

Minnesota Department of Human Services (DHS) Health Care Programs

[Almost entirely Medical Assistance (M) Program Recipients]

January Use of Nursing Facility (NF) Services

Service use rates per 1,000 population for each geographic area in the applicable age group.

Statewide and by County

For the Periods

January of 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011

Published Nov. 8, 2012

Minnesota Department of Human Services
Reports and Forecasts Division (Telephone 651-431-2944)
540 Cedar Street (P.O. Box 64996)
Saint Paul, MN 55164-0996

Email Address: DHS.ReportsandForecasts@state.mn.us

This information can be made available in other forms for people with disabilities by telephoning 651-431-2400 or through the Minnesota Relay Service at 1-800-627-3529 (TTY) or at 1-800-877-627-3848 (speech to speech relay service for persons who do not speak English).

Click on <MainDisplay> tab to see the actual data sheet.

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs

(Almost entirely Medical Assistance [also called MA or Medicaid])

January Nursing Facility Recipient Rates

Rates are per 1,000 people in each geographic area's population in the applicable age group.

Statewide and by County 2005 - 2011

This bulletin provides January recipiency rates for Title XIX (Medical Assistance / MA) nursing facilities (NFs) by county and by specific age groups for 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011.

The recipient rates are standardized by dividing each region's (county's) count of NF residents who were recipients of Medical Assistance by the U.S. Census Bureau's age-group population estimate for the county for the previous July and then multiplying it by 1,000. NF resident recipients were counted using the payments data in the department's Data Warehouse.

NF residents were counted if MA paid at least one NF claim for January services within six months. Most claims for January are paid in February, but we also looked for late claims for January service processed in the following six months.

This new edition of the recipiency rates provides tables of utilization for five age cohorts: 0-64 years old, 65 years old and up, 65-84 years old, 85 years old and up, and for All-Ages. (Note that two of the age groups overlap other smaller age breakouts.)

A summary of the older statewide recipient rates for 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, and 2004, for the age cohorts identified in this report, follows below.

Older Minnesota Medical Assistance (MA/Title XIX) Nursing Facility Recipiency Rates Per 1,000 by Age and Year

Year	0-64	65-84	85+	Total
1980	0.73	29.56	207.78	6.41
1985	0.68	28.05	216.03	6.65
1990	0.51	24.04	184.40	5.97
1995	0.59	24.88	187.71	6.54
2000	0.64	21.64	160.30	5.57
2004	0.57	16.63	120.93	4.37

