tribes that must complete a PIP and have it approved by the department before they qualify for the performance-based funds.

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Determination of 2011 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2009 through March 2010)**

County	Actual Three Year Self-support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Below, Within, or Above Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Aitkin	0.755	0.752	0.824	Within
Anoka	0.704	0.689	0.718	Within
Becker	0.703	0.662	0.721	Within
Beltrami	0.704	0.629	0.671	Above
Benton	0.730	0.681	0.766	Within
Big Stone	0.657	0.700	0.836	Below
Blue Earth	0.762	0.724	0.776	Within
Brown	0.813	0.793	0.840	Within
Carlton	0.806	0.703	0.796	Above
Carver	0.800	0.682	0.750	Above
Cass	0.781	0.655	0.755	Above
Chippewa	0.811	0.679	0.779	Above
Chisago	0.834	0.717	0.774	Above
Clay	0.730	0.693	0.760	Within
Clearwater	0.774	0.681	0.824	Within
Cook	0.591	0.636	0.837	Below
Cottonwood	0.839	0.670	0.810	Above
Crow Wing	0.781	0.726	0.786	Within
Dakota	0.686	0.675	0.711	Within
Dodge	0.810	0.717	0.802	Above
Douglas	0.841	0.767	0.823	Above
Faribault-Martin	0.823	0.762	0.832	Within
Fillmore	0.875	0.835	0.885	Within
Freeborn	0.782	0.762	0.823	Within
Goodhue	0.749	0.731	0.804	Within
Grant	0.871	0.708	0.874	Within
Hennepin	0.621	0.620	0.646	Within
Houston	0.787	0.778	0.828	Within
Hubbard	0.795	0.702	0.778	Above
Isanti	0.794	0.677	0.743	Above
Itasca	0.692	0.723	0.768	Below
Jackson	0.831	0.754	0.843	Within
Kanabec	0.718	0.722	0.785	Below
Kandiyohi	0.783	0.739	0.790	Within
Kittson	0.925	0.751	0.911	Above
Koochiching	0.808	0.739	0.798	Above
Lac Qui Parle	0.735	0.691	0.880	Within
Lake	0.691	0.672	0.811	Within
Lake of the Woods	0.830	0.672	0.829	Above
Le Sueur	0.800	0.747	0.813	Within
Lincoln-Lyon-Murray	0.766	0.784	0.853	Below
Mcleod	0.788	0.771	0.824	Within
Mahnomen	0.723	0.507	0.624	Above
Marshall	0.878	0.808	0.900	Within
Meeker	0.769	0.722	0.794	Within
Mille Lacs	0.774	0.712	0.782	Within
Morrison	0.724	0.688	0.758	Within
Mower	0.778	0.705	0.771	Above

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Determination of 2011 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2009 through March 2010)**

County	Actual Three Year Self-support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Below, Within, or Above Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Nicollet	0.709	0.726	0.784	Below
Nobles	0.782	0.726	0.800	Within
Norman	0.859	0.740	0.855	Above
Olmsted	0.752	0.756	0.803	Below
Otter Tail	0.800	0.720	0.779	Above
Pennington	0.803	0.691	0.791	Above
Pine	0.750	0.724	0.768	Within
Pipestone	0.751	0.686	0.792	Within
Polk	0.800	0.702	0.757	Above
Pope	0.672	0.741	0.829	Below
Ramsey	0.603	0.587	0.615	Within
Red Lake	0.754	0.702	0.859	Within
Redwood	0.724	0.718	0.833	Within
Renville	0.797	0.715	0.826	Within
Rice	0.762	0.763	0.812	Below
Rock	0.815	0.757	0.850	Within
Roseau	0.763	0.726	0.845	Within
St Louis	0.682	0.661	0.717	Within
Scott	0.738	0.661	0.728	Above
Sherburne	0.775	0.687	0.749	Above
Sibley	0.858	0.751	0.848	Above
Stearns	0.723	0.725	0.768	Below
Steele	0.794	0.754	0.811	Within
Stevens	0.815	0.652	0.858	Within
Swift	0.783	0.710	0.800	Within
Todd	0.806	0.702	0.773	Above
Traverse	0.831	0.581	0.853	Within
Wabasha	0.852	0.701	0.780	Above
Wadena	0.794	0.726	0.788	Above
Waseca	0.801	0.764	0.829	Within
Washington	0.686	0.665	0.701	Within
Watonwan	0.818	0.748	0.825	Within
Wilkin	0.792	0.717	0.888	Within
Winona	0.728	0.720	0.779	Within
Wright	0.816	0.718	0.761	Above
Yellow Medicine	0.563	0.686	0.843	Below
Leech Lake Band	0.558	0.551	0.619	Within
Mille Lacs Band	0.575	0.590	0.635	Below
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	0.586	0.547	0.601	Within
Red Lake Band	0.518	0.581	0.622	Below
White Earth Band	0.584	0.545	0.606	Within

**TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2011 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2009 through March 2010)**

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2008 - March 2009	Annual Rate April 2009 - March 2010	Eligible for 2011 Performance-Based Funds
AITKIN	30.3%	36.2%	Yes
ANOKA	33.1%	32.8%	No
BECKER	33.1%	37.7%	No
BELTRAMI	23.5%	29.8%	Yes
BENTON	34.7%	34.3%	No
BIG STONE	23.1%	13.3%	No
BLUE EARTH	41.7%	38.6%	No
BROWN	47.1%	49.2%	Yes
CARLTON	37.0%	33.3%	No
CARVER	37.8%	42.5%	Yes
CASS	33.3%	36.7%	No
CHIPPEWA	36.1%	19.9%	No
CHISAGO	38.9%	34.1%	No
CLAY	38.1%	34.8%	No
CLEARWATER	36.4%	30.0%	No
COOK	27.1%	21.0%	No
COTTONWOOD	32.7%	38.5%	Yes
CROW WING	25.1%	31.6%	Yes
DAKOTA	29.5%	36.6%	Yes
DODGE	38.8%	39.4%	No
DOUGLAS	32.6%	28.7%	No
FARIBAUT-MARTIN	29.5%	45.9%	Yes
FILLMORE	30.2%	36.6%	Yes
FREEBORN	31.6%	30.8%	No
GOODHUE	35.1%	34.4%	No
GRANT	57.7%	33.2%	No
HENNEPIN	25.0%	29.2%	No
HOUSTON	28.6%	32.8%	No
HUBBARD	37.8%	37.3%	No
ISANTI	36.9%	34.6%	No
ITASCA	32.9%	32.2%	No
JACKSON	31.1%	39.2%	Yes
KANABEC	17.2%	26.3%	Yes
KANDIYOHI	38.9%	42.2%	Yes
KITSON	27.8%	12.5%	No
KOOCHICHING	25.4%	41.3%	Yes
LAC QUI PARLE	4.9%	29.2%	Yes
LAKE	11.9%	44.6%	Yes
LAKE OF THE WOODS	37.5%	73.6%	Yes
LE SUEUR	33.3%	30.4%	No
LINCOLN-LYON-MURRAY	36.8%	29.5%	No
MCLEOD	38.7%	41.7%	Yes
MAHNOMEN	18.4%	22.0%	No
MARSHALL	34.0%	44.7%	Yes
MEEKER	29.3%	42.3%	Yes
MILLE LACS	41.1%	44.5%	Yes
MORRISON	37.2%	38.7%	No
MOWER	37.5%	36.0%	No
NICOLLET	30.1%	35.9%	Yes
NOBLES	43.5%	40.4%	Yes

**TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2011 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2009 through March 2010)**

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2008 - March 2009	Annual Rate April 2009 - March 2010	Eligible for 2011 Performance-Based Funds
NORMAN	28.3%	23.4%	No
OLMSTED	34.3%	35.2%	No
OTTER TAIL	33.3%	37.2%	No
PENNINGTON	36.3%	34.5%	No
PINE	29.2%	44.6%	Yes
PIPESTONE	35.1%	27.2%	No
POLK	33.7%	37.8%	No
POPE	45.5%	20.2%	No
RAMSEY	26.2%	29.3%	No
RED LAKE	35.3%	33.6%	No
REDWOOD	30.3%	30.8%	No
RENVILLE	34.8%	30.2%	No
RICE	29.9%	33.4%	No
ROCK	40.3%	42.7%	Yes
ROSEAU	22.2%	33.7%	Yes
ST. LOUIS	33.7%	33.6%	No
SCOTT	31.4%	40.3%	Yes
SHERBURNE	29.3%	27.8%	No
SIBLEY	31.1%	52.4%	Yes
STEARNS	28.5%	28.5%	No
STEELE	30.7%	23.1%	No
STEVENS	12.4%	56.0%	Yes
SWIFT	33.0%	25.7%	No
TODD	35.6%	29.2%	No
TRAVERSE	48.6%	11.1%	No
WABASHA	22.2%	29.6%	Yes
WADENA	38.1%	32.9%	No
WASECA	34.6%	59.6%	Yes
WASHINGTON	41.8%	36.3%	No
WATONWAN	33.2%	25.8%	No
WILKIN	34.0%	41.8%	Yes
WINONA	29.8%	30.2%	No
WRIGHT	30.6%	25.2%	No
YELLOW MEDICINE	30.1%	17.7%	No
LEECH LAKE	28.4%	27.6%	No
MCT	23.6%	22.1%	No
RED LAKE	25.2%	20.7%	No
WHITE EARTH	14.8%	19.8%	Yes