



Minnesota Department of **Human Services**

**Minnesota Family Investment Program
Annualized Self-support Index and
Work Participation Rate for 2011
(For Determination of 2012 Performance-Based Funds)**

Published July 2011

Minnesota Department of Human Services
Program Assessment & Integrity Division, 651-431-3936
444 Lafayette Road North
St. Paul, MN 55155

http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/economic_support/documents/pub/DHS_id_004113.hcsp#MFIP

*This information is available in other forms to people with disabilities
by contacting us at 651-431- 3936 (voice) or through the Minnesota Relay Service at 711 or
1-800-627-3529 (TDD), or 1-877-627-3848 (speech to speech relay service).*

About This Information

The information reported here is used to award performance-based funds for the 2012 calendar year. For more information on the performance-based funds, see the following section of the Minnesota Statutes: <http://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/stats/256J/626.html>.

The Annualized Three-year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Counties and Tribes for the period of April 2010 through March 2011

This measure tracks whether adults are either (1) working an average of 30 or more hours per week or (2) no longer receiving MFIP cash, in the quarter three years from a baseline quarter in which they were eligible for MFIP or DWP. Adults who are “timed-off” the program after 60 months are only counted as a success on this measure if they were working 30 or more hours per week or were converted to SSI during the last month for which their eligibility was determined using verified employment information. For further information on this measure, see Evaluation Note #15, *Updated Information on the MFIP Self-Support Index*, on the DHS website located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064O-ENG>.

The annualized three-year Self-support Index rate reported is based on adults who were eligible for MFIP or DWP any month during the period of April 2007 through March 2008. Also included is a county’s or tribe’s range of expected performance which determines whether or not that county or tribe qualifies for performance-based funds. The range of expected performance is determined from a regression, a statistical technique to control (or account) for demographic and economic differences among counties. It “levels the playing field” among counties. For further information see Evaluation Note #19, “Leveling the Playing Field: A Regression Model for Comparing the Effectiveness of TANF Employment Services Across Minnesota Counties and Tribal Programs” at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064U-ENG>. The final column on the spreadsheet details whether a county or tribe performed below, within, or above the range of expected performance for that county or tribe. Counties and tribes that performed below their range of expected performance will not receive an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. Counties and tribes that performed within or above their range of expected performance earned an additional 2.5% of their MFIP Consolidated Fund allocation.

The Annualized TANF Work Participation Rate for Counties and Tribes for the period of April 2010 through March 2011

This measure identifies the percentage of federal TANF cases that were fully engaged in employment or employment-related activities from April 2010 through March 2011, per federal work activity requirements. The MFIP Work Participation Rate (WPR) which was a factor in performance-based funding to Minnesota counties and tribes and was used from 2004 through March 2007, was replaced in 2007 because of changes in the federal Deficit Reduction Act legislation. More information on the *TANF* Work Participation Rate can be found in Evaluation Note #18, located at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfserver/Legacy/DHS-4064T-ENG>.

The TANF Work Participation Rate target set by the federal agency is 50%, but this has been reduced to 39.8% due to the Caseload Reduction Credit (CRC) of 10.2%. The CRC is a federal law which allows states to reduce their work participation rate by the percentage that a state reduced its overall caseload in the prior fiscal year compared to its caseload in Federal Fiscal Year 2005. State law was changed to allow the CRC to be applied to the counties/tribes.

Counties that either achieved a 39.8% or higher TANF Work Participation Rate for the year or had a five percentage point increase from the 2010 measure earned an additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation. Counties that did not meet either of these thresholds will not receive the additional 2.5% of their Consolidated Fund allocation until a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) is completed and approved by the department. The last column on the spreadsheet identifies those counties or tribes that qualify for performance-based funds. It also identifies those counties or tribes that must complete a PIP and have it approved by the department before they qualify for the performance-based funds.

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Determination of 2012 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2010 through March 2011)**