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	001	AITKIN	0.17	0.0%	0.17	0.0%	0.17	147.1%	0.42	2.4%	0.43	-58.1%	0.18	133.3%	0.42	2
0-64	002	ANOKA	0.37	-2.7%	0.36	-8.3%	0.33	-6.1%	0.31	3.2%	0.32	-6.3%	0.30	3.3%	0.31	5
0-64	003	BECKER	0.61	11.5%	0.68	-16.2%	0.57	-7.0%	0.53	0.0%	0.53	-22.6%	0.41	53.7%	0.63	2
0-64	004	BELTRAMI	0.57	7.0%	0.61	21.3%	0.74	-21.6%	0.58	34.5%	0.78	9.0%	0.85	-8.2%	0.78	3
0-64	005	BENTON	0.23	26.1%	0.29	-51.7%	0.14	21.4%	0.17	47.1%	0.25	20.0%	0.30	-10.0%	0.27	3
0-64	006	BIG STONE	0.49	149.0%	1.22	-59.0%	0.50	0.0%	0.50	50.0%	0.75	1.3%	0.76	100.0%	1.52	1
0-64	007	BLUE EARTH	0.35	-22.9%	0.27	18.5%	0.32	6.3%	0.34	0.0%	0.34	-5.9%	0.32	-15.6%	0.27	3
0-64	008	BROWN	0.41	2.4%	0.42	0.0%	0.42	-42.9%	0.24	37.5%	0.33	45.5%	0.48	-20.8%	0.38	3
0-64	009	CARLTON	0.14	0.0%	0.14	121.4%	0.31	-32.3%	0.21	0.0%	0.21	33.3%	0.28	-53.6%	0.13	3
0-64	010	CARVER	0.20	-25.0%	0.15	0.0%	0.15	33.3%	0.20	-35.0%	0.13	7.7%	0.14	14.3%	0.16	3
0-64	011	CASS	0.52	-34.6%	0.34	11.8%	0.38	-21.1%	0.30	43.3%	0.43	23.3%	0.53	26.4%	0.67	2
0-64	012	CHIPPEWA	0.79	24.1%	0.98	20.4%	1.18	-23.7%	0.90	0.0%	0.90	-11.1%	0.80	0.0%	0.80	2
0-64	013	CHISAGO	0.14	42.9%	0.20	0.0%	0.20	-10.0%	0.18	22.2%	0.22	-50.0%	0.11	18.2%	0.13	3
0-64	014	CLAY	0.57	-1.8%	0.56	-1.8%	0.55	-1.8%	0.54	-20.4%	0.43	20.9%	0.52	-15.4%	0.44	4
0-64	015	CLEARWATER	0.73	-58.9%	0.30	150.0%	0.75	-20.0%	0.60	50.0%	0.90	-16.7%	0.75	-24.0%	0.57	1
0-64	016	COOK	0.23	0.0%	0.23	0.0%	0.23	95.7%	0.45	-100.0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.23	-100.0%	0.00	1
0-64	017	COTTONWOOD	0.64	-31.3%	0.44	0.0%	0.44	27.3%	0.56	-21.4%	0.44	-75.0%	0.11	200.0%	0.33	1
0-64	018	CROW WING	0.39	30.8%	0.51	-13.7%	0.44	11.4%	0.49	-4.1%	0.47	-8.5%	0.43	-9.3%	0.39	3
0-64	019	DAKOTA	0.26	-7.7%	0.24	-4.2%	0.23	-4.3%	0.22	-13.6%	0.19	5.3%	0.20	-15.0%	0.17	5
0-64	020	DODGE	0.18	61.1%	0.29	-41.4%	0.17	70.6%	0.29	-20.7%	0.23	0.0%	0.23	-73.9%	0.06	2
0-64	021	DOUGLAS	0.39	-28.2%	0.28	71.4%	0.48	20.8%	0.58	17.2%	0.68	-44.1%	0.38	-18.4%	0.31	2
0-64	022	FARIBAULT	0.58	1.7%	0.59	-27.1%	0.43	0.0%	0.43	20.9%	0.52	-32.7%	0.35	25.7%	0.44	3
0-64	023	FILLMORE	0.76	-38.2%	0.47	0.0%	0.47	36.2%	0.64	1.6%	0.65	-9.2%	0.59	20.3%	0.71	2
0-64	024	FREEBORN	0.74	-9.5%	0.67	11.9%	0.75	1.3%	0.76	0.0%	0.76	-15.8%	0.64	31.3%	0.84	2
0-64	025	GOODHUE	0.96	13.5%	1.09	-13.8%	0.94	2.1%	0.96	-5.2%	0.91	-12.1%	0.80	22.5%	0.98	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	026	GRANT	0.64	-32.8%	0.43	0.0%	0.43	102.3%	0.87	-49.4%	0.44	0.0%	0.44	-2.3%	0.43	1
0-64	027	HENNEPIN	1.03	-5.8%	0.97	-7.2%	0.90	0.0%	0.90	-5.6%	0.85	-2.4%	0.83	-4.8%	0.79	5
0-64	028	HOUSTON	0.37	16.2%	0.43	14.0%	0.49	-12.2%	0.43	2.3%	0.44	0.0%	0.44	-13.6%	0.38	2
0-64	029	HUBBARD	0.40	0.0%	0.40	-32.5%	0.27	100.0%	0.54	0.0%	0.54	0.0%	0.54	83.3%	0.99	2
0-64	030	ISANTI	0.31	-22.6%	0.24	45.8%	0.35	5.7%	0.37	-54.1%	0.17	0.0%	0.17	23.5%	0.21	2
0-64	031	ITASCA	0.49	0.0%	0.49	-16.3%	0.41	7.3%	0.44	11.4%	0.49	-16.3%	0.41	26.8%	0.52	3
0-64	032	JACKSON	0.80	-42.5%	0.46	50.0%	0.69	-15.9%	0.58	1.7%	0.59	20.3%	0.71	-14.1%	0.61	1
0-64	033	KANABEC	0.22	131.8%	0.51	-56.9%	0.22	163.6%	0.58	-12.1%	0.51	-56.9%	0.22	31.8%	0.29	1
0-64	034	KANDIYOHI	0.37	32.4%	0.49	-12.2%	0.43	27.9%	0.55	-5.5%	0.52	-11.5%	0.46	10.9%	0.51	3
0-64	035	KITTSOON	0.81	-32.1%	0.55	-49.1%	0.28	210.7%	0.87	-32.2%	0.59	152.5%	1.49	-4.7%	1.42	1
0-64	036	KOOCHICHING	1.08	0.9%	1.09	-15.6%	0.92	21.7%	1.12	-7.1%	1.04	-17.3%	0.86	30.2%	1.12	1
0-64	037	LAC QUI PARLE	0.86	-18.6%	0.70	27.1%	0.89	-20.2%	0.71	-23.9%	0.54	0.0%	0.54	-33.3%	0.36	1
0-64	038	LAKE	0.69	-15.9%	0.58	-39.7%	0.35	68.6%	0.59	-39.0%	0.36	66.7%	0.60	-40.0%	0.36	1
0-64	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	0.29	103.4%	0.59	-49.2%	0.30	3.3%	0.31	3.2%	0.32	-100.0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00	1
0-64	040	LE SUEUR	0.26	65.4%	0.43	7.0%	0.46	-8.7%	0.42	9.5%	0.46	0.0%	0.46	19.6%	0.55	2
0-64	041	LINCOLN	0.44	-50.0%	0.22	104.5%	0.45	-48.9%	0.23	-100.0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00	3
0-64	042	LYON	0.33	-15.2%	0.28	35.7%	0.38	-13.2%	0.33	0.0%	0.33	54.5%	0.51	23.5%	0.63	3
0-64	043	MCLEOD	0.26	-15.4%	0.22	27.3%	0.28	35.7%	0.38	-7.9%	0.35	71.4%	0.60	-30.0%	0.42	2
0-64	044	MAHNOMEN	0.24	0.0%	0.24	600.0%	1.68	-29.2%	1.19	0.0%	1.19	-59.7%	0.48	175.0%	1.32	1
0-64	045	MARSHALL	0.50	2.0%	0.51	25.5%	0.64	3.1%	0.66	-39.4%	0.40	35.0%	0.54	-27.8%	0.39	1
0-64	046	MARTIN	0.30	0.0%	0.30	23.3%	0.37	-67.6%	0.12	-50.0%	0.06	633.3%	0.44	-4.5%	0.42	3
0-64	047	MEEKER	0.31	16.1%	0.36	-27.8%	0.26	0.0%	0.26	76.9%	0.46	23.9%	0.57	-10.5%	0.51	2
0-64	048	MILLE LACS	0.57	-19.3%	0.46	-21.7%	0.36	25.0%	0.45	-40.0%	0.27	51.9%	0.41	34.1%	0.55	2
0-64	049	MORRISON	0.59	5.1%	0.62	-6.5%	0.58	-25.9%	0.43	34.9%	0.58	-25.9%	0.43	16.3%	0.50	3
0-64	050	MOWER	0.54	-22.2%	0.42	-31.0%	0.29	-20.7%	0.23	26.1%	0.29	20.7%	0.35	-20.0%	0.28	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	051	MURRAY	0.29	-48.3%	0.15	100.0%	0.30	0.0%	0.30	-50.0%	0.15	0.0%	0.15	-100.0%	0.00	3
0-64	052	NICOLLET	0.33	-21.2%	0.26	-15.4%	0.22	45.5%	0.32	-43.8%	0.18	38.9%	0.25	-32.0%	0.17	2
0-64	053	NOBLES	0.36	-16.7%	0.30	0.0%	0.30	40.0%	0.42	26.2%	0.53	-22.6%	0.41	-31.7%	0.28	2
0-64	054	NORMAN	0.36	105.6%	0.74	-74.3%	0.19	205.3%	0.58	32.8%	0.77	-23.4%	0.59	120.3%	1.30	1
0-64	055	OLMSTED	0.30	-13.3%	0.26	15.4%	0.30	-6.7%	0.28	7.1%	0.30	0.0%	0.30	-26.7%	0.22	4
0-64	056	OTTER TAIL	0.54	-24.1%	0.41	43.9%	0.59	5.1%	0.62	-21.0%	0.49	-8.2%	0.45	13.3%	0.51	3
0-64	057	PENNINGTON	0.70	-12.9%	0.61	-29.5%	0.43	0.0%	0.43	39.5%	0.60	43.3%	0.86	-40.7%	0.51	2
0-64	058	PINE	0.34	-38.2%	0.21	100.0%	0.42	-19.0%	0.34	-26.5%	0.25	84.0%	0.46	-13.0%	0.40	2
0-64	059	PIPESTONE	0.81	54.3%	1.25	-33.6%	0.83	65.1%	1.37	-21.2%	1.08	-25.0%	0.81	12.3%	0.91	1
0-64	060	POLK	0.63	30.2%	0.82	4.9%	0.86	-18.6%	0.70	12.9%	0.79	13.9%	0.90	-36.7%	0.57	3
0-64	061	POPE	0.34	-67.6%	0.11	109.1%	0.23	100.0%	0.46	-23.9%	0.35	0.0%	0.35	0.0%	0.35	2
0-64	062	RAMSEY	0.84	-8.3%	0.77	-2.6%	0.75	-10.7%	0.67	4.5%	0.70	1.4%	0.71	-5.6%	0.67	5
0-64	063	RED LAKE	0.57	50.9%	0.86	-100.0%	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.30	96.7%	0.59	0.0%	0.59	-49.2%	0.30	1
0-64	064	REDWOOD	0.47	0.0%	0.47	19.1%	0.56	0.0%	0.56	14.3%	0.64	26.6%	0.81	-23.5%	0.62	1
0-64	065	RENVILLE	0.67	22.4%	0.82	-17.1%	0.68	-32.4%	0.46	2.2%	0.47	-17.0%	0.39	20.5%	0.47	2
0-64	066	RICE	0.28	-21.4%	0.22	-18.2%	0.18	94.4%	0.35	-42.9%	0.20	0.0%	0.20	-10.0%	0.18	3
0-64	067	ROCK	0.53	0.0%	0.53	0.0%	0.53	-26.4%	0.39	0.0%	0.39	0.0%	0.39	-35.9%	0.25	1
0-64	068	ROSEAU	0.28	25.0%	0.35	-60.0%	0.14	107.1%	0.29	0.0%	0.29	75.9%	0.51	2.0%	0.52	1
0-64	069	ST. LOUIS	0.65	-7.7%	0.60	6.7%	0.64	-6.3%	0.60	-11.7%	0.53	24.5%	0.66	-3.0%	0.64	5
0-64	070	SCOTT	0.07	42.9%	0.10	0.0%	0.10	40.0%	0.14	21.4%	0.17	-41.2%	0.10	40.0%	0.14	3
0-64	071	SHERBURNE	0.22	22.7%	0.27	-25.9%	0.20	-10.0%	0.18	16.7%	0.21	-4.8%	0.20	-10.0%	0.18	3
0-64	072	SIBLEY	0.55	-41.8%	0.32	50.0%	0.48	-2.1%	0.47	17.0%	0.55	16.4%	0.64	-39.1%	0.39	2
0-64	073	STEARNS	0.34	0.0%	0.34	11.8%	0.38	-21.1%	0.30	13.3%	0.34	-17.6%	0.28	10.7%	0.31	4
0-64	074	STEELE	0.36	-27.8%	0.26	73.1%	0.45	-44.4%	0.25	0.0%	0.25	40.0%	0.35	-71.4%	0.10	2
0-64	075	STEVENS	0.25	0.0%	0.25	0.0%	0.25	152.0%	0.63	-20.6%	0.50	-26.0%	0.37	0.0%	0.37	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	076	SWIFT	0.65	10.8%	0.72	-23.6%	0.55	100.0%	1.10	-29.1%	0.78	44.9%	1.13	-20.4%	0.90	2
0-64	077	TODD	0.59	1.7%	0.60	33.3%	0.80	-12.5%	0.70	-35.7%	0.45	-22.2%	0.35	65.7%	0.58	3
0-64	078	TRAVERSE	1.79	1.1%	1.81	-59.7%	0.73	2.7%	0.75	53.3%	1.15	0.9%	1.16	-34.5%	0.76	1
0-64	079	WABASHA	0.49	0.0%	0.49	22.4%	0.60	-18.3%	0.49	22.4%	0.60	0.0%	0.60	-26.7%	0.44	2
0-64	080	WADENA	0.75	-12.0%	0.66	43.9%	0.95	9.5%	1.04	28.8%	1.34	-20.9%	1.06	3.8%	1.10	2
0-64	081	WASECA	0.36	-50.0%	0.18	0.0%	0.18	33.3%	0.24	75.0%	0.42	33.3%	0.56	-12.5%	0.49	1
0-64	082	WASHINGTON	0.21	-9.5%	0.19	-15.8%	0.16	-12.5%	0.14	7.1%	0.15	6.7%	0.16	-6.3%	0.15	4
0-64	083	WATONWAN	0.43	27.9%	0.55	41.8%	0.78	-28.2%	0.56	-58.9%	0.23	47.8%	0.34	29.4%	0.44	1
0-64	084	WILKIN	0.89	1.1%	0.90	-17.8%	0.74	1.4%	0.75	77.3%	1.33	16.5%	1.55	-40.6%	0.92	1
0-64	085	WINONA	0.66	-7.6%	0.61	6.6%	0.65	-3.1%	0.63	-7.9%	0.58	-15.5%	0.49	0.0%	0.49	3
0-64	086	WRIGHT	0.28	-21.4%	0.22	-4.5%	0.21	0.0%	0.21	-4.8%	0.20	5.0%	0.21	0.0%	0.21	4
0-64	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	0.72	-15.3%	0.61	-39.3%	0.37	2.7%	0.38	0.0%	0.38	2.6%	0.39	-38.5%	0.24	1
0-64	All	Statewide	0.57	-5.7%	0.54	-3.6%	0.52	-1.9%	0.51	-2.7%	0.50	-0.3%	0.49	-3.4%	0.48	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	001	AITKIN	15.12	5.3%	15.92	-6.1%	14.95	-22.2%	11.63	-2.0%	11.40	-16.4%	9.53	-6.4%	8.92	2
>= 65 < 85	002	ANOKA	12.53	4.4%	13.08	-5.4%	12.37	-9.6%	11.18	-6.9%	10.41	-8.1%	9.57	-17.8%	7.87	5
>= 65 < 85	003	BECKER	22.26	1.6%	22.61	6.9%	24.17	-13.3%	20.96	-0.2%	20.91	-3.0%	20.29	-17.0%	16.85	2
>= 65 < 85	004	BELTRAMI	21.44	1.1%	21.68	-12.0%	19.07	-12.9%	16.61	-14.1%	14.27	-10.9%	12.71	-1.3%	12.55	3
>= 65 < 85	005	BENTON	22.33	12.2%	25.05	4.6%	26.19	-29.2%	18.53	-0.9%	18.37	14.4%	21.02	-18.9%	17.04	3
>= 65 < 85	006	BIG STONE	29.22	21.6%	35.52	-11.3%	31.51	-32.8%	21.16	62.9%	34.48	-33.3%	23.01	-10.0%	20.70	1
>= 65 < 85	007	BLUE EARTH	12.31	-8.5%	11.26	14.2%	12.86	-18.7%	10.45	-2.9%	10.15	-8.0%	9.34	2.7%	9.59	3
>= 65 < 85	008	BROWN	20.16	-0.5%	20.06	3.9%	20.84	-26.1%	15.40	8.4%	16.69	-13.9%	14.37	-9.0%	13.07	3
>= 65 < 85	009	CARLTON	22.40	-6.3%	20.98	-15.3%	17.77	6.4%	18.91	7.9%	20.40	-43.2%	11.58	-8.2%	10.63	3
>= 65 < 85	010	CARVER	13.56	-22.1%	10.56	0.3%	10.59	-2.0%	10.38	9.3%	11.35	-29.6%	7.99	34.5%	10.75	3
>= 65 < 85	011	CASS	9.55	18.6%	11.33	-8.6%	10.36	-12.9%	9.02	-18.4%	7.36	-7.6%	6.80	18.4%	8.05	2
>= 65 < 85	012	CHIPPEWA	18.57	23.4%	22.92	-6.6%	21.40	-8.5%	19.58	19.1%	23.32	23.3%	28.75	-13.8%	24.77	2
>= 65 < 85	013	CHISAGO	15.58	-30.2%	10.87	8.4%	11.78	-7.4%	10.91	-19.6%	8.77	9.4%	9.59	6.4%	10.20	3
>= 65 < 85	014	CLAY	20.02	-3.8%	19.25	5.1%	20.23	-11.6%	17.88	-9.0%	16.27	14.1%	18.56	-0.3%	18.51	4
>= 65 < 85	015	CLEARWATER	31.69	-22.2%	24.65	-5.1%	23.39	-10.3%	20.97	-29.3%	14.82	-3.2%	14.34	5.9%	15.18	1
>= 65 < 85	016	COOK	13.48	0.1%	13.50	13.9%	15.38	-2.7%	14.96	-19.1%	12.11	-21.6%	9.49	62.3%	15.40	1
>= 65 < 85	017	COTTONWOOD	17.69	-9.8%	15.96	15.2%	18.38	-18.5%	14.98	39.0%	20.82	-28.1%	14.97	-8.9%	13.64	1
>= 65 < 85	018	CROW WING	14.18	-13.4%	12.28	-12.4%	10.76	-27.4%	7.81	10.5%	8.63	-6.8%	8.04	-7.2%	7.46	3
>= 65 < 85	019	DAKOTA	10.60	-6.4%	9.92	-7.7%	9.16	11.7%	10.23	-10.4%	9.17	-5.9%	8.63	-9.6%	7.80	5
>= 65 < 85	020	DODGE	12.55	11.6%	14.01	1.1%	14.17	-15.7%	11.94	18.8%	14.19	-26.6%	10.42	-2.2%	10.19	2
>= 65 < 85	021	DOUGLAS	19.90	-9.9%	17.93	1.4%	18.18	-22.6%	14.08	10.9%	15.61	-6.3%	14.63	-16.1%	12.28	2
>= 65 < 85	022	FARIBAUT	25.65	-0.4%	25.56	3.0%	26.32	-23.5%	20.14	-16.3%	16.86	-0.5%	16.77	-3.0%	16.27	3
>= 65 < 85	023	FILLMORE	23.80	4.5%	24.86	1.0%	25.11	-3.1%	24.34	-6.6%	22.74	-4.2%	21.78	-1.4%	21.48	2
>= 65 < 85	024	FREEBORN	19.69	-15.3%	16.68	2.6%	17.12	-11.3%	15.18	-1.5%	14.95	13.5%	16.97	1.2%	17.17	2
>= 65 < 85	025	GOODHUE	18.77	-14.1%	16.13	8.2%	17.46	-8.6%	15.95	-8.8%	14.54	-4.3%	13.92	-15.4%	11.78	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	026	GRANT	23.98	-0.9%	23.77	-28.9%	16.89	-11.0%	15.04	19.1%	17.92	-33.4%	11.94	-0.2%	11.92	1
>= 65 < 85	027	HENNEPIN	18.10	-4.1%	17.36	-1.1%	17.17	-0.6%	17.07	-4.6%	16.28	-4.5%	15.55	-2.3%	15.19	5
>= 65 < 85	028	HOUSTON	19.32	-16.8%	16.07	6.7%	17.14	-27.3%	12.46	13.3%	14.12	8.4%	15.31	-16.5%	12.79	2
>= 65 < 85	029	HUBBARD	13.57	-22.3%	10.55	-12.5%	9.23	3.8%	9.58	-26.2%	7.07	30.8%	9.25	-17.4%	7.64	2
>= 65 < 85	030	ISANTI	20.31	-11.5%	17.98	-11.2%	15.97	-2.1%	15.64	-24.3%	11.84	9.1%	12.92	-17.3%	10.68	2
>= 65 < 85	031	ITASCA	13.72	-19.1%	11.10	10.0%	12.21	-3.3%	11.81	-11.7%	10.43	-16.5%	8.71	13.4%	9.88	3
>= 65 < 85	032	JACKSON	11.95	29.4%	15.46	-27.6%	11.20	34.1%	15.02	28.8%	19.35	-48.9%	9.88	6.1%	10.48	1
>= 65 < 85	033	KANABEC	15.56	-25.1%	11.66	39.3%	16.24	-14.5%	13.88	28.3%	17.81	8.0%	19.23	-14.2%	16.50	1
>= 65 < 85	034	KANDIYOHI	17.46	14.4%	19.97	-7.3%	18.51	-0.4%	18.43	-0.3%	18.38	-18.3%	15.01	-10.9%	13.37	3
>= 65 < 85	035	KITTSOON	26.00	1.3%	26.35	-3.6%	25.39	-3.9%	24.39	41.9%	34.61	-34.8%	22.58	-3.6%	21.77	1
>= 65 < 85	036	KOOCHICHING	26.32	-12.1%	23.13	-11.1%	20.57	-0.8%	20.41	1.3%	20.67	-16.3%	17.31	-9.2%	15.72	1
>= 65 < 85	037	LAC QUI PARLE	24.50	2.6%	25.13	-11.9%	22.15	11.9%	24.79	14.9%	28.48	-10.0%	25.62	24.3%	31.84	1
>= 65 < 85	038	LAKE	10.41	-1.9%	10.21	-3.3%	9.87	-2.1%	9.66	0.1%	9.67	-4.3%	9.25	-48.1%	4.80	1
>= 65 < 85	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	23.35	-35.0%	15.17	-18.2%	12.41	23.4%	15.32	-2.7%	14.91	56.0%	23.26	-8.9%	21.19	1
>= 65 < 85	040	LE SUEUR	15.77	-2.5%	15.37	18.5%	18.22	-21.9%	14.23	-14.6%	12.15	-2.2%	11.88	2.2%	12.14	2
>= 65 < 85	041	LINCOLN	24.43	-2.2%	23.89	-8.0%	21.99	-26.2%	16.23	27.5%	20.70	-25.9%	15.34	-3.3%	14.83	3
>= 65 < 85	042	LYON	17.45	-19.3%	14.09	41.7%	19.97	-13.6%	17.25	-0.6%	17.15	4.6%	17.94	-7.4%	16.61	3
>= 65 < 85	043	MCLEOD	16.44	-3.6%	15.85	-1.7%	15.58	-22.9%	12.01	-2.2%	11.75	19.4%	14.03	-4.6%	13.39	2
>= 65 < 85	044	MAHNOMEN	11.05	11.6%	12.33	91.7%	23.64	22.2%	28.89	-29.2%	20.46	6.8%	21.86	-20.1%	17.47	1
>= 65 < 85	045	MARSHALL	23.15	30.7%	30.26	-11.5%	26.78	5.9%	28.36	-13.7%	24.48	-3.3%	23.66	-3.3%	22.88	1
>= 65 < 85	046	MARTIN	15.39	7.5%	16.55	3.7%	17.17	-24.8%	12.91	-2.7%	12.56	22.6%	15.40	3.2%	15.89	3
>= 65 < 85	047	MEEKER	14.25	22.6%	17.47	-26.9%	12.77	-9.0%	11.62	20.1%	13.96	-5.7%	13.17	-20.3%	10.50	2
>= 65 < 85	048	MILLE LACS	20.11	4.2%	20.96	-10.8%	18.70	-11.9%	16.48	-11.5%	14.58	-8.6%	13.32	15.9%	15.44	2
>= 65 < 85	049	MORRISON	17.63	3.6%	18.26	3.9%	18.98	-17.8%	15.60	-1.7%	15.34	-7.3%	14.22	1.4%	14.42	3
>= 65 < 85	050	MOWER	18.99	-4.3%	18.18	-3.4%	17.57	-11.6%	15.53	1.4%	15.74	-16.3%	13.18	-4.4%	12.60	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	051	MURRAY	21.34	4.8%	22.36	-16.6%	18.64	7.6%	20.05	-29.3%	14.18	20.1%	17.03	-23.2%	13.08	3
>= 65 < 85	052	NICOLLET	12.15	-12.7%	10.61	1.2%	10.74	-5.8%	10.12	18.9%	12.03	-7.1%	11.18	-0.9%	11.08	2
>= 65 < 85	053	NOBLES	16.68	-1.1%	16.50	1.4%	16.73	-16.3%	14.00	-9.0%	12.74	31.6%	16.77	-15.4%	14.18	2
>= 65 < 85	054	NORMAN	29.95	37.6%	41.21	-5.6%	38.92	-2.6%	37.92	0.3%	38.02	-32.0%	25.85	-7.5%	23.91	1
>= 65 < 85	055	OLMSTED	11.70	0.9%	11.81	-2.6%	11.50	-17.0%	9.55	8.2%	10.33	-17.5%	8.52	-1.5%	8.39	4
>= 65 < 85	056	OTTER TAIL	16.34	-9.4%	14.81	-2.4%	14.46	3.7%	15.00	-1.4%	14.79	-8.0%	13.61	-8.5%	12.45	3
>= 65 < 85	057	PENNINGTON	21.78	15.9%	25.24	-0.9%	25.01	-11.0%	22.26	1.1%	22.50	-19.2%	18.17	21.8%	22.14	2
>= 65 < 85	058	PINE	18.39	4.5%	19.22	-8.2%	17.64	-14.7%	15.04	-5.8%	14.17	1.4%	14.37	-11.7%	12.69	2
>= 65 < 85	059	PIPESTONE	25.72	-12.4%	22.53	-11.5%	19.93	-4.4%	19.06	36.5%	26.02	-21.9%	20.31	26.1%	25.61	1
>= 65 < 85	060	POLK	25.91	-5.9%	24.38	2.1%	24.90	-13.2%	21.62	0.3%	21.69	-5.3%	20.53	0.0%	20.52	3
>= 65 < 85	061	POPE	19.98	-33.1%	13.36	4.7%	13.99	27.4%	17.82	6.7%	19.01	30.9%	24.89	-19.1%	20.14	2
>= 65 < 85	062	RAMSEY	18.25	-6.2%	17.12	-3.4%	16.54	-9.1%	15.04	-4.7%	14.33	-1.5%	14.12	-0.4%	14.07	5
>= 65 < 85	063	RED LAKE	29.60	-28.0%	21.31	-31.2%	14.66	0.6%	14.75	50.9%	22.26	-14.7%	18.99	30.1%	24.71	1
>= 65 < 85	064	REDWOOD	18.59	-24.2%	14.09	-14.0%	12.12	20.1%	14.56	16.8%	17.00	4.1%	17.70	-17.2%	14.65	1
>= 65 < 85	065	RENVILLE	13.71	23.5%	16.93	-19.1%	13.69	7.7%	14.75	-8.8%	13.45	-11.2%	11.95	16.2%	13.89	2
>= 65 < 85	066	RICE	10.65	24.1%	13.22	-17.3%	10.93	7.2%	11.72	24.0%	14.53	-7.6%	13.43	-6.9%	12.51	3
>= 65 < 85	067	ROCK	12.57	17.6%	14.78	7.6%	15.91	-22.0%	12.41	17.6%	14.59	-28.0%	10.51	-28.0%	7.57	1
>= 65 < 85	068	ROSEAU	23.74	-2.7%	23.09	20.7%	27.88	-18.4%	22.75	-2.9%	22.09	2.3%	22.60	-4.5%	21.58	1
>= 65 < 85	069	ST. LOUIS	20.41	-1.7%	20.07	-17.4%	16.58	5.2%	17.44	-11.7%	15.40	-15.1%	13.07	-0.2%	13.05	5
>= 65 < 85	070	SCOTT	10.77	-14.9%	9.16	-22.4%	7.11	3.0%	7.32	3.1%	7.55	4.8%	7.91	-19.3%	6.38	3
>= 65 < 85	071	SHERBURNE	9.12	4.2%	9.50	-2.0%	9.31	1.3%	9.43	3.6%	9.77	3.6%	10.12	-12.5%	8.86	3
>= 65 < 85	072	SIBLEY	17.66	-19.8%	14.17	20.4%	17.06	-9.7%	15.41	-7.3%	14.28	-12.0%	12.56	-0.6%	12.49	2
>= 65 < 85	073	STEARNS	12.69	-7.7%	11.71	9.1%	12.78	-2.7%	12.44	-16.0%	10.45	-0.9%	10.36	11.0%	11.50	4
>= 65 < 85	074	STEELE	12.93	0.3%	12.97	0.5%	13.04	-13.3%	11.31	-14.6%	9.66	-5.8%	9.10	-29.5%	6.42	2
>= 65 < 85	075	STEVENS	18.93	-18.4%	15.44	6.5%	16.44	-18.8%	13.35	30.5%	17.42	-22.4%	13.51	-35.5%	8.72	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	076	SWIFT	27.11	10.6%	29.99	-4.4%	28.68	-11.4%	25.40	16.7%	29.64	-8.6%	27.08	4.8%	28.39	2
>= 65 < 85	077	TODD	25.85	-17.4%	21.36	-12.6%	18.66	15.8%	21.60	13.5%	24.51	-10.0%	22.07	-6.3%	20.67	3
>= 65 < 85	078	TRAVERSE	28.99	26.4%	36.63	10.3%	40.40	-16.9%	33.59	-10.5%	30.07	37.8%	41.44	-29.4%	29.25	1
>= 65 < 85	079	WABASHA	21.82	-12.3%	19.14	-13.6%	16.54	-14.3%	14.18	-6.9%	13.20	35.3%	17.86	-14.1%	15.34	2
>= 65 < 85	080	WADENA	23.49	4.0%	24.42	-17.4%	20.18	-14.2%	17.31	-7.7%	15.98	14.9%	18.36	10.3%	20.25	2
>= 65 < 85	081	WASECA	15.90	19.4%	18.99	-27.0%	13.86	-30.2%	9.67	-19.8%	7.76	112.4%	16.48	-31.4%	11.31	1
>= 65 < 85	082	WASHINGTON	8.47	9.2%	9.25	-15.9%	7.78	2.8%	8.00	-1.8%	7.86	-5.9%	7.40	-7.4%	6.85	4
>= 65 < 85	083	WATONWAN	16.57	-9.9%	14.93	39.7%	20.85	-2.2%	20.40	24.3%	25.35	-29.6%	17.85	-9.0%	16.24	1
>= 65 < 85	084	WILKIN	24.20	-2.1%	23.68	6.6%	25.25	5.7%	26.68	12.1%	29.91	-24.1%	22.70	4.1%	23.64	1
>= 65 < 85	085	WINONA	20.19	7.0%	21.61	12.1%	24.22	-8.3%	22.21	2.2%	22.69	-19.0%	18.37	-3.5%	17.73	3
>= 65 < 85	086	WRIGHT	15.10	-13.8%	13.01	4.2%	13.56	-9.7%	12.25	-18.6%	9.97	-4.3%	9.54	-1.5%	9.40	4
>= 65 < 85	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	23.20	-7.1%	21.56	-7.0%	20.06	-13.9%	17.27	-7.4%	15.99	49.2%	23.85	-21.7%	18.67	1
>= 65 < 85	All	Statewide	16.86		16.23		15.66		14.64		14.16		13.34		12.69	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	001	AITKIN	202.27	-9.2%	183.63	-11.2%	163.14	-2.9%	158.44	-20.8%	125.49	-12.3%	110.00	0.2%	110.20	2
>= 85	002	ANOKA	113.45	-13.8%	97.80	-8.3%	89.69	-4.7%	85.44	-5.4%	80.85	-3.2%	78.25	3.0%	80.57	5
>= 85	003	BECKER	190.88	2.3%	195.34	-5.4%	184.72	-18.2%	151.07	-10.7%	134.88	1.4%	136.81	12.8%	154.35	2
>= 85	004	BELTRAMI	139.26	-3.2%	134.78	-13.7%	116.25	-6.9%	108.25	3.4%	111.97	-10.4%	100.38	-13.2%	87.15	3
>= 85	005	BENTON	118.42	-2.4%	115.58	3.0%	119.02	12.0%	133.25	-1.1%	131.78	-13.5%	113.96	-19.9%	91.30	3
>= 85	006	BIG STONE	175.97	11.3%	195.83	-7.7%	180.72	-8.5%	165.35	17.1%	193.68	-11.7%	171.10	-12.7%	149.43	1
>= 85	007	BLUE EARTH	75.99	4.2%	79.20	-2.4%	77.29	6.5%	82.28	-15.3%	69.68	4.3%	72.69	-8.3%	66.67	3
>= 85	008	BROWN	145.00	20.2%	174.30	-16.9%	144.82	1.0%	146.22	1.3%	148.10	-3.8%	142.50	-21.2%	112.34	3
>= 85	009	CARLTON	194.09	-6.3%	181.82	-9.5%	164.51	0.4%	165.17	6.4%	175.82	-19.1%	142.18	-7.7%	131.25	3
>= 85	010	CARVER	126.12	-6.3%	118.16	-7.3%	109.55	-10.4%	98.13	-5.3%	92.90	-2.7%	90.35	4.6%	94.55	3
>= 85	011	CASS	102.70	8.8%	111.71	5.1%	117.42	-5.2%	111.31	-26.0%	82.42	15.6%	95.24	-19.8%	76.38	2
>= 85	012	CHIPPEWA	153.36	3.4%	158.56	-0.8%	157.35	3.7%	163.22	-0.5%	162.45	-5.4%	153.68	8.5%	166.67	2
>= 85	013	CHISAGO	153.71	-10.6%	137.46	-1.2%	135.82	-17.3%	112.34	-9.2%	102.01	-18.9%	82.73	-9.5%	74.85	3
>= 85	014	CLAY	142.25	-7.3%	131.86	-14.0%	113.36	-3.4%	109.54	8.2%	118.52	-14.4%	101.42	16.0%	117.65	4
>= 85	015	CLEARWATER	222.66	-6.3%	208.66	3.1%	215.09	-18.4%	175.44	-8.4%	160.71	1.8%	163.57	-7.5%	151.26	1
>= 85	016	COOK	130.77	16.2%	152.00	-19.0%	123.08	-17.5%	101.56	21.2%	123.08	27.9%	157.48	-15.0%	133.80	1
>= 85	017	COTTONWOOD	133.46	-8.6%	122.00	6.3%	129.70	7.0%	138.73	8.6%	150.68	-2.0%	147.61	-19.0%	119.52	1
>= 85	018	CROW WING	98.85	-12.0%	86.96	0.7%	87.53	-2.1%	85.69	-3.8%	82.43	-2.6%	80.32	-13.7%	69.31	3
>= 85	019	DAKOTA	91.27	-1.2%	90.13	-11.8%	79.50	2.3%	81.35	-9.2%	73.83	-4.8%	70.28	-19.7%	56.43	5
>= 85	020	DODGE	82.11	12.6%	92.44	-3.8%	88.95	8.5%	96.51	-16.8%	80.31	4.3%	83.76	-16.4%	70.00	2
>= 85	021	DOUGLAS	154.55	-12.2%	135.76	3.4%	140.43	-18.8%	114.08	12.0%	127.81	-24.4%	96.58	-14.3%	82.74	2
>= 85	022	FARIBAULT	112.50	9.0%	122.58	3.4%	126.72	-1.5%	124.80	-18.9%	101.25	2.4%	103.72	8.0%	112.04	3
>= 85	023	FILLMORE	153.64	0.3%	154.16	-6.7%	143.85	19.5%	171.83	2.6%	176.22	-5.2%	167.14	-9.6%	151.02	2
>= 85	024	FREEBORN	144.94	-1.8%	142.27	-4.2%	136.27	-12.2%	119.65	5.6%	126.34	-7.5%	116.85	-14.9%	99.47	2
>= 85	025	GOODHUE	120.31	-1.0%	119.15	2.1%	121.64	-12.1%	106.88	4.7%	111.94	11.8%	125.10	-14.1%	107.45	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	026	GRANT	179.10	10.8%	198.44	-13.0%	172.69	-24.5%	130.43	14.4%	149.19	-0.8%	148.00	-19.4%	119.34	1
>= 85	027	HENNEPIN	92.52	-6.4%	86.62	-0.8%	85.89	-4.7%	81.83	-6.9%	76.19	-4.2%	72.96	-4.2%	69.93	5
>= 85	028	HOUSTON	147.27	-10.3%	132.11	0.2%	132.38	-3.6%	127.59	-14.5%	109.09	5.4%	114.94	-10.1%	103.33	2
>= 85	029	HUBBARD	135.14	-14.6%	115.38	7.4%	123.87	-12.3%	108.65	-16.3%	90.91	-11.3%	80.65	-22.5%	62.50	2
>= 85	030	ISANTI	144.30	-5.5%	136.36	8.6%	148.03	-20.0%	118.48	12.4%	133.12	-9.2%	120.83	-19.1%	97.71	2
>= 85	031	ITASCA	129.65	-9.3%	117.65	-16.6%	98.10	-2.9%	95.24	10.0%	104.73	0.5%	105.26	-19.8%	84.46	3
>= 85	032	JACKSON	104.65	3.7%	108.55	20.4%	130.72	-38.6%	80.26	39.0%	111.58	-21.1%	88.05	7.7%	94.79	1
>= 85	033	KANABEC	105.26	3.1%	108.53	7.0%	116.10	13.6%	131.87	8.3%	142.86	10.8%	158.30	9.2%	172.88	1
>= 85	034	KANDIYOH	145.07	10.1%	159.69	-5.4%	151.14	-2.6%	147.17	-13.1%	127.90	-6.4%	119.67	-18.7%	97.30	3
>= 85	035	KITTSO	202.53	-9.3%	183.76	15.2%	211.62	-12.2%	185.84	-4.3%	177.78	-16.0%	149.32	-0.5%	148.51	1
>= 85	036	KOOCHIC	179.22	1.1%	181.14	-3.4%	175.06	-10.2%	157.14	-0.6%	156.18	-9.8%	140.81	3.3%	145.50	1
>= 85	037	LAC QUI	151.10	4.1%	157.30	23.0%	193.46	-12.9%	168.48	-13.4%	145.95	-3.0%	141.64	23.1%	174.42	1
>= 85	038	LAKE	143.84	-8.1%	132.26	-28.6%	94.46	-14.5%	80.81	22.9%	99.32	-0.7%	98.64	-40.9%	58.31	1
>= 85	039	LAKE OF	198.28	-18.1%	162.39	-4.1%	155.74	-12.4%	136.36	7.5%	146.55	4.6%	153.23	-24.9%	115.04	1
>= 85	040	LE SUEUR	164.02	-9.5%	148.46	-3.0%	144.00	-4.4%	137.60	-6.4%	128.73	14.2%	146.96	-5.2%	139.26	2
>= 85	041	LINCOLN	179.81	-2.6%	175.16	16.0%	203.23	-5.7%	191.56	4.1%	199.36	1.0%	201.28	-5.0%	191.28	3
>= 85	042	LYON	156.83	-3.1%	151.97	1.8%	154.65	-10.7%	138.11	-4.0%	132.64	1.1%	134.05	-10.3%	120.29	3
>= 85	043	MCLEOD	132.67	-2.7%	129.15	9.6%	141.53	-16.8%	117.79	14.3%	134.59	-4.6%	128.35	-25.4%	95.78	2
>= 85	044	MAHNOMEN	210.53	4.5%	220.00	-15.4%	186.21	-1.7%	183.10	6.9%	195.65	-21.7%	153.28	41.1%	216.22	1
>= 85	045	MARSHALL	219.08	-17.1%	181.52	8.4%	196.83	-12.7%	171.88	4.2%	179.10	-11.5%	158.54	-7.4%	146.85	1
>= 85	046	MARTIN	118.69	1.3%	120.20	19.9%	144.06	-10.3%	129.19	-13.8%	111.37	4.7%	116.63	-14.1%	100.22	3
>= 85	047	MEEKER	132.02	5.5%	139.30	-24.5%	105.11	7.1%	112.55	18.2%	133.05	-8.4%	121.81	13.9%	138.80	2
>= 85	048	MILLE LACS	211.54	-19.7%	169.90	-9.3%	154.09	0.0%	154.09	-7.3%	142.86	-3.3%	138.10	-2.2%	135.09	2
>= 85	049	MORRISON	165.60	-6.2%	155.35	5.0%	163.11	-19.4%	131.44	-8.5%	120.25	-5.4%	113.78	0.0%	113.83	3
>= 85	050	MOWER	102.06	-8.5%	93.42	-5.8%	88.00	7.1%	94.24	5.5%	99.43	-3.1%	96.39	-5.9%	90.72	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	051	MURRAY	181.54	-8.8%	165.63	18.5%	196.32	-19.4%	158.23	11.1%	175.72	-26.7%	128.74	14.5%	147.40	3
>= 85	052	NICOLLET	111.32	9.8%	122.18	-25.6%	90.91	-13.8%	78.36	32.0%	103.45	-16.9%	85.99	2.8%	88.39	2
>= 85	053	NOBLES	148.09	0.4%	148.73	-9.2%	135.05	-9.1%	122.75	-15.1%	104.17	-20.2%	83.09	-2.9%	80.72	2
>= 85	054	NORMAN	190.48	12.6%	214.57	-5.3%	203.13	-29.1%	143.97	26.0%	181.45	-0.8%	179.92	-31.9%	122.45	1
>= 85	055	OLMSTED	82.95	-5.1%	78.70	5.5%	83.04	-13.9%	71.48	-13.6%	61.79	8.4%	66.97	-9.9%	60.34	4
>= 85	056	OTTER TAIL	155.36	3.7%	161.06	8.8%	175.31	-11.0%	155.94	-14.7%	132.96	-7.3%	123.27	-0.4%	122.74	3
>= 85	057	PENNINGTON	146.34	-19.7%	117.51	-2.9%	114.16	4.1%	118.88	-3.0%	115.29	-13.1%	100.24	10.8%	111.11	2
>= 85	058	PINE	121.03	9.7%	132.83	-15.9%	111.71	-1.9%	109.54	-1.0%	108.39	9.5%	118.67	-4.9%	112.81	2
>= 85	059	PIPESTONE	176.47	-8.2%	162.03	2.6%	166.25	-13.7%	143.55	1.4%	145.50	-5.3%	137.77	-23.4%	105.52	1
>= 85	060	POLK	147.00	3.1%	151.49	-6.1%	142.31	2.0%	145.15	5.8%	153.55	9.3%	167.78	-6.3%	157.14	3
>= 85	061	POPE	162.79	-15.9%	136.87	-0.8%	135.75	-25.7%	100.90	60.2%	161.66	-5.9%	152.07	-1.9%	149.25	2
>= 85	062	RAMSEY	95.33	-8.9%	86.83	-3.4%	83.89	-9.3%	76.07	-7.1%	70.68	-11.1%	62.85	9.6%	68.88	5
>= 85	063	RED LAKE	188.41	-0.5%	187.50	-4.9%	178.29	-26.8%	130.43	9.5%	142.86	-20.6%	113.48	40.6%	159.57	1
>= 85	064	REDWOOD	109.03	5.7%	115.20	19.9%	138.15	-20.2%	110.25	4.1%	114.73	13.0%	129.66	-21.5%	101.72	1
>= 85	065	RENVILLE	121.58	-7.4%	112.63	-4.8%	107.20	-0.3%	106.83	-10.5%	95.56	16.9%	111.70	-22.0%	87.10	2
>= 85	066	RICE	112.23	-10.3%	100.63	11.5%	112.17	-21.0%	88.64	-3.5%	85.57	-7.9%	78.77	4.3%	82.12	3
>= 85	067	ROCK	113.92	6.4%	121.21	16.1%	140.76	-9.3%	127.60	37.5%	175.44	0.0%	175.44	-29.9%	123.04	1
>= 85	068	ROSEAU	200.00	2.3%	204.55	-8.7%	186.67	-0.1%	186.53	-6.5%	174.48	-1.9%	171.12	-1.5%	168.57	1
>= 85	069	ST. LOUIS	140.70	-3.3%	136.00	-1.4%	134.04	-9.8%	120.88	-2.5%	117.87	-11.7%	104.04	-4.0%	99.85	5
>= 85	070	SCOTT	127.25	-2.9%	123.58	-0.8%	122.62	-2.1%	120.00	-11.1%	106.71	-17.7%	87.85	-13.4%	76.05	3
>= 85	071	SHERBURNE	97.69	9.0%	106.47	-7.0%	99.05	-1.5%	97.56	-13.6%	84.34	-12.6%	73.73	-28.3%	52.90	3
>= 85	072	SIBLEY	175.36	-12.0%	154.38	-5.9%	145.20	12.0%	162.68	9.9%	178.82	1.9%	182.27	-20.0%	145.73	2
>= 85	073	STEARNS	115.79	-1.0%	114.58	-2.8%	111.40	-15.3%	94.33	3.7%	97.81	-10.0%	88.03	-0.4%	87.70	4
>= 85	074	STEELE	106.38	11.1%	118.22	-4.3%	113.18	-10.6%	101.18	3.9%	105.12	-5.9%	98.97	-29.9%	69.38	2
>= 85	075	STEVENS	157.73	30.2%	205.36	-4.5%	196.02	-30.2%	136.75	8.6%	148.46	-13.6%	128.21	-11.8%	113.10	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	076	SWIFT	152.02	20.5%	183.13	-6.1%	172.02	3.3%	177.78	-2.5%	173.42	-10.8%	154.71	5.7%	163.46	2
>= 85	077	TODD	210.24	10.8%	232.90	-6.4%	217.93	-9.6%	196.97	-8.6%	179.97	2.6%	184.69	1.6%	187.73	3
>= 85	078	TRAVERSE	117.65	16.6%	137.17	-1.2%	135.59	-7.0%	126.05	18.2%	148.94	4.8%	156.12	11.1%	173.52	1
>= 85	079	WABASHA	146.89	-7.7%	135.53	2.2%	138.49	-17.3%	114.58	4.4%	119.66	3.2%	123.54	6.6%	131.67	2
>= 85	080	WADENA	150.56	-2.5%	146.73	-6.5%	137.25	0.7%	138.16	5.3%	145.53	-16.1%	122.15	-11.9%	107.66	2
>= 85	081	WASECA	139.13	-3.1%	134.83	-12.0%	118.64	1.1%	120.00	2.4%	122.92	2.1%	125.51	-15.9%	105.57	1
>= 85	082	WASHINGTON	77.35	1.2%	78.25	-17.3%	64.75	-11.5%	57.31	0.5%	57.58	0.9%	58.07	-21.7%	45.47	4
>= 85	083	WATONWAN	141.75	4.9%	148.72	-6.7%	138.74	-10.6%	124.05	-22.6%	96.06	-7.0%	89.33	8.9%	97.26	1
>= 85	084	WILKIN	190.24	3.5%	196.97	30.2%	256.54	-1.5%	252.58	-18.7%	205.26	12.2%	230.37	-9.2%	209.18	1
>= 85	085	WINONA	121.19	-8.5%	110.83	4.5%	115.83	15.3%	133.56	-0.6%	132.79	-14.4%	113.62	2.9%	116.87	3
>= 85	086	WRIGHT	129.17	2.2%	132.01	-3.7%	127.08	-6.4%	118.89	-6.1%	111.64	-1.1%	110.42	-11.4%	97.80	4
>= 85	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	175.17	-5.9%	164.81	-3.8%	158.48	1.9%	161.50	8.9%	175.82	-10.7%	156.95	5.2%	165.09	1
>= 85	All	Statewide	120.33	-3.6%	116.05	-2.5%	113.12	-7.4%	104.78	-3.2%	101.37	-6.0%	95.27	-6.1%	89.47	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	001	AITKIN	8.99	-2.4%	8.77	-6.4%	8.21	-5.7%	7.74	-9.7%	6.99	-15.0%	5.94	-2.4%	5.80	2
ALL	002	ANOKA	2.12	-2.8%	2.06	-2.9%	2.00	-3.5%	1.93	-1.6%	1.90	-3.2%	1.84	-5.4%	1.74	5
ALL	003	BECKER	7.90	1.6%	8.03	1.1%	8.12	-13.8%	7.00	-5.6%	6.61	-3.3%	6.39	5.0%	6.71	2
ALL	004	BELTRAMI	4.92	1.0%	4.97	-7.8%	4.58	-10.0%	4.12	2.7%	4.23	-6.6%	3.95	-3.8%	3.80	3
ALL	005	BENTON	4.43	4.5%	4.63	0.9%	4.67	-7.9%	4.30	0.2%	4.31	-1.9%	4.23	-4.7%	4.03	3
ALL	006	BIG STONE	13.81	21.4%	16.77	-9.8%	15.13	-17.1%	12.54	33.9%	16.79	-18.3%	13.71	-7.2%	12.72	1
ALL	007	BLUE EARTH	3.12	-3.5%	3.01	4.0%	3.13	-4.2%	3.00	-8.0%	2.76	-0.7%	2.74	-4.4%	2.62	3
ALL	008	BROWN	7.66	12.1%	8.59	-7.9%	7.91	-10.7%	7.06	6.2%	7.50	-5.7%	7.07	-6.6%	6.60	3
ALL	009	CARLTON	7.08	-5.9%	6.66	-6.0%	6.26	2.6%	6.42	9.2%	7.01	-25.7%	5.21	-14.8%	4.44	3
ALL	010	CARVER	2.44	-13.5%	2.11	-0.5%	2.10	-1.4%	2.07	-1.4%	2.04	-11.8%	1.80	14.4%	2.06	3
ALL	011	CASS	3.99	7.5%	4.29	-2.6%	4.18	-6.5%	3.91	-18.2%	3.20	8.4%	3.47	2.9%	3.57	2
ALL	012	CHIPPEWA	9.37	9.9%	10.30	-0.1%	10.29	-2.1%	10.07	4.3%	10.50	2.8%	10.79	-0.9%	10.69	2
ALL	013	CHISAGO	3.27	-16.2%	2.74	2.6%	2.81	-9.6%	2.54	-8.7%	2.32	-7.3%	2.15	7.9%	2.32	3
ALL	014	CLAY	5.74	-4.5%	5.48	-6.2%	5.14	-5.3%	4.87	-2.5%	4.75	-2.7%	4.62	-0.2%	4.61	4
ALL	015	CLEARWATER	12.08	-14.6%	10.32	7.6%	11.10	-12.4%	9.72	-12.7%	8.49	-2.8%	8.25	-14.9%	7.02	1
ALL	016	COOK	5.48	6.8%	5.85	-4.6%	5.58	-7.3%	5.17	-4.8%	4.92	11.4%	5.48	16.4%	6.38	1