County	Actual Three Year Self-support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Below, Within, or Above Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Aitkin	0.783	0.736	0.818	Within
Anoka	0.689	0.674	0.701	Within
Becker	0.717	0.670	0.732	Within
Beltrami	0.664	0.598	0.648	Above
Benton	0.713	0.645	0.709	Above
Big Stone	0.694	0.709	0.855	Below
Blue Earth	0.745	0.688	0.763	Within
Brown	0.809	0.740	0.804	Above
Carlton	0.789	0.711	0.782	Above
Carver	0.766	0.665	0.736	Above
Cass	0.753	0.655	0.754	Within
Chippewa	0.715	0.665	0.771	Within
Chisago	0.837	0.702	0.776	Above
Clay	0.737	0.675	0.729	Above
Clearwater	0.755	0.683	0.811	Within
Cook	0.785	0.646	0.827	Within
Cottonwood	0.735	0.692	0.790	Within
Crow Wing	0.770	0.720	0.780	Within
Dakota	0.685	0.659	0.688	Within
Dodge	0.781	0.701	0.784	Within
Douglas	0.828	0.748	0.809	Above
Faribault-Martin	0.791	0.724	0.836	Within
Fillmore	0.801	0.797	0.891	Within
Freeborn	0.751	0.748	0.791	Within
Goodhue	0.716	0.736	0.794	Below
Grant	0.920	0.717	0.833	Above
Hennepin	0.605	0.610	0.626	Below
Houston	0.779	0.757	0.814	Within
Hubbard	0.739	0.667	0.774	Within
Isanti	0.781	0.664	0.732	Above
Itasca	0.696	0.716	0.763	Below
Jackson	0.729	0.708	0.815	Within
Kanabec	0.753	0.706	0.794	Within
Kandiyohi	0.825	0.733	0.785	Above
Kittson	0.756	0.677	0.903	Within
Koochiching	0.811	0.707	0.783	Above
Lac Qui Parle	0.639	0.670	0.868	Below
Lake	0.820	0.708	0.814	Above
Lake of the Woods	0.796	0.684	0.853	Within
Le Sueur	0.798	0.762	0.817	Within
Lincoln-Lyon-Murray	0.769	0.749	0.810	Within
Mcleod	0.792	0.759	0.810	Within
Mahnomen	0.674	0.511	0.636	Above
Marshall	0.859	0.790	0.902	Within
Meeker	0.691	0.749	0.812	Below
Mille Lacs	0.764	0.687	0.788	Within
Morrison	0.754	0.697	0.775	Within
Mower	0.779	0.691	0.757	Above

**Actual Index and Range of Expected Performance for the Three Year MFIP/DWP Self-support Index for Determination of 2012 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2010 through March 2011)**

County	Actual Three Year Self-support Index	Range of Expected Performance		Below, Within, or Above Expected Range
		Lower Limit	Upper Limit	
Nicollet	0.688	0.686	0.777	Within
Nobles	0.828	0.743	0.798	Above
Norman	0.784	0.688	0.866	Within
Olmsted	0.745	0.740	0.781	Within
Otter Tail	0.782	0.720	0.781	Above
Pennington	0.739	0.703	0.790	Within
Pine	0.745	0.696	0.753	Within
Pipestone	0.824	0.693	0.791	Above
Polk	0.742	0.670	0.728	Above
Pope	0.759	0.697	0.829	Within
Ramsey	0.571	0.551	0.587	Within
Red Lake	0.723	0.696	0.852	Within
Redwood	0.788	0.730	0.807	Within
Renville	0.785	0.715	0.805	Within
Rice	0.740	0.727	0.789	Within
Rock	0.778	0.768	0.848	Within
Roseau	0.777	0.715	0.822	Within
St Louis	0.664	0.648	0.692	Within
Scott	0.735	0.662	0.732	Above
Sherburne	0.739	0.668	0.732	Above
Sibley	0.839	0.739	0.824	Above
Stearns	0.688	0.700	0.745	Below
Steele	0.762	0.733	0.792	Within
Stevens	0.718	0.610	0.778	Within
Swift	0.833	0.681	0.797	Above
Todd	0.819	0.699	0.772	Above
Traverse	0.725	0.691	0.865	Within
Wabasha	0.837	0.691	0.774	Above
Wadena	0.747	0.713	0.779	Within
Waseca	0.776	0.733	0.799	Within
Washington	0.668	0.651	0.683	Within
Watonwan	0.799	0.685	0.803	Within
Wilkin	0.806	0.742	0.843	Within
Winona	0.696	0.740	0.799	Below
Wright	0.798	0.697	0.762	Above
Yellow Medicine	0.626	0.637	0.795	Below
Leech Lake Band	0.576	0.548	0.609	Within
Minnesota Chippewa Tribe	0.573	0.524	0.585	Within
Red Lake Band	0.495	0.537	0.587	Below
White Earth Band	0.596	0.534	0.613	Within

**TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2012 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2010 through March 2011)**