ALL	017	COTTONWOOD	9.51	-8.8%	8.67	8.2%	9.38	-1.9%	9.20	13.3%	10.42	-16.2%	8.73	-11.8%	7.70	1
ALL	018	CROW WING	4.61	-9.5%	4.17	-4.8%	3.97	-10.1%	3.57	3.4%	3.69	-2.4%	3.60	-11.1%	3.20	3
ALL	019	DAKOTA	1.83	-2.7%	1.78	-6.7%	1.66	7.8%	1.79	-7.3%	1.66	-1.8%	1.63	-4.9%	1.55	5
ALL	020	DODGE	2.84	17.3%	3.33	-2.7%	3.24	1.9%	3.30	-3.0%	3.20	-8.4%	2.93	-15.0%	2.49	2
ALL	021	DOUGLAS	7.77	-9.9%	7.00	5.7%	7.40	-17.3%	6.12	14.1%	6.98	-17.8%	5.74	-15.3%	4.86	2
ALL	022	FARIBAULT	9.70	3.5%	10.04	4.1%	10.45	-11.7%	9.23	-15.7%	7.78	0.1%	7.79	0.5%	7.83	3
ALL	023	FILLMORE	9.55	-0.6%	9.49	-3.6%	9.15	9.1%	9.98	0.2%	10.00	-5.5%	9.45	1.4%	9.58	2
ALL	024	FREEBORN	8.18	-6.4%	7.66	0.8%	7.72	-9.2%	7.01	3.6%	7.26	-1.4%	7.16	-0.8%	7.10	2
ALL	025	GOODHUE	6.21	-4.2%	5.95	4.2%	6.20	-8.7%	5.66	-1.2%	5.59	3.8%	5.80	-2.6%	5.65	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	026	GRANT	12.81	3.1%	13.21	-19.2%	10.67	-15.5%	9.02	10.0%	9.92	-10.2%	8.91	-18.0%	7.31	1
ALL	027	HENNEPIN	4.14	-4.8%	3.94	-1.5%	3.88	-1.0%	3.84	-4.4%	3.67	-3.3%	3.55	-2.5%	3.46	5
ALL	028	HOUSTON	7.08	-12.3%	6.21	5.2%	6.53	-10.6%	5.84	-1.5%	5.75	7.5%	6.18	-14.9%	5.26	2
ALL	029	HUBBARD	5.57	-15.3%	4.72	1.5%	4.79	-0.8%	4.75	-14.7%	4.05	5.9%	4.29	-16.8%	3.57	2
ALL	030	ISANTI	4.41	-10.4%	3.95	3.5%	4.09	-10.8%	3.65	-7.1%	3.39	-2.1%	3.32	-9.3%	3.01	2
ALL	031	ITASCA	5.22	-11.3%	4.63	-6.9%	4.31	-1.4%	4.25	3.1%	4.38	-6.6%	4.09	4.2%	4.26	3
ALL	032	JACKSON	6.65	7.4%	7.14	9.9%	7.85	-19.6%	6.31	36.3%	8.60	-28.8%	6.12	-1.3%	6.04	1
ALL	033	KANABEC	3.84	-3.9%	3.69	14.6%	4.23	8.3%	4.58	16.2%	5.32	2.8%	5.47	5.9%	5.79	1
ALL	034	KANDIYOHI	6.19	12.4%	6.96	-4.7%	6.63	0.8%	6.68	-6.4%	6.25	-10.1%	5.62	-10.7%	5.02	3
ALL	035	KITTSOON	15.22	-6.8%	14.19	11.8%	15.87	-8.6%	14.50	8.8%	15.78	-18.9%	12.80	-9.1%	11.64	1
ALL	036	KOOCHICHING	10.22	-2.2%	10.00	-4.6%	9.54	-3.7%	9.19	1.8%	9.36	-12.9%	8.15	-6.0%	7.66	1
ALL	037	LAC QUI PARLE	12.40	1.7%	12.61	14.4%	14.43	-6.6%	13.48	-3.9%	12.96	-7.8%	11.95	22.2%	14.60	1
ALL	038	LAKE	6.27	-3.3%	6.06	-22.1%	4.72	-5.5%	4.46	7.2%	4.78	2.5%	4.90	-38.0%	3.04	1
ALL	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	9.51	-21.0%	7.51	-7.9%	6.92	7.1%	7.41	-1.2%	7.32	26.8%	9.28	-25.4%	6.92	1
ALL	040	LE SUEUR	5.54	-2.9%	5.38	7.8%	5.80	-12.1%	5.10	-6.3%	4.78	7.3%	5.13	-10.7%	4.58	2
ALL	041	LINCOLN	14.55	-3.2%	14.09	8.7%	15.32	-12.4%	13.42	9.5%	14.69	-4.8%	13.98	-10.2%	12.55	3
ALL	042	LYON	6.74	-5.3%	6.38	14.9%	7.33	-12.1%	6.44	-2.0%	6.31	3.0%	6.50	-14.3%	5.57	3
ALL	043	MCLEOD	5.08	-3.0%	4.93	8.5%	5.35	-17.4%	4.42	7.9%	4.77	9.0%	5.20	-11.9%	4.58	2
ALL	044	MAHNOMEN	8.12	4.3%	8.47	19.7%	10.14	1.3%	10.27	-9.5%	9.29	-16.5%	7.76	2.3%	7.94	1
ALL	045	MARSHALL	10.50	4.8%	11.00	2.2%	11.24	-3.7%	10.82	-2.8%	10.52	-7.9%	9.69	-12.5%	8.48	1
ALL	046	MARTIN	7.29	5.3%	7.68	17.6%	9.03	-16.4%	7.55	-9.0%	6.87	15.7%	7.95	-7.7%	7.34	3
ALL	047	MEEKER	5.94	13.1%	6.72	-24.4%	5.08	0.8%	5.12	22.5%	6.27	-4.3%	6.00	-9.2%	5.45	2
ALL	048	MILLE LACS	7.99	-9.6%	7.22	-10.4%	6.47	-3.4%	6.25	-8.8%	5.70	-3.0%	5.53	6.7%	5.90	2
ALL	049	MORRISON	6.78	-3.1%	6.57	6.1%	6.97	-20.2%	5.56	-2.3%	5.43	-7.0%	5.05	2.6%	5.18	3
ALL	050	MOWER	6.83	-6.9%	6.36	-5.2%	6.03	-1.5%	5.94	5.1%	6.24	-7.4%	5.78	-9.0%	5.26	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	051	MURRAY	10.79	-5.1%	10.24	7.6%	11.02	-11.3%	9.78	-5.3%	9.26	-10.2%	8.32	-0.8%	8.25	3
ALL	052	NICOLLET	3.31	1.5%	3.36	-14.0%	2.89	-4.2%	2.77	19.9%	3.32	-6.6%	3.10	-8.4%	2.84	2
ALL	053	NOBLES	7.21	-0.7%	7.16	-3.2%	6.93	-9.5%	6.27	-11.8%	5.53	-5.1%	5.25	-12.8%	4.58	2
ALL	054	NORMAN	12.37	25.2%	15.49	-4.8%	14.74	-14.5%	12.60	11.5%	14.05	-17.3%	11.62	-10.8%	10.36	1
ALL	055	OLMSTED	2.85	-1.8%	2.80	5.4%	2.95	-12.9%	2.57	-1.2%	2.54	-2.0%	2.49	-10.0%	2.24	4
ALL	056	OTTER TAIL	8.02	-0.9%	7.95	8.1%	8.59	-4.0%	8.25	-9.2%	7.49	-6.5%	7.00	-2.3%	6.84	3
ALL	057	PENNINGTON	7.72	-4.7%	7.36	-4.2%	7.05	-3.3%	6.82	1.0%	6.89	-12.9%	6.00	8.8%	6.53	2
ALL	058	PINE	5.00	6.0%	5.30	-5.7%	5.00	-8.4%	4.58	-3.9%	4.40	10.5%	4.86	-14.2%	4.17	2
ALL	059	PIPESTONE	12.49	-5.0%	11.87	-4.5%	11.33	-5.7%	10.68	11.0%	11.86	-14.3%	10.16	-8.8%	9.27	1
ALL	060	POLK	8.84	1.6%	8.98	-0.6%	8.93	-4.9%	8.49	4.1%	8.84	4.4%	9.23	-12.2%	8.10	3
ALL	061	POPE	9.98	-20.2%	7.96	0.1%	7.97	-6.3%	7.47	32.0%	9.86	6.4%	10.49	-11.5%	9.28	2
ALL	062	RAMSEY	4.46	-5.4%	4.22	-1.4%	4.16	-7.2%	3.86	-2.3%	3.77	-2.9%	3.66	-7.1%	3.40	5
ALL	063	RED LAKE	10.95	-7.0%	10.18	-23.6%	7.78	-12.7%	6.79	24.7%	8.47	-15.5%	7.16	5.9%	7.58	1
ALL	064	REDWOOD	7.67	-6.5%	7.17	11.0%	7.96	-8.2%	7.31	8.8%	7.95	9.1%	8.67	-21.0%	6.85	1
ALL	065	RENVILLE	6.94	3.9%	7.21	-9.8%	6.50	-1.8%	6.38	-7.1%	5.93	3.0%	6.11	-4.3%	5.85	2
ALL	066	RICE	3.32	0.0%	3.32	0.9%	3.35	-6.0%	3.15	4.4%	3.29	-6.1%	3.09	-4.2%	2.96	3
ALL	067	ROCK	6.26	11.8%	7.00	14.0%	7.98	-15.3%	6.76	31.5%	8.89	-7.4%	8.23	-24.8%	6.19	1
ALL	068	ROSEAU	7.31	-2.9%	7.10	3.7%	7.36	-3.0%	7.14	-4.3%	6.83	2.2%	6.98	-1.9%	6.85	1
ALL	069	ST. LOUIS	6.94	-2.3%	6.78	-6.0%	6.37	-3.6%	6.14	-6.2%	5.76	-9.5%	5.21	-5.2%	4.94	5
ALL	070	SCOTT	1.51	-6.0%	1.42	-5.6%	1.34	6.0%	1.42	1.4%	1.44	-8.3%	1.32	-2.3%	1.29	3
ALL	071	SHERBURNE	1.69	7.1%	1.81	-10.5%	1.62	-3.1%	1.57	-3.8%	1.51	-5.3%	1.43	-2.8%	1.39	3
ALL	072	SIBLEY	7.76	-14.8%	6.61	2.0%	6.74	2.5%	6.91	6.5%	7.36	-2.6%	7.17	-18.4%	5.85	2
ALL	073	STEARNS	3.26	-2.8%	3.17	7.6%	3.41	-8.8%	3.11	0.6%	3.13	-4.5%	2.99	-0.7%	2.97	4
ALL	074	STEELE	3.87	4.7%	4.05	3.5%	4.19	-14.1%	3.60	-2.8%	3.50	-2.0%	3.43	-25.1%	2.57	2
ALL	075	STEVENS	7.86	19.0%	9.35	2.1%	9.55	-23.4%	7.32	12.3%	8.22	-17.9%	6.75	-20.7%	5.35	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	076	SWIFT	10.17	25.1%	12.72	-11.6%	11.25	4.3%	11.73	1.1%	11.86	-6.5%	11.09	9.6%	12.16	2
ALL	077	TODD	8.85	-1.2%	8.74	-3.0%	8.48	0.2%	8.50	-2.4%	8.30	-2.0%	8.13	-4.2%	7.79	3
ALL	078	TRAVERSE	14.30	21.3%	17.34	1.3%	17.56	-10.1%	15.79	7.3%	16.94	17.3%	19.87	-13.7%	17.14	1
ALL	079	WABASHA	6.79	-7.2%	6.30	-1.4%	6.21	-13.4%	5.38	2.8%	5.53	15.7%	6.40	-6.3%	6.00	2
ALL	080	WADENA	9.70	-1.1%	9.59	-5.8%	9.03	-4.8%	8.60	6.4%	9.15	-6.1%	8.59	0.1%	8.60	2
ALL	081	WASECA	5.46	-0.4%	5.44	-15.4%	4.60	-8.3%	4.22	1.4%	4.28	33.2%	5.70	-18.4%	4.65	1
ALL	082	WASHINGTON	1.54	3.9%	1.60	-13.8%	1.38	-3.6%	1.33	3.8%	1.38	0.7%	1.39	-2.9%	1.35	4
ALL	083	WATONWAN	7.74	2.6%	7.94	8.7%	8.63	-6.1%	8.10	-4.6%	7.73	-18.2%	6.32	0.2%	6.33	1
ALL	084	WILKIN	9.81	-0.1%	9.80	19.1%	11.67	3.1%	12.03	-3.5%	11.61	0.3%	11.65	-10.0%	10.49	1
ALL	085	WINONA	5.62	-0.5%	5.59	7.7%	6.02	3.3%	6.22	1.1%	6.29	-15.4%	5.32	-6.2%	4.99	3
ALL	086	WRIGHT	2.76	-7.2%	2.56	0.0%	2.56	-7.4%	2.37	-9.3%	2.15	-1.4%	2.12	1.9%	2.16	4
ALL	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	11.89	-5.9%	11.19	-6.3%	10.49	-1.5%	10.33	6.3%	10.98	3.4%	11.35	-13.9%	9.77	1
ALL	All	Statewide	4.45	-3.2%	4.31	-1.7%	4.24	-5.3%	4.02	-1.7%	3.95	-4.2%	3.78	-5.0%	3.59	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	001	AITKIN	36.71	-2.9%	35.65	-8.4%	32.65	-11.0%	29.05	-13.0%	25.27	-15.8%	21.28	-5.2%	20.17	2
65-up	002	ANOKA	22.44	-3.7%	21.60	-6.4%	20.21	-6.4%	18.91	-6.0%	17.78	-5.8%	16.75	-10.7%	14.95	5
65-up	003	BECKER	45.45	0.3%	45.60	1.1%	46.10	-14.9%	39.23	-7.3%	36.35	-2.8%	35.34	0.9%	35.66	2
65-up	004	BELTRAMI	37.45	-1.4%	36.92	-12.4%	32.36	-9.3%	29.36	-4.7%	27.97	-11.6%	24.72	-2.3%	24.16	3
65-up	005	BENTON	40.87	4.3%	42.63	3.3%	44.05	-8.4%	40.34	-1.3%	39.80	-2.8%	38.68	-17.2%	32.03	3
65-up	006	BIG STONE	54.97	16.9%	64.28	-7.5%	59.49	-18.5%	48.47	33.8%	64.86	-18.5%	52.83	-12.8%	46.07	1
65-up	007	BLUE EARTH	23.82	-0.6%	23.67	5.2%	24.89	-4.5%	23.77	-10.7%	21.23	-1.8%	20.85	-3.0%	20.23	3
65-up	008	BROWN	41.55	10.7%	46.00	-8.6%	42.03	-10.0%	37.84	4.3%	39.47	-7.9%	36.35	-8.5%	33.27	3
65-up	009	CARLTON	47.27	-5.4%	44.71	-9.5%	40.46	2.5%	41.46	8.4%	44.96	-27.5%	32.59	-11.7%	28.78	3
65-up	010	CARVER	30.20	-13.4%	26.14	-3.7%	25.16	-5.8%	23.71	-0.8%	23.53	-14.3%	20.17	12.6%	22.71	3
65-up	011	CASS	19.56	12.4%	21.98	-4.0%	21.10	-8.3%	19.35	-24.0%	14.71	3.9%	15.28	-5.6%	14.43	2
65-up	012	CHIPPEWA	44.57	10.5%	49.26	-1.0%	48.77	0.2%	48.86	4.9%	51.25	4.2%	53.42	-2.5%	52.08	2
65-up	013	CHISAGO	33.37	-19.7%	26.78	0.4%	26.90	-12.0%	23.66	-15.0%	20.11	-7.5%	18.60	2.4%	19.05	3
65-up	014	CLAY	41.70	-4.4%	39.85	-6.6%	37.22	-6.8%	34.69	0.6%	34.90	-3.9%	33.53	4.9%	35.18	4
65-up	015	CLEARWATER	65.29	-13.6%	56.42	1.3%	57.14	-12.8%	49.84	-17.8%	40.97	-3.5%	39.52	-11.0%	35.16	1
65-up	016	COOK	29.60	7.8%	31.91	-6.8%	29.74	-12.3%	26.08	-0.3%	26.01	3.7%	26.98	16.4%	31.40	1
65-up	017	COTTONWOOD	41.68	-6.3%	39.07	10.7%	43.24	-1.4%	42.64	18.3%	50.45	-11.5%	44.63	-21.5%	35.05	1
65-up	018	CROW WING	25.18	-13.3%	21.82	-5.0%	20.72	-13.5%	17.92	1.6%	18.20	-4.3%	17.42	-10.6%	15.57	3
65-up	019	DAKOTA	20.17	-3.5%	19.47	-9.5%	17.63	6.7%	18.81	-9.6%	17.00	-4.6%	16.21	-13.7%	13.99	5
65-up	020	DODGE	23.47	13.6%	26.66	-1.2%	26.35	-2.6%	25.66	-2.5%	25.01	-10.4%	22.41	-11.1%	19.92	2
65-up	021	DOUGLAS	41.17	-9.7%	37.19	2.0%	37.95	-20.2%	30.30	10.8%	33.57	-17.5%	27.68	-15.2%	23.48	2
65-up	022	FARIBAUT	41.96	4.1%	43.67	6.2%	46.38	-11.7%	40.96	-17.4%	33.82	2.3%	34.60	-0.9%	34.29	3
65-up	023	FILLMORE	47.68	3.0%	49.09	-4.1%	47.07	9.1%	51.37	-0.7%	51.02	-5.4%	48.27	-2.6%	47.00	2
65-up	024	FREEBORN	39.26	-6.0%	36.89	-0.6%	36.67	-10.6%	32.78	2.8%	33.71	0.2%	33.79	-5.7%	31.86	2
65-up	025	GOODHUE	35.92	-7.6%	33.20	6.2%	35.26	-10.6%	31.54	-1.6%	31.03	5.5%	32.74	-10.3%	29.37	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	026	GRANT	53.80	4.1%	55.99	-19.4%	45.12	-19.9%	36.15	15.6%	41.79	-10.6%	37.34	-15.7%	31.48	1
65-up	027	HENNEPIN	29.76	-4.6%	28.39	0.0%	28.39	-1.8%	27.87	-5.5%	26.35	-4.1%	25.27	-3.8%	24.32	5
65-up	028	HOUSTON	41.04	-13.0%	35.71	3.6%	37.01	-10.5%	33.14	-4.8%	31.54	5.9%	33.40	-14.9%	28.42	2
65-up	029	HUBBARD	27.40	-17.8%	22.53	2.1%	23.00	-7.1%	21.37	-18.0%	17.53	3.9%	18.21	-26.2%	13.43	2
65-up	030	ISANTI	40.03	-9.1%	36.39	-0.8%	36.09	-12.5%	31.57	-5.6%	29.80	-5.1%	28.27	-19.1%	22.86	2
65-up	031	ITASCA	28.26	-13.6%	24.42	-6.5%	22.83	-3.2%	22.10	0.2%	22.14	-6.6%	20.67	-2.4%	20.18	3
65-up	032	JACKSON	30.18	12.5%	33.94	5.0%	35.63	-20.5%	28.33	36.0%	38.53	-32.3%	26.10	6.9%	27.89	1
65-up	033	KANABEC	25.17	-10.8%	22.44	22.7%	27.53	-1.6%	27.10	18.0%	31.98	5.3%	33.67	0.5%	33.85	1
65-up	034	KANDIYOHI	38.58	11.4%	42.99	-5.4%	40.69	-2.1%	39.82	-8.3%	36.51	-11.2%	32.41	-12.4%	28.40	3
65-up	035	KITSON	64.64	-5.9%	60.80	10.9%	67.42	-12.1%	59.27	10.9%	65.76	-23.8%	50.10	-6.9%	46.65	1
65-up	036	KOOCHICHING	48.41	-2.6%	47.15	-4.9%	44.85	-5.7%	42.29	0.7%	42.58	-13.9%	36.68	-5.8%	34.56	1
65-up	037	LAC QUI PARLE	50.80	3.7%	52.66	13.3%	59.67	-5.0%	56.66	-2.8%	55.08	-7.1%	51.19	17.7%	60.25	1
65-up	038	LAKE	27.28	-1.4%	26.90	-20.1%	21.50	-11.7%	18.99	12.1%	21.28	-1.4%	20.98	-41.1%	12.36	1
65-up	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	47.39	-24.8%	35.63	-7.2%	33.06	3.2%	34.12	-3.9%	32.79	28.4%	42.11	-19.0%	34.10	1
65-up	040	LE SUEUR	38.27	-5.8%	36.04	7.0%	38.56	-12.2%	33.86	-9.4%	30.67	6.4%	32.62	-12.1%	28.67	2
65-up	041	LINCOLN	57.18	-2.3%	55.85	8.9%	60.82	-10.7%	54.34	10.0%	59.77	-5.8%	56.30	-9.0%	51.25	3
65-up	042	LYON	44.65	-4.8%	42.52	14.7%	48.78	-12.7%	42.57	-2.2%	41.64	0.1%	41.70	-11.4%	36.94	3
65-up	043	MCLEOD	35.04	-2.6%	34.13	8.1%	36.88	-20.4%	29.35	7.3%	31.48	2.8%	32.35	-14.5%	27.66	2
65-up	044	MAHNOMEN	45.66	4.5%	47.73	6.7%	50.93	6.2%	54.09	-10.9%	48.22	-11.7%	42.58	1.6%	43.27	1
65-up	045	MARSHALL	52.63	3.6%	54.53	2.3%	55.80	-3.5%	53.86	-0.1%	53.82	-8.3%	49.33	-14.0%	42.40	1
65-up	046	MARTIN	34.71	4.4%	36.22	15.7%	41.92	-14.6%	35.81	-9.4%	32.44	10.2%	35.76	-5.4%	33.82	3
65-up	047	MEEKER	34.97	13.9%	39.83	-24.5%	30.07	0.0%	30.07	18.3%	35.58	-7.6%	32.86	-7.2%	30.51	2
65-up	048	MILLE LACS	48.88	-9.0%	44.50	-11.1%	39.56	-6.0%	37.18	-9.3%	33.72	-7.3%	31.26	8.0%	33.76	2
65-up	049	MORRISON	40.79	-3.6%	39.34	5.9%	41.67	-20.5%	33.11	-5.8%	31.20	-6.7%	29.10	2.0%	29.67	3
65-up	050	MOWER	34.11	-5.2%	32.33	-3.2%	31.28	-0.8%	31.04	4.2%	32.33	-7.5%	29.89	-3.3%	28.89	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	051	MURRAY	48.49	-3.7%	46.68	5.9%	49.42	-12.0%	43.50	-5.1%	41.29	-10.2%	37.08	-0.5%	36.90	3
65-up	052	NICOLLET	27.34	0.0%	27.34	-16.6%	22.80	-10.0%	20.52	23.4%	25.33	-10.8%	22.59	-0.5%	22.47	2
65-up	053	NOBLES	40.64	0.7%	40.94	-2.3%	40.01	-10.1%	35.95	-13.4%	31.13	-3.4%	30.08	-9.6%	27.19	2
65-up	054	NORMAN	57.77	23.0%	71.03	-4.1%	68.15	-15.6%	57.51	11.0%	63.81	-17.1%	52.90	-17.4%	43.69	1
65-up	055	OLMSTED	22.92	-1.8%	22.50	2.0%	22.94	-14.9%	19.52	-4.0%	18.74	-3.8%	18.02	-9.7%	16.27	4
65-up	056	OTTER TAIL	39.42	-0.8%	39.11	5.2%	41.14	-6.6%	38.41	-10.5%	34.39	-8.5%	31.48	-2.6%	30.67	3
65-up	057	PENNINGTON	45.99	-3.6%	44.33	-2.0%	43.46	-4.1%	41.67	-2.1%	40.78	-17.5%	33.64	14.2%	38.43	2
65-up	058	PINE	30.39	8.8%	33.06	-10.8%	29.48	-8.6%	26.95	-3.9%	25.90	4.8%	27.14	-13.5%	23.48	2
65-up	059	PIPESTONE	54.29	-8.7%	49.58	-0.6%	49.28	-9.0%	44.84	16.6%	52.28	-12.6%	45.71	-5.6%	43.14	1
65-up	060	POLK	49.16	-0.1%	49.10	-1.0%	48.61	-3.5%	46.93	2.6%	48.15	4.5%	50.30	-8.2%	46.17	3
65-up	061	POPE	46.31	-19.2%	37.44	-0.1%	37.39	-9.2%	33.94	36.0%	46.17	7.2%	49.51	-14.5%	42.34	2
65-up	062	RAMSEY	30.76	-6.7%	28.70	-2.8%	27.91	-8.2%	25.62	-5.6%	24.19	-5.7%	22.82	2.6%	23.42	5
65-up	063	RED LAKE	57.69	-8.0%	53.05	-18.8%	43.07	-16.2%	36.10	20.0%	43.31	-16.4%	36.22	18.2%	42.80	1
65-up	064	REDWOOD	36.91	-6.7%	34.42	10.2%	37.92	-9.4%	34.34	8.4%	37.23	7.3%	39.96	-19.3%	32.24	1
65-up	065	RENVILLE	33.79	4.2%	35.21	-8.4%	32.25	-0.1%	32.22	-7.9%	29.68	5.5%	31.30	-9.8%	28.24	2
65-up	066	RICE	26.96	1.1%	27.25	-0.1%	27.22	-12.5%	23.82	7.9%	25.69	-8.2%	23.58	-3.1%	22.84	3
65-up	067	ROCK	30.09	13.3%	34.08	16.6%	39.73	-14.1%	34.14	33.2%	45.48	-6.8%	42.40	-25.4%	31.61	1
65-up	068	ROSEAU	56.49	-2.5%	55.06	4.0%	57.28	-6.6%	53.50	-6.7%	49.90	-2.8%	48.51	-8.4%	44.44	1
65-up	069	ST. LOUIS	40.69	-1.8%	39.96	-6.7%	37.29	-3.8%	35.86	-7.0%	33.36	-13.4%	28.90	-4.1%	27.72	5
65-up	070	SCOTT	24.08	-9.9%	21.70	-10.4%	19.44	-0.4%	19.37	-5.4%	18.32	-8.6%	16.75	-10.6%	14.98	3
65-up	071	SHERBURNE	20.86	5.1%	21.93	-5.4%	20.74	-1.6%	20.40	-7.2%	18.94	-6.1%	17.79	-16.9%	14.78	3
65-up	072	SIBLEY	44.70	-11.8%	39.42	2.1%	40.24	3.0%	41.44	5.2%	43.59	-5.3%	41.30	-18.0%	33.88	2
65-up	073	STEARNS	25.91	-3.8%	24.92	4.1%	25.95	-8.5%	23.74	-4.0%	22.80	-6.2%	21.39	4.1%	22.27	4
65-up	074	STEELE	27.35	6.9%	29.24	-1.1%	28.92	-11.9%	25.48	-3.9%	24.48	-5.2%	23.21	-25.6%	17.27	2
65-up	075	STEVENS	45.79	19.2%	54.57	1.2%	55.25	-27.6%	40.02	15.7%	46.30	-16.8%	38.53	-20.4%	30.68	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July.

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January use of services per 1,000 estimated county population in previous July.

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2005 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2006	Use Rate 2006 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2007	Use Rate 2007 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2008	Use Rate 2008 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2009	Use Rate 2009 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2010	Use Rate 2010 per 1,000 at risk	% Rate Change to 2011	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	076	SWIFT	51.94	16.5%	60.52	-2.0%	59.31	-0.1%	59.26	4.0%	61.62	-8.9%	56.12	1.5%	56.97	2
65-up	077	TODD	51.62	-2.0%	50.61	-6.3%	47.40	0.9%	47.82	-1.1%	47.31	-2.3%	46.24	-9.0%	42.06	3
65-up	078	TRAVERSE	47.66	22.5%	58.37	6.7%	62.26	-11.1%	55.34	4.8%	58.00	19.0%	69.04	-8.8%	62.97	1
65-up	079	WABASHA	41.78	-9.0%	38.02	-4.9%	36.15	-15.5%	30.53	-0.3%	30.43	15.2%	35.07	-5.8%	33.04	2
65-up	080	WADENA	43.79	0.3%	43.93	-10.2%	39.45	-6.7%	36.81	2.6%	37.78	-5.3%	35.78	2.5%	36.67	2
65-up	081	WASECA	37.20	3.2%	38.39	-15.0%	32.63	-9.7%	29.47	-3.4%	28.46	28.5%	36.58	-21.5%	28.73	1
65-up	082	WASHINGTON	16.16	4.5%	16.89	-15.6%	14.26	-4.5%	13.62	-0.3%	13.58	-2.5%	13.24	-12.3%	11.61	4
65-up	083	WATONWAN	39.29	0.3%	39.40	7.1%	42.20	-5.7%	39.79	-2.0%	39.01	-18.8%	31.67	-0.4%	31.53	1
65-up	084	WILKIN	54.76	1.0%	55.30	18.2%	65.34	0.1%	65.43	-9.1%	59.50	-2.1%	58.24	-6.0%	54.75	1
65-up	085	WINONA	37.76	-0.2%	37.68	8.4%	40.86	3.2%	42.15	1.2%	42.65	-15.8%	35.91	-5.0%	34.10	3
65-up	086	WRIGHT	29.50	-5.6%	27.84	-1.3%	27.47	-8.7%	25.08	-12.0%	22.06	-4.0%	21.18	-3.1%	20.53	4
65-up	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	55.35	-6.2%	51.91	-4.3%	49.69	-2.0%	48.72	4.6%	50.94	2.8%	52.38	-6.0%	49.24	1
ALL	All	Statewide	32.71	-3.2%	31.67	-2.2%	30.97	-6.6%	28.92	-3.2%	27.98	-6.0%	26.31	-6.2%	24.68	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	001	AITKIN	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	150.0%	5	0.0%	5	-60.0%	2	150.0%	5	2
0-64	002	ANOKA	107	-1.9%	105	-5.7%	99	-7.1%	92	4.3%	96	-6.3%	90	3.3%	93	5
0-64	003	BECKER	16	12.5%	18	-16.7%	15	-6.7%	14	0.0%	14	-21.4%	11	54.5%	17	2
0-64	004	BELTRAMI	21	9.5%	23	21.7%	28	-21.4%	22	36.4%	30	10.0%	33	-9.1%	30	3
0-64	005	BENTON	8	25.0%	10	-50.0%	5	20.0%	6	50.0%	9	22.2%	11	-18.2%	9	3
0-64	006	BIG STONE	2	150.0%	5	-60.0%	2	0.0%	2	50.0%	3	0.0%	3	100.0%	6	1
0-64	007	BLUE EARTH	18	-22.2%	14	21.4%	17	5.9%	18	0.0%	18	-5.6%	17	-11.8%	15	3
0-64	008	BROWN	9	0.0%	9	0.0%	9	-44.4%	5	40.0%	7	42.9%	10	-20.0%	8	3
0-64	009	CARLTON	4	0.0%	4	125.0%	9	-33.3%	6	0.0%	6	33.3%	8	-50.0%	4	3
0-64	010	CARVER	15	-20.0%	12	0.0%	12	33.3%	16	-31.3%	11	9.1%	12	8.3%	13	3
0-64	011	CASS	12	-33.3%	8	12.5%	9	-22.2%	7	42.9%	10	20.0%	12	25.0%	15	2
0-64	012	CHIPPEWA	8	25.0%	10	20.0%	12	-25.0%	9	0.0%	9	-11.1%	8	0.0%	8	2
0-64	013	CHISAGO	6	50.0%	9	0.0%	9	-11.1%	8	25.0%	10	-50.0%	5	20.0%	6	3
0-64	014	CLAY	26	0.0%	26	0.0%	26	0.0%	26	-19.2%	21	23.8%	26	-11.5%	23	4
0-64	015	CLEARWATER	5	-60.0%	2	150.0%	5	-20.0%	4	50.0%	6	-16.7%	5	-20.0%	4	1
0-64	016	COOK	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	100.0%	2	-100.0%	0	#DIV/0!	1	-100.0%	0	1
0-64	017	COTTONWOOD	6	-33.3%	4	0.0%	4	25.0%	5	-20.0%	4	-75.0%	1	200.0%	3	1
0-64	018	CROW WING	19	31.6%	25	-12.0%	22	13.6%	25	-4.0%	24	-8.3%	22	-9.1%	20	3
0-64	019	DAKOTA	89	-6.7%	83	-2.4%	81	-4.9%	77	-10.4%	69	2.9%	71	-15.5%	60	5
0-64	020	DODGE	3	66.7%	5	-40.0%	3	66.7%	5	-20.0%	4	0.0%	4	-75.0%	1	2
0-64	021	DOUGLAS	11	-27.3%	8	75.0%	14	21.4%	17	17.6%	20	-45.0%	11	-18.2%	9	2
0-64	022	FARIBAULT	7	0.0%	7	-28.6%	5	0.0%	5	20.0%	6	-33.3%	4	25.0%	5	3
0-64	023	FILLMORE	13	-38.5%	8	0.0%	8	37.5%	11	0.0%	11	-9.1%	10	20.0%	12	2
0-64	024	FREEBORN	19	-10.5%	17	11.8%	19	0.0%	19	0.0%	19	-15.8%	16	31.3%	21	2
0-64	025	GOODHUE	37	13.5%	42	-14.3%	36	2.8%	37	-5.4%	35	-11.4%	31	22.6%	38	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	026	GRANT	3	-33.3%	2	0.0%	2	100.0%	4	-50.0%	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	1
0-64	027	HENNEPIN	1,028	-5.7%	969	-7.3%	898	0.9%	906	-4.9%	862	-1.6%	848	-4.6%	809	5
0-64	028	HOUSTON	6	16.7%	7	14.3%	8	-12.5%	7	0.0%	7	0.0%	7	-14.3%	6	2
0-64	029	HUBBARD	6	0.0%	6	-33.3%	4	100.0%	8	0.0%	8	0.0%	8	100.0%	16	2
0-64	030	ISANTI	10	-20.0%	8	50.0%	12	8.3%	13	-53.8%	6	0.0%	6	16.7%	7	2
0-64	031	ITASCA	18	0.0%	18	-16.7%	15	6.7%	16	12.5%	18	-16.7%	15	26.7%	19	3
0-64	032	JACKSON	7	-42.9%	4	50.0%	6	-16.7%	5	0.0%	5	20.0%	6	-16.7%	5	1
0-64	033	KANABEC	3	133.3%	7	-57.1%	3	166.7%	8	-12.5%	7	-57.1%	3	33.3%	4	1
0-64	034	KANDIYOHI	13	30.8%	17	-11.8%	15	26.7%	19	-5.3%	18	-11.1%	16	12.5%	18	3
0-64	035	KITSON	3	-33.3%	2	-50.0%	1	200.0%	3	-33.3%	2	150.0%	5	0.0%	5	1
0-64	036	KOOCHICHING	12	0.0%	12	-16.7%	10	20.0%	12	-8.3%	11	-18.2%	9	33.3%	12	1
0-64	037	LAC QUI PARLE	5	-20.0%	4	25.0%	5	-20.0%	4	-25.0%	3	0.0%	3	-33.3%	2	1
0-64	038	LAKE	6	-16.7%	5	-40.0%	3	66.7%	5	-40.0%	3	66.7%	5	-40.0%	3	1
0-64	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	1	100.0%	2	-50.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	-100.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	1
0-64	040	LE SUEUR	6	66.7%	10	10.0%	11	-9.1%	10	10.0%	11	0.0%	11	18.2%	13	2
0-64	041	LINCOLN	2	-50.0%	1	100.0%	2	-50.0%	1	-100.0%	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	0	3
0-64	042	LYON	7	-14.3%	6	33.3%	8	-12.5%	7	0.0%	7	57.1%	11	27.3%	14	3
0-64	043	MCLEOD	8	-12.5%	7	28.6%	9	33.3%	12	-8.3%	11	72.7%	19	-31.6%	13	2
0-64	044	MAHNOMEN	1	0.0%	1	600.0%	7	-28.6%	5	0.0%	5	-60.0%	2	200.0%	6	1
0-64	045	MARSHALL	4	0.0%	4	25.0%	5	0.0%	5	-40.0%	3	33.3%	4	-25.0%	3	1
0-64	046	MARTIN	5	0.0%	5	20.0%	6	-66.7%	2	-50.0%	1	600.0%	7	0.0%	7	3
0-64	047	MEEKER	6	16.7%	7	-28.6%	5	0.0%	5	80.0%	9	22.2%	11	-9.1%	10	2
0-64	048	MILLE LACS	12	-16.7%	10	-20.0%	8	25.0%	10	-40.0%	6	50.0%	9	33.3%	12	2
0-64	049	MORRISON	16	6.3%	17	-5.9%	16	-25.0%	12	33.3%	16	-25.0%	12	16.7%	14	3
0-64	050	MOWER	17	-23.5%	13	-30.8%	9	-22.2%	7	28.6%	9	22.2%	11	-18.2%	9	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	051	MURRAY	2	-50.0%	1	100.0%	2	0.0%	2	-50.0%	1	0.0%	1	-100.0%	0	3
0-64	052	NICOLLET	9	-22.2%	7	-14.3%	6	50.0%	9	-44.4%	5	40.0%	7	-28.6%	5	2
0-64	053	NOBLES	6	-16.7%	5	0.0%	5	40.0%	7	28.6%	9	-22.2%	7	-28.6%	5	2
0-64	054	NORMAN	2	100.0%	4	-75.0%	1	200.0%	3	33.3%	4	-25.0%	3	133.3%	7	1
0-64	055	OLMSTED	36	-13.9%	31	19.4%	37	-5.4%	35	5.7%	37	2.7%	38	-26.3%	28	4
0-64	056	OTTER TAIL	25	-24.0%	19	42.1%	27	3.7%	28	-21.4%	22	-9.1%	20	15.0%	23	3
0-64	057	PENNINGTON	8	-12.5%	7	-28.6%	5	0.0%	5	40.0%	7	42.9%	10	-40.0%	6	2
0-64	058	PINE	8	-37.5%	5	100.0%	10	-20.0%	8	-25.0%	6	83.3%	11	-9.1%	10	2
0-64	059	PIPESTONE	6	50.0%	9	-33.3%	6	66.7%	10	-20.0%	8	-25.0%	6	16.7%	7	1
0-64	060	POLK	16	31.3%	21	4.8%	22	-18.2%	18	11.1%	20	15.0%	23	-34.8%	15	3
0-64	061	POPE	3	-66.7%	1	100.0%	2	100.0%	4	-25.0%	3	0.0%	3	0.0%	3	2
0-64	062	RAMSEY	369	-8.9%	336	-3.6%	324	-9.9%	292	4.1%	304	2.6%	312	-4.5%	298	5
0-64	063	RED LAKE	2	50.0%	3	-100.0%	0	#DIV/0!	1	100.0%	2	0.0%	2	-50.0%	1	1
0-64	064	REDWOOD	6	0.0%	6	16.7%	7	0.0%	7	14.3%	8	25.0%	10	-20.0%	8	1
0-64	065	RENVILLE	9	22.2%	11	-18.2%	9	-33.3%	6	0.0%	6	-16.7%	5	20.0%	6	2
0-64	066	RICE	15	-20.0%	12	-16.7%	10	90.0%	19	-42.1%	11	0.0%	11	-9.1%	10	3
0-64	067	ROCK	4	0.0%	4	0.0%	4	-25.0%	3	0.0%	3	0.0%	3	-33.3%	2	1
0-64	068	ROSEAU	4	25.0%	5	-60.0%	2	100.0%	4	0.0%	4	75.0%	7	0.0%	7	1
0-64	069	ST. LOUIS	109	-9.2%	99	7.1%	106	-5.7%	100	-12.0%	88	23.9%	109	-1.8%	107	5
0-64	070	SCOTT	8	37.5%	11	0.0%	11	45.5%	16	25.0%	20	-40.0%	12	41.7%	17	3
0-64	071	SHERBURNE	16	25.0%	20	-20.0%	16	-12.5%	14	21.4%	17	-5.9%	16	-6.3%	15	3
0-64	072	SIBLEY	7	-42.9%	4	50.0%	6	0.0%	6	16.7%	7	14.3%	8	-37.5%	5	2
0-64	073	STEARNS	43	0.0%	43	14.0%	49	-20.4%	39	12.8%	44	-18.2%	36	13.9%	41	4
0-64	074	STEELE	11	-27.3%	8	75.0%	14	-42.9%	8	0.0%	8	37.5%	11	-72.7%	3	2
0-64	075	STEVENS	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	2	150.0%	5	-20.0%	4	-25.0%	3	0.0%	3	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
0-64	076	SWIFT	6	0.0%	6	-16.7%	5	100.0%	10	-30.0%	7	42.9%	10	-30.0%	7	2
0-64	077	TODD	12	0.0%	12	33.3%	16	-12.5%	14	-35.7%	9	-22.2%	7	71.4%	12	3
0-64	078	TRAVERSE	5	0.0%	5	-60.0%	2	0.0%	2	50.0%	3	0.0%	3	-33.3%	2	1
0-64	079	WABASHA	9	0.0%	9	22.2%	11	-18.2%	9	22.2%	11	0.0%	11	-27.3%	8	2
0-64	080	WADENA	8	-12.5%	7	42.9%	10	10.0%	11	27.3%	14	-21.4%	11	9.1%	12	2
0-64	081	WASECA	6	-50.0%	3	0.0%	3	33.3%	4	75.0%	7	28.6%	9	-11.1%	8	1
0-64	082	WASHINGTON	41	-9.8%	37	-13.5%	32	-9.4%	29	6.9%	31	6.5%	33	-3.0%	32	4
0-64	083	WATONWAN	4	25.0%	5	40.0%	7	-28.6%	5	-60.0%	2	50.0%	3	33.3%	4	1
0-64	084	WILKIN	5	0.0%	5	-20.0%	4	0.0%	4	75.0%	7	14.3%	8	-37.5%	5	1
0-64	085	WINONA	28	-7.1%	26	7.7%	28	-3.6%	27	-7.4%	25	-16.0%	21	4.8%	22	3
0-64	086	WRIGHT	27	-18.5%	22	0.0%	22	0.0%	22	0.0%	22	4.5%	23	4.3%	24	4
0-64	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	6	-16.7%	5	-40.0%	3	0.0%	3	0.0%	3	0.0%	3	-33.3%	2	1
0-64	All	Statewide	2,552	-5.3%	2,417	-2.9%	2,347	-1.2%	2,319	-2.2%	2,267	0.1%	2,270	-2.9%	2,204	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	001	AITKIN	51	5.9%	54	-3.7%	52	-19.2%	42	0.0%	42	-14.3%	36	-2.8%	35	2
>= 65 < 85	002	ANOKA	284	6.7%	303	-0.3%	302	-5.6%	285	-2.5%	278	-4.3%	266	-13.9%	229	5
>= 65 < 85	003	BECKER	98	3.1%	101	8.9%	110	-12.7%	96	2.1%	98	-1.0%	97	-15.5%	82	2
>= 65 < 85	004	BELTRAMI	92	4.3%	96	-10.4%	86	-11.6%	76	-10.5%	68	-7.4%	63	-3.2%	61	3
>= 65 < 85	005	BENTON	71	12.7%	80	6.3%	85	-28.2%	61	0.0%	61	14.8%	70	-11.4%	62	3
>= 65 < 85	006	BIG STONE	32	21.9%	39	-12.8%	34	-32.4%	23	60.9%	37	-35.1%	24	-8.3%	22	1
>= 65 < 85	007	BLUE EARTH	69	-8.7%	63	12.7%	71	-18.3%	58	0.0%	58	-5.2%	55	7.3%	59	3
>= 65 < 85	008	BROWN	78	0.0%	78	3.8%	81	-25.9%	60	8.3%	65	-13.8%	56	-8.9%	51	3
>= 65 < 85	009	CARLTON	94	-6.4%	88	-14.8%	75	8.0%	81	9.9%	89	-42.7%	51	-5.9%	48	3
>= 65 < 85	010	CARVER	70	-18.6%	57	7.0%	61	1.6%	62	14.5%	71	-26.8%	52	36.5%	71	3
>= 65 < 85	011	CASS	44	20.5%	53	-7.5%	49	-10.2%	44	-15.9%	37	-5.4%	35	25.7%	44	2
>= 65 < 85	012	CHIPPEWA	37	21.6%	45	-8.9%	41	-9.8%	37	18.9%	44	22.7%	54	-11.1%	48	2
>= 65 < 85	013	CHISAGO	61	-27.9%	44	13.6%	50	-4.0%	48	-14.6%	41	14.6%	47	17.0%	55	3
>= 65 < 85	014	CLAY	109	-3.7%	105	6.7%	112	-9.8%	101	-7.9%	93	15.1%	107	1.9%	109	4
>= 65 < 85	015	CLEARWATER	38	-21.1%	30	-3.3%	29	-10.3%	26	-26.9%	19	0.0%	19	10.5%	21	1
>= 65 < 85	016	COOK	11	0.0%	11	18.2%	13	0.0%	13	-15.4%	11	-18.2%	9	55.6%	14	1
>= 65 < 85	017	COTTONWOOD	36	-13.9%	31	9.7%	34	-20.6%	27	33.3%	36	-30.6%	25	8.0%	27	1
>= 65 < 85	018	CROW WING	124	-11.3%	110	-10.0%	99	-25.3%	74	14.9%	85	-3.5%	82	-8.5%	75	3
>= 65 < 85	019	DAKOTA	278	-4.0%	267	-4.1%	256	16.0%	297	-6.7%	277	-2.9%	269	0.7%	271	5
>= 65 < 85	020	DODGE	23	13.0%	26	3.8%	27	-14.8%	23	21.7%	28	-25.0%	21	0.0%	21	2
>= 65 < 85	021	DOUGLAS	105	-8.6%	96	4.2%	100	-21.0%	79	15.2%	91	-3.3%	88	-17.0%	73	2
>= 65 < 85	022	FARIBAULT	71	-2.8%	69	0.0%	69	-24.6%	52	-17.3%	43	-2.3%	42	0.0%	42	3
>= 65 < 85	023	FILLMORE	77	2.6%	79	1.3%	80	-3.8%	77	-6.5%	72	-4.2%	69	0.0%	69	2
>= 65 < 85	024	FREEBORN	102	-16.7%	85	2.4%	87	-11.5%	77	-1.3%	76	13.2%	86	3.5%	89	2
>= 65 < 85	025	GOODHUE	106	-13.2%	92	9.8%	101	-7.9%	93	-7.5%	86	-3.5%	83	-12.0%	73	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	026	GRANT	27	0.0%	27	-29.6%	19	-10.5%	17	17.6%	20	-35.0%	13	0.0%	13	1
>= 65 < 85	027	HENNEPIN	1,857	-4.3%	1,777	-1.2%	1,756	0.4%	1,763	-2.6%	1,717	-2.9%	1,667	-0.7%	1,656	5
>= 65 < 85	028	HOUSTON	52	-17.3%	43	7.0%	46	-28.3%	33	15.2%	38	10.5%	42	-16.7%	35	2
>= 65 < 85	029	HUBBARD	43	-20.9%	34	-11.8%	30	6.7%	32	-25.0%	24	33.3%	32	-9.4%	29	2
>= 65 < 85	030	ISANTI	64	-9.4%	58	-6.9%	54	0.0%	54	-20.4%	43	14.0%	49	-12.2%	43	2
>= 65 < 85	031	ITASCA	90	-17.8%	74	10.8%	82	-1.2%	81	-9.9%	73	-15.1%	62	17.7%	73	3
>= 65 < 85	032	JACKSON	21	28.6%	27	-25.9%	20	35.0%	27	29.6%	35	-48.6%	18	-5.6%	17	1
>= 65 < 85	033	KANABEC	32	-25.0%	24	41.7%	34	-11.8%	30	30.0%	39	10.3%	43	-9.3%	39	1
>= 65 < 85	034	KANDIYOHI	91	14.3%	104	-6.7%	97	1.0%	98	2.0%	100	-17.0%	83	-9.6%	75	3
>= 65 < 85	035	KITTSOON	22	0.0%	22	-4.5%	21	-4.8%	20	40.0%	28	-35.7%	18	0.0%	18	1
>= 65 < 85	036	KOOCHICHING	60	-13.3%	52	-11.5%	46	-2.2%	45	2.2%	46	-15.2%	39	-10.3%	35	1
>= 65 < 85	037	LAC QUI PARLE	34	0.0%	34	-14.7%	29	10.3%	32	12.5%	36	-11.1%	32	37.5%	44	1
>= 65 < 85	038	LAKE	21	-4.8%	20	-5.0%	19	0.0%	19	0.0%	19	-5.3%	18	-44.4%	10	1
>= 65 < 85	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	17	-35.3%	11	-18.2%	9	22.2%	11	0.0%	11	54.5%	17	-11.8%	15	1
>= 65 < 85	040	LE SUEUR	50	-2.0%	49	20.4%	59	-20.3%	47	-12.8%	41	0.0%	41	2.4%	42	2
>= 65 < 85	041	LINCOLN	29	-3.4%	28	-10.7%	25	-28.0%	18	27.8%	23	-26.1%	17	0.0%	17	3