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2009 - March 2010	Annual Rate April 2010 - March 2011	Eligible for 2012 Performance-Based Funds
AITKIN	36.2%	43.8%	Yes
ANOKA	32.8%	48.4%	Yes
BECKER	37.7%	48.2%	Yes
BELTRAMI	29.8%	38.2%	Yes
BENTON	34.3%	42.3%	Yes
BIG STONE	13.3%	12.6%	No
BLUE EARTH	38.6%	49.7%	Yes
BROWN	49.2%	63.7%	Yes
CARLTON	33.3%	35.7%	No
CARVER	42.5%	47.4%	Yes
CASS	36.7%	44.7%	Yes
CHIPPEWA	19.9%	33.0%	Yes
CHISAGO	34.1%	53.6%	Yes
CLAY	34.8%	41.7%	Yes
CLEARWATER	30.0%	45.0%	Yes
COOK	21.0%	61.8%	Yes
COTTONWOOD	38.5%	36.4%	No
CROW WING	31.6%	37.8%	Yes
DAKOTA	36.6%	52.9%	Yes
DODGE	39.4%	48.7%	Yes
DOUGLAS	28.7%	56.1%	Yes
FARIBAUT-MARTIN	45.9%	55.6%	Yes
FILLMORE	36.6%	54.8%	Yes
FREEBORN	30.8%	47.6%	Yes
GOODHUE	34.4%	44.0%	Yes
GRANT	33.2%	36.2%	No
HENNEPIN	29.2%	37.5%	Yes
HOUSTON	32.8%	36.4%	No
HUBBARD	37.3%	41.3%	Yes
ISANTI	34.6%	51.1%	Yes
ITASCA	32.2%	38.6%	Yes
JACKSON	39.2%	68.8%	Yes
KANABEC	26.3%	39.9%	Yes
KANDIYOHI	42.2%	58.4%	Yes
KITTSOON	12.5%	13.9%	No
KOOCHICHING	41.3%	48.1%	Yes
LAC QUI PARLE	29.2%	45.1%	Yes
LAKE	44.6%	66.5%	Yes
LAKE OF THE WOODS	73.6%	77.0%	Yes
LE SUEUR	30.4%	48.5%	Yes
LINCOLN-LYON-MURRAY	29.5%	46.5%	Yes
MCLEOD	41.7%	46.4%	Yes
MAHNOMEN	22.0%	41.4%	Yes
MARSHALL	44.7%	62.8%	Yes
MEEKER	42.3%	49.3%	Yes
MILLE LACS	44.5%	52.4%	Yes
MORRISON	38.7%	41.8%	Yes
MOWER	36.0%	43.1%	Yes
NICOLLET	35.9%	39.7%	No
NOBLES	40.4%	53.8%	Yes

**TANF Work Participation Rate for Determination of 2012 Performance-based Funds
(Annualized April 2010 through March 2011)**

County	Last Year's Annual Rate April 2009 - March 2010	Annual Rate April 2010 - March 2011	Eligible for 2012 Performance-Based Funds
NORMAN	23.4%	44.3%	Yes
OLMSTED	35.2%	47.4%	Yes
OTTER TAIL	37.2%	50.2%	Yes
PENNINGTON	34.5%	49.8%	Yes
PINE	44.6%	52.8%	Yes
PIPESTONE	27.2%	39.1%	Yes
POLK	37.8%	39.1%	No
POPE	20.2%	39.3%	Yes
RAMSEY	29.3%	42.3%	Yes
RED LAKE	33.6%	61.8%	Yes
REDWOOD	30.8%	41.6%	Yes
RENVILLE	30.2%	34.4%	No
RICE	33.4%	40.4%	Yes
ROCK	42.7%	57.2%	Yes
ROSEAU	33.7%	59.2%	Yes
ST. LOUIS	33.6%	39.5%	Yes
SCOTT	40.3%	53.0%	Yes
SHERBURNE	27.8%	33.9%	Yes
SIBLEY	52.4%	48.1%	Yes
STEARNS	28.5%	40.0%	Yes
STEELE	23.1%	35.6%	Yes
STEVENS	56.0%	41.4%	Yes
SWIFT	25.7%	50.0%	Yes
TODD	29.2%	40.0%	Yes
TRAVERSE	11.1%	55.7%	Yes
WABASHA	29.6%	43.6%	Yes
WADENA	32.9%	45.7%	Yes
WASECA	59.6%	54.5%	Yes
WASHINGTON	36.3%	49.0%	Yes
WATONWAN	25.8%	42.7%	Yes
WILKIN	41.8%	63.1%	Yes
WINONA	30.2%	30.0%	No
WRIGHT	25.2%	35.5%	Yes
YELLOW MEDICINE	17.7%	24.5%	Yes
LEECH LAKE	27.6%	24.4%	No
MCT	22.1%	18.1%	No
RED LAKE	20.7%	21.7%	No
WHITE EARTH	19.8%	23.1%	No