>= 65 < 85	042	LYON	50	-20.0%	40	40.0%	56	-12.5%	49	0.0%	49	6.1%	52	-9.6%	47	3
>= 65 < 85	043	MCLEOD	69	-2.9%	67	-1.5%	66	-21.2%	52	0.0%	52	21.2%	63	-1.6%	62	2
>= 65 < 85	044	MAHNOMEN	8	12.5%	9	88.9%	17	23.5%	21	-28.6%	15	6.7%	16	-18.8%	13	1
>= 65 < 85	045	MARSHALL	37	29.7%	48	-14.6%	41	2.4%	42	-16.7%	35	-5.7%	33	6.1%	35	1
>= 65 < 85	046	MARTIN	53	7.5%	57	3.5%	59	-25.4%	44	-2.3%	43	23.3%	53	1.9%	54	3
>= 65 < 85	047	MEEKER	44	20.5%	53	-26.4%	39	-7.7%	36	22.2%	44	-4.5%	42	-19.0%	34	2
>= 65 < 85	048	MILLE LACS	65	6.2%	69	-7.2%	64	-9.4%	58	-8.6%	53	-5.7%	50	10.0%	55	2
>= 65 < 85	049	MORRISON	74	4.1%	77	5.2%	81	-16.0%	68	0.0%	68	-5.9%	64	1.6%	65	3
>= 65 < 85	050	MOWER	112	-6.3%	105	-4.8%	100	-12.0%	88	1.1%	89	-16.9%	74	-8.1%	68	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	051	MURRAY	34	2.9%	35	-17.1%	29	6.9%	31	-29.0%	22	18.2%	26	-19.2%	21	3
>= 65 < 85	052	NICOLLET	35	-8.6%	32	6.3%	34	-2.9%	33	24.2%	41	-4.9%	39	-5.1%	37	2
>= 65 < 85	053	NOBLES	47	-2.1%	46	-2.2%	45	-17.8%	37	-8.1%	34	32.4%	45	-13.3%	39	2
>= 65 < 85	054	NORMAN	36	36.1%	49	-6.1%	46	-6.5%	43	0.0%	43	-32.6%	29	-3.4%	28	1
>= 65 < 85	055	OLMSTED	148	3.4%	153	1.3%	155	-14.2%	133	12.0%	149	-14.8%	127	1.6%	129	4
>= 65 < 85	056	OTTER TAIL	150	-8.7%	137	-0.7%	136	5.9%	144	0.7%	145	-6.2%	136	-8.1%	125	3
>= 65 < 85	057	PENNINGTON	37	13.5%	42	0.0%	42	-9.5%	38	2.6%	39	-17.9%	32	25.0%	40	2
>= 65 < 85	058	PINE	70	4.3%	73	-6.8%	68	-13.2%	59	-3.4%	57	3.5%	59	-6.8%	55	2
>= 65 < 85	059	PIPESTONE	43	-14.0%	37	-13.5%	32	-6.3%	30	33.3%	40	-22.5%	31	22.6%	38	1
>= 65 < 85	060	POLK	109	-6.4%	102	1.0%	103	-13.6%	89	1.1%	90	-5.6%	85	2.4%	87	3
>= 65 < 85	061	POPE	38	-34.2%	25	4.0%	26	26.9%	33	6.1%	35	28.6%	45	-13.3%	39	2
>= 65 < 85	062	RAMSEY	926	-5.4%	876	-2.1%	858	-7.6%	793	-1.9%	778	0.6%	783	-8.8%	714	5
>= 65 < 85	063	RED LAKE	19	-31.6%	13	-30.8%	9	0.0%	9	55.6%	14	-14.3%	12	25.0%	15	1
>= 65 < 85	064	REDWOOD	47	-25.5%	35	-14.3%	30	20.0%	36	16.7%	42	4.8%	44	-15.9%	37	1
>= 65 < 85	065	RENVILLE	35	20.0%	42	-21.4%	33	9.1%	36	-11.1%	32	-12.5%	28	21.4%	34	2
>= 65 < 85	066	RICE	61	26.2%	77	-14.3%	66	10.6%	73	27.4%	93	-5.4%	88	-4.5%	84	3
>= 65 < 85	067	ROCK	19	15.8%	22	4.5%	23	-21.7%	18	16.7%	21	-28.6%	15	-26.7%	11	1
>= 65 < 85	068	ROSEAU	39	-2.6%	38	21.1%	46	-17.4%	38	0.0%	38	5.3%	40	2.5%	41	1
>= 65 < 85	069	ST. LOUIS	527	-2.7%	513	-18.3%	419	5.5%	442	-9.7%	399	-13.8%	344	0.3%	345	5
>= 65 < 85	070	SCOTT	65	-9.2%	59	-15.3%	50	10.0%	55	10.9%	61	11.5%	68	-17.6%	56	3
>= 65 < 85	071	SHERBURNE	44	9.1%	48	-2.1%	47	4.3%	49	6.1%	52	5.8%	55	1.8%	56	3
>= 65 < 85	072	SIBLEY	36	-22.2%	28	17.9%	33	-9.1%	30	-6.7%	28	-10.7%	25	4.0%	26	2
>= 65 < 85	073	STEARNS	178	-5.6%	168	13.1%	190	-1.1%	188	-12.2%	165	3.0%	170	5.9%	180	4
>= 65 < 85	074	STEELE	50	2.0%	51	2.0%	52	-11.5%	46	-13.0%	40	-5.0%	38	-26.3%	28	2
>= 65 < 85	075	STEVENS	25	-20.0%	20	5.0%	21	-19.0%	17	29.4%	22	-22.7%	17	-35.3%	11	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 65 < 85	076	SWIFT	46	8.7%	50	-8.0%	46	-13.0%	40	15.0%	46	-10.9%	41	7.3%	44	2
>= 65 < 85	077	TODD	87	-17.2%	72	-12.5%	63	15.9%	73	15.1%	84	-9.5%	76	2.6%	78	3
>= 65 < 85	078	TRAVERSE	24	25.0%	30	6.7%	32	-18.8%	26	-11.5%	23	34.8%	31	-32.3%	21	1
>= 65 < 85	079	WABASHA	61	-11.5%	54	-11.1%	48	-12.5%	42	-4.8%	40	37.5%	55	-12.7%	48	2
>= 65 < 85	080	WADENA	55	3.6%	57	-17.5%	47	-12.8%	41	-7.3%	38	15.8%	44	9.1%	48	2
>= 65 < 85	081	WASECA	35	20.0%	42	-28.6%	30	-30.0%	21	-19.0%	17	111.8%	36	-27.8%	26	1
>= 65 < 85	082	WASHINGTON	134	12.7%	151	-11.9%	133	6.8%	142	2.8%	146	-2.1%	143	4.9%	150	4
>= 65 < 85	083	WATONWAN	29	-10.3%	26	38.5%	36	-2.8%	35	22.9%	43	-30.2%	30	-6.7%	28	1
>= 65 < 85	084	WILKIN	22	-4.5%	21	9.5%	23	8.7%	25	12.0%	28	-25.0%	21	9.5%	23	1
>= 65 < 85	085	WINONA	110	7.3%	118	11.0%	131	-6.9%	122	2.5%	125	-19.2%	101	1.0%	102	3
>= 65 < 85	086	WRIGHT	119	-10.9%	106	10.4%	117	-6.0%	110	-14.5%	94	0.0%	94	4.3%	98	4
>= 65 < 85	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	39	-7.7%	36	-8.3%	33	-15.2%	28	-7.1%	26	50.0%	39	-23.1%	30	1
>= 65 < 85	All	Statewide	8,762		8,495		8,305		7,902		7,837		7,536		7,317	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	001	AITKIN	89	-6.7%	83	-7.2%	77	0.0%	77	-16.9%	64	-14.1%	55	-1.8%	54	2
>= 85	002	ANOKA	280	-9.6%	253	-2.4%	247	2.4%	253	-0.4%	252	0.8%	254	-0.4%	253	5
>= 85	003	BECKER	134	0.0%	134	-0.7%	133	-15.0%	113	-12.4%	99	-2.0%	97	22.7%	119	2
>= 85	004	BELTRAMI	94	-1.1%	93	-10.8%	83	-3.6%	80	8.8%	87	-9.2%	79	-1.3%	78	3
>= 85	005	BENTON	90	-1.1%	89	3.4%	92	12.0%	103	-1.0%	102	-12.7%	89	-5.6%	84	3
>= 85	006	BIG STONE	41	14.6%	47	-4.3%	45	-6.7%	42	16.7%	49	-8.2%	45	-13.3%	39	1
>= 85	007	BLUE EARTH	94	5.3%	99	-1.0%	98	6.1%	104	-12.5%	91	4.4%	95	-1.1%	94	3
>= 85	008	BROWN	116	18.1%	137	-15.3%	116	1.7%	118	2.5%	121	-5.0%	115	-2.6%	112	3
>= 85	009	CARLTON	138	-4.3%	132	-3.8%	127	1.6%	129	11.6%	144	-16.7%	120	-12.5%	105	3
>= 85	010	CARVER	113	-4.4%	108	0.9%	109	-3.7%	105	-2.9%	102	0.0%	102	2.0%	104	3
>= 85	011	CASS	57	8.8%	62	0.0%	62	-1.6%	61	-26.2%	45	15.6%	52	-17.3%	43	2
>= 85	012	CHIPPEWA	73	2.7%	75	1.3%	76	3.9%	79	-2.5%	77	-7.8%	71	8.5%	77	2
>= 85	013	CHISAGO	89	-10.1%	80	0.0%	80	-11.3%	71	-7.0%	66	-13.6%	57	12.3%	64	3
>= 85	014	CLAY	167	-3.6%	161	-13.0%	140	-0.7%	139	8.6%	151	-14.6%	129	8.5%	140	4
>= 85	015	CLEARWATER	57	-7.0%	53	7.5%	57	-12.3%	50	-10.0%	45	-2.2%	44	-18.2%	36	1
>= 85	016	COOK	17	11.8%	19	-15.8%	16	-18.8%	13	23.1%	16	25.0%	20	-5.0%	19	1
>= 85	017	COTTONWOOD	71	-7.0%	66	4.5%	69	4.3%	72	6.9%	77	-7.8%	71	-15.5%	60	1
>= 85	018	CROW WING	129	-11.6%	114	5.3%	120	0.8%	121	0.0%	121	0.8%	122	-13.9%	105	3
>= 85	019	DAKOTA	322	1.9%	328	-7.3%	304	6.6%	324	-5.2%	307	0.0%	307	-6.8%	286	5
>= 85	020	DODGE	28	17.9%	33	0.0%	33	9.1%	36	-13.9%	31	6.5%	33	-15.2%	28	2
>= 85	021	DOUGLAS	153	-7.2%	142	4.9%	149	-16.8%	124	14.5%	142	-22.5%	110	-15.5%	93	2
>= 85	022	FARIBAULT	72	5.6%	76	9.2%	83	-3.6%	80	-18.8%	65	3.1%	67	0.0%	67	3
>= 85	023	FILLMORE	112	0.9%	113	-8.0%	104	17.3%	122	3.3%	126	-6.3%	118	0.8%	119	2
>= 85	024	FREEBORN	139	0.0%	139	-2.2%	136	-9.6%	123	5.7%	130	-7.7%	120	-6.7%	112	2
>= 85	025	GOODHUE	138	-2.2%	135	7.4%	145	-11.0%	129	4.7%	135	12.6%	152	-1.3%	150	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	026	GRANT	48	6.3%	51	-15.7%	43	-23.3%	33	12.1%	37	0.0%	37	-21.6%	29	1
>= 85	027	HENNEPIN	1,764	-4.8%	1,679	2.1%	1,714	-1.3%	1,691	-4.1%	1,622	-1.7%	1,594	-4.3%	1,526	5
>= 85	028	HOUSTON	81	-11.1%	72	2.8%	74	0.0%	74	-10.8%	66	6.1%	70	-15.7%	59	2
>= 85	029	HUBBARD	55	-12.7%	48	14.6%	55	-10.9%	49	-10.2%	44	-9.1%	40	-30.0%	28	2
>= 85	030	ISANTI	86	-5.8%	81	11.1%	90	-16.7%	75	12.0%	84	-9.5%	76	-15.8%	64	2
>= 85	031	ITASCA	122	-8.2%	112	-17.0%	93	-1.1%	92	13.0%	104	1.9%	106	-5.7%	100	3
>= 85	032	JACKSON	45	4.4%	47	27.7%	60	-38.3%	37	43.2%	53	-20.8%	42	-4.8%	40	1
>= 85	033	KANABEC	26	7.7%	28	10.7%	31	16.1%	36	11.1%	40	2.5%	41	24.4%	51	1
>= 85	034	KANDIYOHI	150	9.3%	164	-3.0%	159	-1.9%	156	-11.5%	138	-4.3%	132	-9.8%	119	3
>= 85	035	KITSON	48	-10.4%	43	18.6%	51	-17.6%	42	-4.8%	40	-17.5%	33	-9.1%	30	1
>= 85	036	KOOCHICHING	69	5.8%	73	0.0%	73	-9.6%	66	1.5%	67	-11.9%	59	-6.8%	55	1
>= 85	037	LAC QUI PARLE	55	1.8%	56	26.8%	71	-12.7%	62	-12.9%	54	-7.4%	50	20.0%	60	1
>= 85	038	LAKE	42	-2.4%	41	-29.3%	29	-17.2%	24	20.8%	29	0.0%	29	-31.0%	20	1
>= 85	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	23	-17.4%	19	0.0%	19	-5.3%	18	-5.6%	17	11.8%	19	-31.6%	13	1
>= 85	040	LE SUEUR	93	-6.5%	87	3.4%	90	-4.4%	86	-4.7%	82	12.2%	92	-21.7%	72	2
>= 85	041	LINCOLN	57	-3.5%	55	14.5%	63	-6.3%	59	5.1%	62	1.6%	63	-9.5%	57	3
>= 85	042	LYON	109	2.8%	112	5.4%	118	-11.9%	104	-1.9%	102	-2.0%	100	-17.0%	83	3
>= 85	043	MCLEOD	106	-0.9%	105	16.2%	122	-18.0%	100	14.0%	114	-3.5%	110	-15.5%	93	2
>= 85	044	MAHNOMEN	32	3.1%	33	-18.2%	27	-3.7%	26	3.8%	27	-22.2%	21	14.3%	24	1
>= 85	045	MARSHALL	62	-11.3%	55	12.7%	62	-11.3%	55	9.1%	60	-13.3%	52	-19.2%	42	1
>= 85	046	MARTIN	94	3.2%	97	23.7%	120	-10.0%	108	-11.1%	96	5.2%	101	-8.9%	92	3
>= 85	047	MEEKER	87	9.2%	95	-22.1%	74	5.4%	78	19.2%	93	-7.5%	86	-3.5%	83	2
>= 85	048	MILLE LACS	121	-13.2%	105	-8.6%	96	0.0%	96	-5.2%	91	-4.4%	87	0.0%	87	2
>= 85	049	MORRISON	129	-7.8%	119	9.2%	130	-21.5%	102	-6.9%	95	-5.3%	90	3.3%	93	3
>= 85	050	MOWER	134	-6.7%	125	-3.2%	121	8.3%	131	6.1%	139	-2.2%	136	-5.1%	129	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	051	MURRAY	59	-10.2%	53	20.8%	64	-21.9%	50	10.0%	55	-21.8%	43	18.6%	51	3
>= 85	052	NICOLLET	58	12.1%	65	-21.5%	51	-9.8%	46	30.4%	60	-10.0%	54	-5.6%	51	2
>= 85	053	NOBLES	93	1.1%	94	-5.3%	89	-7.9%	82	-14.6%	70	-20.0%	56	-3.6%	54	2
>= 85	054	NORMAN	48	10.4%	53	-1.9%	52	-28.8%	37	21.6%	45	-4.4%	43	-16.3%	36	1
>= 85	055	OLMSTED	196	-1.0%	194	9.8%	213	-10.3%	191	-8.9%	174	11.5%	194	-14.4%	166	4
>= 85	056	OTTER TAIL	284	4.6%	297	10.4%	328	-9.1%	298	-13.1%	259	-7.3%	240	1.7%	244	3
>= 85	057	PENNINGTON	60	-15.0%	51	-2.0%	50	2.0%	51	-3.9%	49	-16.3%	41	9.8%	45	2
>= 85	058	PINE	61	14.8%	70	-11.4%	62	0.0%	62	0.0%	62	9.7%	68	-13.2%	59	2
>= 85	059	PIPESTONE	69	-7.2%	64	4.7%	67	-11.9%	59	6.8%	63	-7.9%	58	-24.1%	44	1
>= 85	060	POLK	147	4.1%	153	-2.6%	149	3.4%	154	3.9%	160	10.0%	176	-12.5%	154	3
>= 85	061	POPE	70	-11.4%	62	-3.2%	60	-25.0%	45	55.6%	70	-5.7%	66	-9.1%	60	2
>= 85	062	RAMSEY	937	-5.5%	885	-0.1%	884	-4.8%	842	-3.3%	814	-6.9%	758	-5.1%	719	5
>= 85	063	RED LAKE	26	3.8%	27	-14.8%	23	-21.7%	18	5.6%	19	-15.8%	16	-6.3%	15	1
>= 85	064	REDWOOD	70	2.9%	72	22.2%	88	-19.3%	71	4.2%	74	8.1%	80	-18.8%	65	1
>= 85	065	RENVILLE	71	-7.0%	66	-3.0%	64	-4.7%	61	-8.2%	56	12.5%	63	-17.5%	52	2
>= 85	066	RICE	123	-8.9%	112	16.1%	130	-20.8%	103	-1.0%	102	-6.9%	95	1.1%	96	3
>= 85	067	ROCK	36	11.1%	40	20.0%	48	-10.4%	43	39.5%	60	0.0%	60	-21.7%	47	1
>= 85	068	ROSEAU	75	-4.0%	72	-2.8%	70	2.9%	72	-6.9%	67	-4.5%	64	-7.8%	59	1
>= 85	069	ST. LOUIS	737	-2.3%	720	0.7%	725	-8.4%	664	-2.3%	649	-11.1%	577	-6.9%	537	5
>= 85	070	SCOTT	99	-1.0%	98	5.1%	103	4.9%	108	-2.8%	105	-10.5%	94	0.0%	94	3
>= 85	071	SHERBURNE	72	9.7%	79	-7.6%	73	-1.4%	72	-12.5%	63	-12.7%	55	-5.5%	52	3
>= 85	072	SIBLEY	74	-9.5%	67	-7.5%	62	9.7%	68	11.8%	76	-2.6%	74	-21.6%	58	2
>= 85	073	STEARNS	239	1.3%	242	5.4%	255	-10.6%	228	11.4%	254	-5.9%	239	-5.4%	226	4
>= 85	074	STEELE	75	13.3%	85	0.0%	85	-9.4%	77	3.9%	80	-3.8%	77	-18.2%	63	2
>= 85	075	STEVENS	50	38.0%	69	0.0%	69	-30.4%	48	10.4%	53	-15.1%	45	-15.6%	38	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
>= 85	076	SWIFT	64	18.8%	76	-1.3%	75	6.7%	80	-3.8%	77	-10.4%	69	-1.4%	68	2
>= 85	077	TODD	115	9.6%	126	-1.6%	124	-5.6%	117	-9.4%	106	4.7%	111	-6.3%	104	3
>= 85	078	TRAVERSE	26	19.2%	31	3.2%	32	-6.3%	30	16.7%	35	5.7%	37	2.7%	38	1
>= 85	079	WABASHA	78	-5.1%	74	4.1%	77	-14.3%	66	6.1%	70	5.7%	74	0.0%	74	2
>= 85	080	WADENA	67	-3.0%	65	-3.1%	63	0.0%	63	11.1%	70	-15.7%	59	0.0%	59	2
>= 85	081	WASECA	64	-6.3%	60	-6.7%	56	1.8%	57	3.5%	59	5.1%	62	-11.3%	55	1
>= 85	082	WASHINGTON	154	3.2%	159	-10.7%	142	-7.7%	131	6.1%	139	5.0%	146	-4.1%	140	4
>= 85	083	WATONWAN	55	5.5%	58	-8.6%	53	-7.5%	49	-20.4%	39	-7.7%	36	8.3%	39	1
>= 85	084	WILKIN	39	0.0%	39	25.6%	49	0.0%	49	-20.4%	39	12.8%	44	-6.8%	41	1
>= 85	085	WINONA	139	-4.3%	133	4.5%	139	15.1%	160	1.3%	162	-13.0%	141	-5.7%	133	3
>= 85	086	WRIGHT	147	4.1%	153	0.0%	153	-4.6%	146	-2.7%	142	0.0%	142	3.5%	147	4
>= 85	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	79	-6.3%	74	-4.1%	71	2.8%	73	9.6%	80	-12.5%	70	0.0%	70	1
>= 85	All	Statewide	11,307	-1.7%	11,111	0.7%	11,184	-4.9%	10,639	-0.8%	10,558	-4.2%	10,117	-5.7%	9,543	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	001	AITKIN	142	-2.1%	139	-5.8%	131	-5.3%	124	-10.5%	111	-16.2%	93	1.1%	94	2
ALL	002	ANOKA	671	-1.5%	661	-2.0%	648	-2.8%	630	-0.6%	626	-2.6%	610	-5.7%	575	5
ALL	003	BECKER	248	2.0%	253	2.0%	258	-13.6%	223	-5.4%	211	-2.8%	205	6.3%	218	2
ALL	004	BELTRAMI	207	2.4%	212	-7.1%	197	-9.6%	178	3.9%	185	-5.4%	175	-3.4%	169	3
ALL	005	BENTON	169	5.9%	179	1.7%	182	-6.6%	170	1.2%	172	-1.2%	170	-8.8%	155	3
ALL	006	BIG STONE	75	21.3%	91	-11.0%	81	-17.3%	67	32.8%	89	-19.1%	72	-6.9%	67	1
ALL	007	BLUE EARTH	181	-2.8%	176	5.7%	186	-3.2%	180	-7.2%	167	0.0%	167	0.6%	168	3
ALL	008	BROWN	203	10.3%	224	-8.0%	206	-11.2%	183	5.5%	193	-6.2%	181	-5.5%	171	3
ALL	009	CARLTON	236	-5.1%	224	-5.8%	211	2.4%	216	10.6%	239	-25.1%	179	-12.3%	157	3
ALL	010	CARVER	198	-10.6%	177	2.8%	182	0.5%	183	0.5%	184	-9.8%	166	13.3%	188	3
ALL	011	CASS	113	8.8%	123	-2.4%	120	-6.7%	112	-17.9%	92	7.6%	99	3.0%	102	2
ALL	012	CHIPPEWA	118	10.2%	130	-0.8%	129	-3.1%	125	4.0%	130	2.3%	133	0.0%	133	2
ALL	013	CHISAGO	156	-14.7%	133	4.5%	139	-8.6%	127	-7.9%	117	-6.8%	109	14.7%	125	3
ALL	014	CLAY	302	-3.3%	292	-4.8%	278	-4.3%	266	-0.4%	265	-1.1%	262	3.8%	272	4
ALL	015	CLEARWATER	100	-15.0%	85	7.1%	91	-12.1%	80	-12.5%	70	-2.9%	68	-10.3%	61	1
ALL	016	COOK	29	6.9%	31	-3.2%	30	-6.7%	28	-3.6%	27	11.1%	30	10.0%	33	1
ALL	017	COTTONWOOD	113	-10.6%	101	5.9%	107	-2.8%	104	12.5%	117	-17.1%	97	-7.2%	90	1
ALL	018	CROW WING	272	-8.5%	249	-3.2%	241	-8.7%	220	4.5%	230	-1.7%	226	-11.5%	200	3
ALL	019	DAKOTA	689	-1.6%	678	-5.5%	641	8.9%	698	-6.4%	653	-0.9%	647	-4.6%	617	5
ALL	020	DODGE	54	18.5%	64	-1.6%	63	1.6%	64	-1.6%	63	-7.9%	58	-13.8%	50	2
ALL	021	DOUGLAS	269	-8.6%	246	6.9%	263	-16.3%	220	15.0%	253	-17.4%	209	-16.3%	175	2
ALL	022	FARIBAULT	150	1.3%	152	3.3%	157	-12.7%	137	-16.8%	114	-0.9%	113	0.9%	114	3
ALL	023	FILLMORE	202	-1.0%	200	-4.0%	192	9.4%	210	-0.5%	209	-5.7%	197	1.5%	200	2
ALL	024	FREEBORN	260	-7.3%	241	0.4%	242	-9.5%	219	2.7%	225	-1.3%	222	0.0%	222	2
ALL	025	GOODHUE	281	-4.3%	269	4.8%	282	-8.2%	259	-1.2%	256	3.9%	266	-1.9%	261	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	026	GRANT	78	2.6%	80	-20.0%	64	-15.6%	54	9.3%	59	-11.9%	52	-15.4%	44	1
ALL	027	HENNEPIN	4,649	-4.8%	4,425	-1.3%	4,368	-0.2%	4,360	-3.6%	4,201	-2.2%	4,109	-2.9%	3,991	5
ALL	028	HOUSTON	139	-12.2%	122	4.9%	128	-10.9%	114	-2.6%	111	7.2%	119	-16.0%	100	2
ALL	029	HUBBARD	104	-15.4%	88	1.1%	89	0.0%	89	-14.6%	76	5.3%	80	-8.8%	73	2
ALL	030	ISANTI	160	-8.1%	147	6.1%	156	-9.0%	142	-6.3%	133	-1.5%	131	-13.0%	114	2
ALL	031	ITASCA	230	-11.3%	204	-6.9%	190	-0.5%	189	3.2%	195	-6.2%	183	4.9%	192	3
ALL	032	JACKSON	73	6.8%	78	10.3%	86	-19.8%	69	34.8%	93	-29.0%	66	-6.1%	62	1
ALL	033	KANABEC	61	-3.3%	59	15.3%	68	8.8%	74	16.2%	86	1.2%	87	8.0%	94	1
ALL	034	KANDIYOHI	254	12.2%	285	-4.9%	271	0.7%	273	-6.2%	256	-9.8%	231	-8.2%	212	3
ALL	035	KITSON	73	-8.2%	67	9.0%	73	-11.0%	65	7.7%	70	-20.0%	56	-5.4%	53	1
ALL	036	KOOCHICHING	141	-2.8%	137	-5.8%	129	-4.7%	123	0.8%	124	-13.7%	107	-4.7%	102	1
ALL	037	LAC QUI PARLE	94	0.0%	94	11.7%	105	-6.7%	98	-5.1%	93	-8.6%	85	24.7%	106	1
ALL	038	LAKE	69	-4.3%	66	-22.7%	51	-5.9%	48	6.3%	51	2.0%	52	-36.5%	33	1
ALL	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	41	-22.0%	32	-9.4%	29	3.4%	30	-3.3%	29	24.1%	36	-22.2%	28	1
ALL	040	LE SUEUR	149	-2.0%	146	9.6%	160	-10.6%	143	-6.3%	134	7.5%	144	-11.8%	127	2
ALL	041	LINCOLN	88	-4.5%	84	7.1%	90	-13.3%	78	9.0%	85	-5.9%	80	-7.5%	74	3
ALL	042	LYON	166	-4.8%	158	15.2%	182	-12.1%	160	-1.3%	158	3.2%	163	-11.7%	144	3
ALL	043	MCLEOD	183	-2.2%	179	10.1%	197	-16.8%	164	7.9%	177	8.5%	192	-12.5%	168	2
ALL	044	MAHNOMEN	41	4.9%	43	18.6%	51	2.0%	52	-9.6%	47	-17.0%	39	10.3%	43	1
ALL	045	MARSHALL	103	3.9%	107	0.9%	108	-5.6%	102	-3.9%	98	-9.2%	89	-10.1%	80	1
ALL	046	MARTIN	152	4.6%	159	16.4%	185	-16.8%	154	-9.1%	140	15.0%	161	-5.0%	153	3
ALL	047	MEEKER	137	13.1%	155	-23.9%	118	0.8%	119	22.7%	146	-4.8%	139	-8.6%	127	2
ALL	048	MILLE LACS	198	-7.1%	184	-8.7%	168	-2.4%	164	-8.5%	150	-2.7%	146	5.5%	154	2
ALL	049	MORRISON	219	-2.7%	213	6.6%	227	-19.8%	182	-1.6%	179	-7.3%	166	3.6%	172	3
ALL	050	MOWER	263	-7.6%	243	-5.3%	230	-1.7%	226	4.9%	237	-6.8%	221	-6.8%	206	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	051	MURRAY	95	-6.3%	89	6.7%	95	-12.6%	83	-6.0%	78	-10.3%	70	2.9%	72	3
ALL	052	NICOLLET	102	2.0%	104	-12.5%	91	-3.3%	88	20.5%	106	-5.7%	100	-7.0%	93	2
ALL	053	NOBLES	146	-0.7%	145	-4.1%	139	-9.4%	126	-10.3%	113	-4.4%	108	-9.3%	98	2
ALL	054	NORMAN	86	23.3%	106	-6.6%	99	-16.2%	83	10.8%	92	-18.5%	75	-5.3%	71	1
ALL	055	OLMSTED	380	-0.5%	378	7.1%	405	-11.4%	359	0.3%	360	-0.3%	359	-10.0%	323	4
ALL	056	OTTER TAIL	459	-1.3%	453	8.4%	491	-4.3%	470	-9.4%	426	-7.0%	396	-1.0%	392	3
ALL	057	PENNINGTON	105	-4.8%	100	-3.0%	97	-3.1%	94	1.1%	95	-12.6%	83	9.6%	91	2
ALL	058	PINE	139	6.5%	148	-5.4%	140	-7.9%	129	-3.1%	125	10.4%	138	-10.1%	124	2
ALL	059	PIPESTONE	118	-6.8%	110	-4.5%	105	-5.7%	99	12.1%	111	-14.4%	95	-6.3%	89	1
ALL	060	POLK	272	1.5%	276	-0.7%	274	-4.7%	261	3.4%	270	5.2%	284	-9.9%	256	3
ALL	061	POPE	111	-20.7%	88	0.0%	88	-6.8%	82	31.7%	108	5.6%	114	-10.5%	102	2
ALL	062	RAMSEY	2,232	-6.0%	2,097	-1.5%	2,066	-6.7%	1,927	-1.6%	1,896	-2.3%	1,853	-6.6%	1,731	5
ALL	063	RED LAKE	47	-8.5%	43	-25.6%	32	-12.5%	28	25.0%	35	-14.3%	30	3.3%	31	1
ALL	064	REDWOOD	123	-8.1%	113	10.6%	125	-8.8%	114	8.8%	124	8.1%	134	-17.9%	110	1
ALL	065	RENVILLE	115	3.5%	119	-10.9%	106	-2.8%	103	-8.7%	94	2.1%	96	-4.2%	92	2
ALL	066	RICE	199	1.0%	201	2.5%	206	-5.3%	195	5.6%	206	-5.8%	194	-2.1%	190	3
ALL	067	ROCK	59	11.9%	66	13.6%	75	-14.7%	64	31.3%	84	-7.1%	78	-23.1%	60	1
ALL	068	ROSEAU	118	-2.5%	115	2.6%	118	-3.4%	114	-4.4%	109	1.8%	111	-3.6%	107	1
ALL	069	ST. LOUIS	1,373	-3.0%	1,332	-6.2%	1,250	-3.5%	1,206	-5.8%	1,136	-9.3%	1,030	-4.0%	989	5
ALL	070	SCOTT	172	-2.3%	168	-2.4%	164	9.1%	179	3.9%	186	-6.5%	174	-4.0%	167	3
ALL	071	SHERBURNE	132	11.4%	147	-7.5%	136	-0.7%	135	-2.2%	132	-4.5%	126	-2.4%	123	3
ALL	072	SIBLEY	117	-15.4%	99	2.0%	101	3.0%	104	6.7%	111	-3.6%	107	-16.8%	89	2
ALL	073	STEARNS	460	-1.5%	453	9.1%	494	-7.9%	455	1.8%	463	-3.9%	445	0.4%	447	4
ALL	074	STEELE	136	5.9%	144	4.9%	151	-13.2%	131	-2.3%	128	-1.6%	126	-25.4%	94	2
ALL	075	STEVENS	77	18.2%	91	1.1%	92	-23.9%	70	12.9%	79	-17.7%	65	-20.0%	52	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
ALL	076	SWIFT	116	13.8%	132	-4.5%	126	3.2%	130	0.0%	130	-7.7%	120	-0.8%	119	2
ALL	077	TODD	214	-1.9%	210	-3.3%	203	0.5%	204	-2.5%	199	-2.5%	194	0.0%	194	3
ALL	078	TRAVERSE	55	20.0%	66	0.0%	66	-12.1%	58	5.2%	61	16.4%	71	-14.1%	61	1
ALL	079	WABASHA	148	-7.4%	137	-0.7%	136	-14.0%	117	3.4%	121	15.7%	140	-7.1%	130	2
ALL	080	WADENA	130	-0.8%	129	-7.0%	120	-4.2%	115	6.1%	122	-6.6%	114	4.4%	119	2
ALL	081	WASECA	105	0.0%	105	-15.2%	89	-7.9%	82	1.2%	83	28.9%	107	-16.8%	89	1
ALL	082	WASHINGTON	329	5.5%	347	-11.5%	307	-1.6%	302	4.6%	316	1.9%	322	0.0%	322	4
ALL	083	WATONWAN	88	1.1%	89	7.9%	96	-7.3%	89	-5.6%	84	-17.9%	69	2.9%	71	1
ALL	084	WILKIN	66	-1.5%	65	16.9%	76	2.6%	78	-5.1%	74	-1.4%	73	-5.5%	69	1
ALL	085	WINONA	277	0.0%	277	7.6%	298	3.7%	309	1.0%	312	-15.7%	263	-2.3%	257	3
ALL	086	WRIGHT	293	-4.1%	281	3.9%	292	-4.8%	278	-7.2%	258	0.4%	259	3.9%	269	4
ALL	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	124	-7.3%	115	-7.0%	107	-2.8%	104	4.8%	109	2.8%	112	-8.9%	102	1
ALL	All	Statewide	22,621	-2.6%	22,023	-0.8%	21,836	-4.5%	20,860	-0.9%	20,662	-3.6%	19,923	-4.3%	19,064	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	001	AITKIN	140	-2.1%	137	-5.8%	129	-7.8%	119	-10.9%	106	-14.2%	91	-2.2%	89	2
65-up	002	ANOKA	564	-1.4%	556	-1.3%	549	-2.0%	538	-1.5%	530	-1.9%	520	-7.3%	482	5
65-up	003	BECKER	232	1.3%	235	3.4%	243	-14.0%	209	-5.7%	197	-1.5%	194	3.6%	201	2
65-up	004	BELTRAMI	186	1.6%	189	-10.6%	169	-7.7%	156	-0.6%	155	-8.4%	142	-2.1%	139	3
65-up	005	BENTON	161	5.0%	169	4.7%	177	-7.3%	164	-0.6%	163	-2.5%	159	-8.2%	146	3
65-up	006	BIG STONE	73	17.8%	86	-8.1%	79	-17.7%	65	32.3%	86	-19.8%	69	-11.6%	61	1
65-up	007	BLUE EARTH	163	-0.6%	162	4.3%	169	-4.1%	162	-8.0%	149	0.7%	150	2.0%	153	3
65-up	008	BROWN	194	10.8%	215	-8.4%	197	-9.6%	178	4.5%	186	-8.1%	171	-4.7%	163	3
65-up	009	CARLTON	232	-5.2%	220	-8.2%	202	4.0%	210	11.0%	233	-26.6%	171	-10.5%	153	3
65-up	010	CARVER	183	-9.8%	165	3.0%	170	-1.8%	167	3.6%	173	-11.0%	154	13.6%	175	3
65-up	011	CASS	101	13.9%	115	-3.5%	111	-5.4%	105	-21.9%	82	6.1%	87	0.0%	87	2
65-up	012	CHIPPEWA	110	9.1%	120	-2.5%	117	-0.9%	116	4.3%	121	3.3%	125	0.0%	125	2
65-up	013	CHISAGO	150	-17.3%	124	4.8%	130	-8.5%	119	-10.1%	107	-2.8%	104	14.4%	119	3
65-up	014	CLAY	276	-3.6%	266	-5.3%	252	-4.8%	240	1.7%	244	-3.3%	236	5.5%	249	4
65-up	015	CLEARWATER	95	-12.6%	83	3.6%	86	-11.6%	76	-15.8%	64	-1.6%	63	-9.5%	57	1
65-up	016	COOK	28	7.1%	30	-3.3%	29	-10.3%	26	3.8%	27	7.4%	29	13.8%	33	1
65-up	017	COTTONWOOD	107	-9.3%	97	6.2%	103	-3.9%	99	14.1%	113	-15.0%	96	-9.4%	87	1
65-up	018	CROW WING	253	-11.5%	224	-2.2%	219	-11.0%	195	5.6%	206	-1.0%	204	-11.8%	180	3
65-up	019	DAKOTA	600	-0.8%	595	-5.9%	560	10.9%	621	-6.0%	584	-1.4%	576	-3.3%	557	5
65-up	020	DODGE	51	15.7%	59	1.7%	60	-1.7%	59	0.0%	59	-8.5%	54	-9.3%	49	2
65-up	021	DOUGLAS	258	-7.8%	238	4.6%	249	-18.5%	203	14.8%	233	-15.0%	198	-16.2%	166	2
65-up	022	FARIBAULT	143	1.4%	145	4.8%	152	-13.2%	132	-18.2%	108	0.9%	109	0.0%	109	3
65-up	023	FILLMORE	189	1.6%	192	-4.2%	184	8.2%	199	-0.5%	198	-5.6%	187	0.5%	188	2
65-up	024	FREEBORN	241	-7.1%	224	-0.4%	223	-10.3%	200	3.0%	206	0.0%	206	-2.4%	201	2
65-up	025	GOODHUE	244	-7.0%	227	8.4%	246	-9.8%	222	-0.5%	221	6.3%	235	-5.1%	223	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	026	GRANT	75	4.0%	78	-20.5%	62	-19.4%	50	14.0%	57	-12.3%	50	-16.0%	42	1
65-up	027	HENNEPIN	3,621	-4.6%	3,456	0.4%	3,470	-0.5%	3,454	-3.3%	3,339	-2.3%	3,261	-2.4%	3,182	5
65-up	028	HOUSTON	133	-13.5%	115	4.3%	120	-10.8%	107	-2.8%	104	7.7%	112	-16.1%	94	2
65-up	029	HUBBARD	98	-16.3%	82	3.7%	85	-4.7%	81	-16.0%	68	5.9%	72	-20.8%	57	2
65-up	030	ISANTI	150	-7.3%	139	3.6%	144	-10.4%	129	-1.6%	127	-1.6%	125	-14.4%	107	2
65-up	031	ITASCA	212	-12.3%	186	-5.9%	175	-1.1%	173	2.3%	177	-5.1%	168	3.0%	173	3
65-up	032	JACKSON	66	12.1%	74	8.1%	80	-20.0%	64	37.5%	88	-31.8%	60	-5.0%	57	1
65-up	033	KANABEC	58	-10.3%	52	25.0%	65	1.5%	66	19.7%	79	6.3%	84	7.1%	90	1
65-up	034	KANDIYOHI	241	11.2%	268	-4.5%	256	-0.8%	254	-6.3%	238	-9.7%	215	-9.8%	194	3
65-up	035	KITTSOON	70	-7.1%	65	10.8%	72	-13.9%	62	9.7%	68	-25.0%	51	-5.9%	48	1
65-up	036	KOOCHICHING	129	-3.1%	125	-4.8%	119	-6.7%	111	1.8%	113	-13.3%	98	-8.2%	90	1
65-up	037	LAC QUI PARLE	89	1.1%	90	11.1%	100	-6.0%	94	-4.3%	90	-8.9%	82	26.8%	104	1
65-up	038	LAKE	63	-3.2%	61	-21.3%	48	-10.4%	43	11.6%	48	-2.1%	47	-36.2%	30	1
65-up	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	40	-25.0%	30	-6.7%	28	3.6%	29	-3.4%	28	28.6%	36	-22.2%	28	1
65-up	040	LE SUEUR	143	-4.9%	136	9.6%	149	-10.7%	133	-7.5%	123	8.1%	133	-14.3%	114	2
65-up	041	LINCOLN	86	-3.5%	83	6.0%	88	-12.5%	77	10.4%	85	-5.9%	80	-7.5%	74	3
65-up	042	LYON	159	-4.4%	152	14.5%	174	-12.1%	153	-1.3%	151	0.7%	152	-14.5%	130	3
65-up	043	MCLEOD	175	-1.7%	172	9.3%	188	-19.1%	152	9.2%	166	4.2%	173	-10.4%	155	2
65-up	044	MAHNOMEN	40	5.0%	42	4.8%	44	6.8%	47	-10.6%	42	-11.9%	37	0.0%	37	1
65-up	045	MARSHALL	99	4.0%	103	0.0%	103	-5.8%	97	-2.1%	95	-10.5%	85	-9.4%	77	1
65-up	046	MARTIN	147	4.8%	154	16.2%	179	-15.1%	152	-8.6%	139	10.8%	154	-5.2%	146	3
65-up	047	MEEKER	131	13.0%	148	-23.6%	113	0.9%	114	20.2%	137	-6.6%	128	-8.6%	117	2
65-up	048	MILLE LACS	186	-6.5%	174	-8.0%	160	-3.8%	154	-6.5%	144	-4.9%	137	3.6%	142	2
65-up	049	MORRISON	203	-3.4%	196	7.7%	211	-19.4%	170	-4.1%	163	-5.5%	154	2.6%	158	3
65-up	050	MOWER	246	-6.5%	230	-3.9%	221	-0.9%	219	4.1%	228	-7.9%	210	-6.2%	197	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	051	MURRAY	93	-5.4%	88	5.7%	93	-12.9%	81	-4.9%	77	-10.4%	69	4.3%	72	3
65-up	052	NICOLLET	93	4.3%	97	-12.4%	85	-7.1%	79	27.8%	101	-7.9%	93	-5.4%	88	2
65-up	053	NOBLES	140	0.0%	140	-4.3%	134	-11.2%	119	-12.6%	104	-2.9%	101	-7.9%	93	2
65-up	054	NORMAN	84	21.4%	102	-3.9%	98	-18.4%	80	10.0%	88	-18.2%	72	-11.1%	64	1
65-up	055	OLMSTED	344	0.9%	347	6.1%	368	-12.0%	324	-0.3%	323	-0.6%	321	-8.1%	295	4
65-up	056	OTTER TAIL	434	0.0%	434	6.9%	464	-4.7%	442	-8.6%	404	-6.9%	376	-1.9%	369	3
65-up	057	PENNINGTON	97	-4.1%	93	-1.1%	92	-3.3%	89	-1.1%	88	-17.0%	73	16.4%	85	2
65-up	058	PINE	131	9.2%	143	-9.1%	130	-6.9%	121	-1.7%	119	6.7%	127	-10.2%	114	2
65-up	059	PIPESTONE	112	-9.8%	101	-2.0%	99	-10.1%	89	15.7%	103	-13.6%	89	-7.9%	82	1
65-up	060	POLK	256	-0.4%	255	-1.2%	252	-3.6%	243	2.9%	250	4.4%	261	-7.7%	241	3
65-up	061	POPE	108	-19.4%	87	-1.1%	86	-9.3%	78	34.6%	105	5.7%	111	-10.8%	99	2
65-up	062	RAMSEY	1,863	-5.5%	1,761	-1.1%	1,742	-6.1%	1,635	-2.6%	1,592	-3.2%	1,541	-7.0%	1,433	5
65-up	063	RED LAKE	45	-11.1%	40	-20.0%	32	-15.6%	27	22.2%	33	-15.2%	28	7.1%	30	1
65-up	064	REDWOOD	117	-8.5%	107	10.3%	118	-9.3%	107	8.4%	116	6.9%	124	-17.7%	102	1
65-up	065	RENVILLE	106	1.9%	108	-10.2%	97	0.0%	97	-9.3%	88	3.4%	91	-5.5%	86	2
65-up	066	RICE	184	2.7%	189	3.7%	196	-10.2%	176	10.8%	195	-6.2%	183	-1.6%	180	3
65-up	067	ROCK	55	12.7%	62	14.5%	71	-14.1%	61	32.8%	81	-7.4%	75	-22.7%	58	1
65-up	068	ROSEAU	114	-3.5%	110	5.5%	116	-5.2%	110	-4.5%	105	-1.0%	104	-3.8%	100	1
65-up	069	ST. LOUIS	1,264	-2.5%	1,233	-7.2%	1,144	-3.3%	1,106	-5.2%	1,048	-12.1%	921	-4.2%	882	5
65-up	070	SCOTT	164	-4.3%	157	-2.5%	153	6.5%	163	1.8%	166	-2.4%	162	-7.4%	150	3
65-up	071	SHERBURNE	116	9.5%	127	-5.5%	120	0.8%	121	-5.0%	115	-4.3%	110	-1.8%	108	3
65-up	072	SIBLEY	110	-13.6%	95	0.0%	95	3.2%	98	6.1%	104	-4.8%	99	-15.2%	84	2
65-up	073	STEARNS	417	-1.7%	410	8.5%	445	-6.5%	416	0.7%	419	-2.4%	409	-0.7%	406	4
65-up	074	STEELE	125	8.8%	136	0.7%	137	-10.2%	123	-2.4%	120	-4.2%	115	-20.9%	91	2
65-up	075	STEVENS	75	18.7%	89	1.1%	90	-27.8%	65	15.4%	75	-17.3%	62	-21.0%	49	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

January service users

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Svc Users January 2005	% Users Change to 2006	Svc Users January 2006	% Users Change to 2007	Svc Users January 2007	% Users Change to 2008	Svc Users January 2008	% Users Change to 2009	Svc Users January 2009	% Users Change to 2010	Svc Users January 2010	% Users Change to 2011	Svc Users January 2011	Cnty Size Grp
65-up	076	SWIFT	110	14.5%	126	-4.0%	121	-0.8%	120	2.5%	123	-10.6%	110	1.8%	112	2
65-up	077	TODD	202	-2.0%	198	-5.6%	187	1.6%	190	0.0%	190	-1.6%	187	-2.7%	182	3
65-up	078	TRAVERSE	50	22.0%	61	4.9%	64	-12.5%	56	3.6%	58	17.2%	68	-13.2%	59	1
65-up	079	WABASHA	139	-7.9%	128	-2.3%	125	-13.6%	108	1.9%	110	17.3%	129	-5.4%	122	2
65-up	080	WADENA	122	0.0%	122	-9.8%	110	-5.5%	104	3.8%	108	-4.6%	103	3.9%	107	2
65-up	081	WASECA	99	3.0%	102	-15.7%	86	-9.3%	78	-2.6%	76	28.9%	98	-17.3%	81	1
65-up	082	WASHINGTON	288	7.6%	310	-11.3%	275	-0.7%	273	4.4%	285	1.4%	289	0.3%	290	4
65-up	083	WATONWAN	84	0.0%	84	6.0%	89	-5.6%	84	-2.4%	82	-19.5%	66	1.5%	67	1
65-up	084	WILKIN	61	-1.6%	60	20.0%	72	2.8%	74	-9.5%	67	-3.0%	65	-1.5%	64	1
65-up	085	WINONA	249	0.8%	251	7.6%	270	4.4%	282	1.8%	287	-15.7%	242	-2.9%	235	3
65-up	086	WRIGHT	266	-2.6%	259	4.2%	270	-5.2%	256	-7.8%	236	0.0%	236	3.8%	245	4
65-up	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	118	-6.8%	110	-5.5%	104	-2.9%	101	5.0%	106	2.8%	109	-8.3%	100	1
ALL	All	Statewide	20,069	-2.3%	19,606	-0.6%	19,489	-4.9%	18,541	-0.8%	18,395	-4.0%	17,653	-4.5%	16,860	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtyS izeG rp
0-64	001	AITKIN	11,979	0.2%	12,003	0.0%	12,007	-0.7%	11,918	-1.9%	11,697	-2.8%	11,370	3.7%	11,789	2
0-64	002	ANOKA	292,031	0.9%	294,731	0.9%	297,243	0.4%	298,515	0.2%	299,188	0.5%	300,539	-0.6%	298,612	5
0-64	003	BECKER	26,308	0.2%	26,354	0.6%	26,506	0.1%	26,530	0.0%	26,519	0.3%	26,586	1.1%	26,867	2
0-64	004	BELTRAMI	37,087	1.2%	37,534	0.7%	37,792	0.3%	37,923	0.8%	38,241	1.0%	38,605	0.2%	38,688	3
0-64	005	BENTON	34,227	1.4%	34,692	0.9%	34,995	1.4%	35,476	0.9%	35,804	0.8%	36,082	-6.1%	33,893	3
0-64	006	BIG STONE	4,104	-0.4%	4,087	-1.5%	4,025	-0.5%	4,003	-0.7%	3,975	-0.8%	3,945	0.0%	3,945	1
0-64	007	BLUE EARTH	51,111	1.0%	51,627	1.8%	52,564	1.1%	53,158	0.8%	53,590	0.4%	53,817	4.9%	56,451	3
0-64	008	BROWN	21,833	-2.0%	21,405	-0.2%	21,364	-0.7%	21,222	-0.9%	21,036	-0.7%	20,899	0.5%	20,994	3
0-64	009	CARLTON	28,427	1.0%	28,703	0.0%	28,695	-0.4%	28,587	1.1%	28,904	0.6%	29,080	3.4%	30,069	3
0-64	010	CARVER	74,960	3.6%	77,632	2.7%	79,753	1.9%	81,278	1.9%	82,809	2.0%	84,473	-1.3%	83,335	3
0-64	011	CASS	23,177	1.1%	23,435	0.1%	23,462	-1.1%	23,213	-0.3%	23,134	-1.3%	22,839	-1.3%	22,538	2
0-64	012	CHIPPEWA	10,123	0.6%	10,181	-0.4%	10,142	-1.0%	10,038	-0.2%	10,017	-0.4%	9,981	0.6%	10,041	2
0-64	013	CHISAGO	43,227	1.7%	43,968	1.3%	44,547	0.8%	44,892	0.2%	45,004	0.1%	45,034	5.8%	47,640	3
0-64	014	CLAY	45,990	1.4%	46,628	1.4%	47,302	0.9%	47,723	2.1%	48,741	2.0%	49,725	4.4%	51,921	4
0-64	015	CLEARWATER	6,820	-0.8%	6,764	-1.0%	6,693	0.2%	6,707	-0.3%	6,684	-0.5%	6,648	6.4%	7,074	1
0-64	016	COOK	4,350	0.2%	4,357	1.0%	4,402	0.4%	4,420	0.7%	4,453	-1.3%	4,397	-6.2%	4,125	1
0-64	017	COTTONWOOD	9,317	-1.7%	9,160	-1.4%	9,031	-0.5%	8,988	0.0%	8,992	-0.3%	8,965	2.7%	9,205	1
0-64	018	CROW WING	48,907	1.1%	49,464	1.5%	50,196	1.1%	50,726	0.6%	51,025	0.0%	51,010	-0.1%	50,936	3
0-64	019	DAKOTA	346,998	1.1%	350,694	0.9%	353,838	1.0%	357,264	0.5%	359,177	0.5%	360,968	-0.6%	358,736	5
0-64	020	DODGE	16,874	0.9%	17,030	0.7%	17,148	-0.2%	17,116	1.1%	17,305	0.3%	17,362	1.5%	17,627	2
0-64	021	DOUGLAS	28,341	1.5%	28,761	0.7%	28,965	1.1%	29,271	0.0%	29,283	-0.2%	29,237	-1.0%	28,940	2
0-64	022	FARIBAULT	12,058	-1.9%	11,824	-0.7%	11,744	-1.0%	11,624	-1.4%	11,461	-0.9%	11,356	0.2%	11,374	3
0-64	023	FILLMORE	17,187	-0.1%	17,170	-0.6%	17,065	0.6%	17,160	-0.8%	17,022	-0.3%	16,964	-0.6%	16,866	2
0-64	024	FREEBORN	25,628	-0.9%	25,407	-0.5%	25,283	-0.6%	25,133	-1.1%	24,866	0.2%	24,906	0.2%	24,946	2
0-64	025	GOODHUE	38,436	-0.1%	38,385	0.2%	38,473	0.5%	38,682	0.0%	38,672	0.0%	38,659	-0.2%	38,589	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
0-64	026	GRANT	4,697	-0.7%	4,665	-0.9%	4,625	-0.5%	4,603	-0.4%	4,583	-1.9%	4,496	4.2%	4,684	1
0-64	027	HENNEPIN	1,001,026	-0.1%	999,969	0.3%	1,003,124	0.7%	1,010,062	0.7%	1,017,133	1.0%	1,027,177	-0.5%	1,021,611	5
0-64	028	HOUSTON	16,404	0.1%	16,427	-0.5%	16,352	-0.4%	16,293	-1.7%	16,012	-0.8%	15,891	-1.1%	15,720	2
0-64	029	HUBBARD	15,093	-0.5%	15,020	-0.8%	14,895	0.3%	14,943	-0.5%	14,871	-1.2%	14,690	10.2%	16,183	2
0-64	030	ISANTI	32,496	2.7%	33,389	2.4%	34,182	1.9%	34,824	0.4%	34,950	0.2%	35,021	-5.4%	33,136	2
0-64	031	ITASCA	36,537	-0.2%	36,463	-0.1%	36,420	0.6%	36,628	-0.4%	36,481	0.3%	36,598	-0.3%	36,484	3
0-64	032	JACKSON	8,786	-0.5%	8,738	-0.4%	8,707	-0.4%	8,670	-1.6%	8,535	-0.6%	8,487	-3.1%	8,222	1
0-64	033	KANABEC	13,578	0.8%	13,690	0.1%	13,698	0.2%	13,727	-0.2%	13,698	-2.1%	13,404	1.3%	13,580	1
0-64	034	KANDIYOHI	34,795	-0.3%	34,702	-0.4%	34,570	-0.2%	34,492	-0.2%	34,440	0.1%	34,490	2.7%	35,407	3
0-64	035	KITSON	3,714	-1.7%	3,652	-3.3%	3,532	-2.7%	3,436	-1.0%	3,403	-1.4%	3,356	5.0%	3,523	1
0-64	036	KOOCHICHING	11,138	-0.8%	11,050	-1.6%	10,874	-1.0%	10,760	-1.6%	10,587	-1.2%	10,456	2.4%	10,707	1
0-64	037	LAC QUI PARLE	5,826	-1.4%	5,747	-2.5%	5,602	0.1%	5,610	-1.2%	5,542	-0.6%	5,508	0.5%	5,533	1
0-64	038	LAKE	8,693	-0.7%	8,628	-0.5%	8,581	-0.9%	8,505	-1.1%	8,409	-0.5%	8,370	0.8%	8,438	1
0-64	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	3,468	-1.4%	3,418	-2.3%	3,341	-4.3%	3,197	-2.7%	3,110	-2.7%	3,025	6.6%	3,224	1
0-64	040	LE SUEUR	23,165	0.8%	23,346	1.7%	23,741	1.5%	24,092	-0.3%	24,025	-0.2%	23,982	-1.1%	23,727	2
0-64	041	LINCOLN	4,546	-1.5%	4,477	-1.1%	4,429	-0.7%	4,396	-0.7%	4,365	-1.4%	4,302	3.5%	4,452	3
0-64	042	LYON	21,070	0.5%	21,181	0.4%	21,274	-0.1%	21,251	0.7%	21,406	0.1%	21,429	4.2%	22,338	3
0-64	043	MCLEOD	30,998	0.9%	31,292	1.5%	31,757	0.6%	31,953	-0.3%	31,867	-0.9%	31,591	-1.7%	31,048	2
0-64	044	MAHONOMEN	4,174	0.5%	4,194	-0.7%	4,166	0.7%	4,194	-0.1%	4,190	-0.8%	4,156	9.7%	4,558	1
0-64	045	MARSHALL	7,930	-1.2%	7,838	-0.9%	7,764	-1.8%	7,624	-1.0%	7,547	-1.1%	7,461	2.2%	7,623	1
0-64	046	MARTIN	16,612	-0.9%	16,462	-1.5%	16,222	-0.4%	16,161	-0.4%	16,098	-1.0%	15,938	3.7%	16,523	3
0-64	047	MEEKER	19,323	0.1%	19,348	0.6%	19,473	-0.1%	19,453	-0.1%	19,429	-0.9%	19,259	1.1%	19,465	2
0-64	048	MILLE LACS	20,976	2.9%	21,578	1.6%	21,921	0.7%	22,078	-0.2%	22,029	-0.1%	22,000	-0.5%	21,891	2
0-64	049	MORRISON	27,332	0.5%	27,462	0.1%	27,484	0.4%	27,603	0.5%	27,749	-0.6%	27,591	1.0%	27,873	3
0-64	050	MOWER	31,323	-0.8%	31,078	0.0%	31,073	-0.2%	31,011	-0.3%	30,932	0.8%	31,190	3.7%	32,345	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
0-64	051	MURRAY	6,889	-1.2%	6,809	-1.0%	6,739	-1.7%	6,623	-0.9%	6,562	-0.1%	6,555	3.3%	6,774	3
0-64	052	NICOLLET	27,403	0.0%	27,416	1.4%	27,796	0.5%	27,939	0.2%	27,981	0.5%	28,108	2.5%	28,810	2
0-64	053	NOBLES	16,817	0.1%	16,828	-0.6%	16,720	0.4%	16,780	1.8%	17,084	0.7%	17,200	4.4%	17,958	2
0-64	054	NORMAN	5,500	-1.7%	5,407	-2.4%	5,277	-1.5%	5,196	-0.6%	5,167	-1.4%	5,094	5.8%	5,387	1
0-64	055	OLMSTED	118,294	1.1%	119,650	1.5%	121,396	1.3%	122,993	1.3%	124,617	1.2%	126,152	0.0%	126,115	4
0-64	056	OTTER TAIL	46,236	-0.8%	45,871	0.0%	45,856	-0.9%	45,465	-0.7%	45,146	-1.1%	44,645	1.4%	45,271	3
0-64	057	PENNINGTON	11,498	0.0%	11,495	1.2%	11,634	0.1%	11,645	-0.2%	11,626	0.4%	11,672	0.4%	11,718	2
0-64	058	PINE	23,477	0.5%	23,598	-0.1%	23,586	0.5%	23,700	0.4%	23,804	-0.5%	23,688	5.1%	24,894	2
0-64	059	PIPESTONE	7,384	-2.1%	7,228	0.5%	7,262	0.3%	7,283	1.4%	7,388	0.2%	7,406	3.9%	7,695	1
0-64	060	POLK	25,558	-0.1%	25,539	-0.2%	25,491	0.2%	25,547	-0.7%	25,366	0.9%	25,587	3.1%	26,380	3
0-64	061	POPE	8,793	-0.6%	8,737	0.0%	8,739	-0.7%	8,677	0.0%	8,676	-0.6%	8,627	0.3%	8,657	2
0-64	062	RAMSEY	440,210	-1.0%	435,755	-0.3%	434,312	0.3%	435,600	0.3%	436,855	0.4%	438,756	2.0%	447,459	5
0-64	063	RED LAKE	3,512	-1.2%	3,471	-2.9%	3,371	0.2%	3,378	-0.3%	3,369	1.4%	3,415	-0.8%	3,388	1
0-64	064	REDWOOD	12,873	-1.8%	12,647	-0.4%	12,601	-1.0%	12,478	0.0%	12,480	-1.0%	12,361	4.3%	12,895	1
0-64	065	RENVILLE	13,426	0.1%	13,434	-1.1%	13,289	-1.2%	13,129	-1.9%	12,883	-0.6%	12,811	-1.0%	12,685	2
0-64	066	RICE	53,108	0.9%	53,608	1.3%	54,292	0.6%	54,595	0.7%	54,992	-0.1%	54,963	2.4%	56,261	3
0-64	067	ROCK	7,602	0.1%	7,610	0.0%	7,607	0.9%	7,676	-0.1%	7,672	0.5%	7,714	1.8%	7,852	1
0-64	068	ROSEAU	14,126	0.5%	14,203	-1.5%	13,997	-0.7%	13,906	-0.3%	13,859	-0.7%	13,767	-2.8%	13,379	1
0-64	069	ST. LOUIS	166,688	-0.6%	165,694	-0.1%	165,477	0.1%	165,615	0.1%	165,749	0.1%	165,896	1.5%	168,410	5
0-64	070	SCOTT	106,857	4.1%	111,267	3.2%	114,862	2.5%	117,761	2.0%	120,158	1.8%	122,268	-1.9%	119,912	3
0-64	071	SHERBURNE	72,622	3.9%	75,432	3.7%	78,211	2.1%	79,831	1.7%	81,169	0.6%	81,649	-0.6%	81,192	3
0-64	072	SIBLEY	12,616	-0.5%	12,559	0.5%	12,617	0.6%	12,693	0.0%	12,691	-1.3%	12,528	1.7%	12,747	2
0-64	073	STEARNS	125,196	0.9%	126,366	1.0%	127,607	1.1%	129,010	0.3%	129,393	0.3%	129,832	2.0%	132,414	4
0-64	074	STEELE	30,571	1.2%	30,929	1.3%	31,319	0.7%	31,532	0.5%	31,693	0.4%	31,821	-1.6%	31,306	2
0-64	075	STEVENS	8,162	-0.7%	8,102	-1.1%	8,009	-0.9%	7,937	0.7%	7,992	0.4%	8,020	1.4%	8,129	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
0-64	076	SWIFT	9,285	-10.6%	8,297	10.4%	9,163	-1.1%	9,061	-1.1%	8,965	-1.1%	8,863	-11.8%	7,817	2
0-64	077	TODD	20,267	-0.7%	20,126	-0.7%	19,995	0.2%	20,040	-0.4%	19,962	-0.7%	19,825	3.7%	20,568	3
0-64	078	TRAVERSE	2,798	-1.3%	2,762	-1.1%	2,731	-2.5%	2,662	-2.3%	2,602	-0.5%	2,588	1.3%	2,621	1
0-64	079	WABASHA	18,472	-0.5%	18,387	0.2%	18,425	-1.1%	18,226	0.2%	18,258	-0.3%	18,206	-1.2%	17,984	2
0-64	080	WADENA	10,612	0.5%	10,669	-1.5%	10,507	0.4%	10,552	-0.8%	10,468	-0.7%	10,390	5.1%	10,925	2
0-64	081	WASECA	16,584	0.3%	16,632	0.5%	16,708	0.4%	16,779	-0.4%	16,705	-3.7%	16,092	1.4%	16,317	1
0-64	082	WASHINGTON	196,165	1.5%	199,178	1.8%	202,861	1.7%	206,337	1.2%	208,755	0.7%	210,123	1.4%	213,152	4
0-64	083	WATONWAN	9,228	-1.7%	9,072	-0.7%	9,009	-1.4%	8,880	-1.3%	8,766	0.7%	8,828	2.9%	9,086	1
0-64	084	WILKIN	5,611	-1.1%	5,549	-2.5%	5,408	-1.0%	5,355	-2.0%	5,248	-1.9%	5,148	5.0%	5,407	1
0-64	085	WINONA	42,714	0.5%	42,909	0.0%	42,889	0.3%	43,009	-0.3%	42,861	-0.4%	42,696	4.4%	44,570	3
0-64	086	WRIGHT	97,170	3.2%	100,324	3.8%	104,093	2.8%	106,987	2.1%	109,284	1.4%	110,764	1.8%	112,766	4
0-64	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	8,298	-1.7%	8,158	-0.6%	8,106	-1.4%	7,993	-1.9%	7,845	-0.8%	7,786	8.0%	8,407	1
0-64	All	Statewide	4,465,822	0.5%	4,487,528	0.7%	4,519,004	0.7%	4,550,003	0.5%	4,573,081	0.5%	4,595,159	0.6%	4,620,804	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 65 < 85	001	AITKIN	3,374	0.5%	3,391	2.6%	3,479	3.8%	3,610	2.0%	3,684	2.5%	3,776	3.9%	3,923	2
>= 65 < 85	002	ANOKA	22,666	2.2%	23,158	5.4%	24,417	4.4%	25,497	4.7%	26,693	4.1%	27,797	4.7%	29,092	5
>= 65 < 85	003	BECKER	4,402	1.5%	4,468	1.9%	4,551	0.6%	4,580	2.3%	4,686	2.0%	4,781	1.8%	4,866	2
>= 65 < 85	004	BELTRAMI	4,292	3.2%	4,429	1.8%	4,509	1.5%	4,575	4.1%	4,764	4.1%	4,958	-2.0%	4,859	3
>= 65 < 85	005	BENTON	3,179	0.5%	3,194	1.6%	3,245	1.4%	3,292	0.9%	3,321	0.3%	3,330	9.2%	3,638	3
>= 65 < 85	006	BIG STONE	1,095	0.3%	1,098	-1.7%	1,079	0.7%	1,087	-1.3%	1,073	-2.8%	1,043	1.9%	1,063	1
>= 65 < 85	007	BLUE EARTH	5,607	-0.2%	5,593	-1.3%	5,521	0.5%	5,551	2.9%	5,712	3.0%	5,886	4.5%	6,152	3
>= 65 < 85	008	BROWN	3,869	0.5%	3,888	-0.1%	3,886	0.3%	3,897	-0.1%	3,895	0.1%	3,897	0.1%	3,902	3
>= 65 < 85	009	CARLTON	4,197	0.0%	4,195	0.6%	4,221	1.5%	4,284	1.8%	4,363	0.9%	4,403	2.6%	4,517	3
>= 65 < 85	010	CARVER	5,164	4.5%	5,397	6.8%	5,762	3.6%	5,972	4.7%	6,255	4.0%	6,505	1.6%	6,607	3
>= 65 < 85	011	CASS	4,608	1.5%	4,676	1.2%	4,732	3.1%	4,879	3.0%	5,027	2.4%	5,149	6.2%	5,466	2
>= 65 < 85	012	CHIPPEWA	1,992	-1.5%	1,963	-2.4%	1,916	-1.4%	1,890	-0.2%	1,887	-0.5%	1,878	3.2%	1,938	2
>= 65 < 85	013	CHISAGO	3,916	3.4%	4,049	4.8%	4,244	3.6%	4,398	6.3%	4,675	4.9%	4,902	10.0%	5,392	3
>= 65 < 85	014	CLAY	5,445	0.2%	5,454	1.5%	5,535	2.1%	5,649	1.2%	5,717	0.9%	5,766	2.1%	5,888	4
>= 65 < 85	015	CLEARWATER	1,199	1.5%	1,217	1.9%	1,240	0.0%	1,240	3.4%	1,282	3.4%	1,325	4.4%	1,383	1
>= 65 < 85	016	COOK	816	-0.1%	815	3.7%	845	2.8%	869	4.5%	908	4.4%	948	-4.1%	909	1
>= 65 < 85	017	COTTONWOOD	2,035	-4.6%	1,942	-4.7%	1,850	-2.5%	1,803	-4.1%	1,729	-3.4%	1,670	18.6%	1,980	1
>= 65 < 85	018	CROW WING	8,742	2.4%	8,955	2.7%	9,201	2.9%	9,469	4.0%	9,852	3.5%	10,194	-1.4%	10,049	3
>= 65 < 85	019	DAKOTA	26,221	2.7%	26,923	3.8%	27,942	3.9%	29,037	4.0%	30,193	3.2%	31,164	11.5%	34,748	5
>= 65 < 85	020	DODGE	1,832	1.3%	1,856	2.7%	1,906	1.0%	1,926	2.4%	1,973	2.2%	2,016	2.2%	2,060	2
>= 65 < 85	021	DOUGLAS	5,276	1.5%	5,353	2.7%	5,500	2.0%	5,612	3.9%	5,830	3.2%	6,014	-1.1%	5,945	2
>= 65 < 85	022	FARIBAULT	2,768	-2.5%	2,700	-2.9%	2,622	-1.5%	2,582	-1.2%	2,551	-1.8%	2,504	3.1%	2,581	3
>= 65 < 85	023	FILLMORE	3,235	-1.8%	3,178	0.3%	3,186	-0.7%	3,164	0.1%	3,166	0.1%	3,168	1.4%	3,212	2
>= 65 < 85	024	FREEBORN	5,180	-1.6%	5,095	-0.2%	5,083	-0.2%	5,074	0.2%	5,082	-0.3%	5,069	2.2%	5,183	2
>= 65 < 85	025	GOODHUE	5,646	1.0%	5,704	1.4%	5,785	0.8%	5,832	1.4%	5,916	0.8%	5,962	4.0%	6,198	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 65 < 85	026	GRANT	1,126	0.9%	1,136	-1.0%	1,125	0.4%	1,130	-1.2%	1,116	-2.4%	1,089	0.2%	1,091	1
>= 65 < 85	027	HENNEPIN	102,601	-0.2%	102,353	-0.1%	102,272	1.0%	103,258	2.1%	105,441	1.7%	107,187	1.7%	108,992	5
>= 65 < 85	028	HOUSTON	2,691	-0.6%	2,675	0.3%	2,683	-1.3%	2,649	1.6%	2,692	1.9%	2,744	-0.3%	2,736	2
>= 65 < 85	029	HUBBARD	3,169	1.7%	3,224	0.8%	3,251	2.7%	3,339	1.7%	3,395	1.9%	3,458	9.8%	3,797	2
>= 65 < 85	030	ISANTI	3,151	2.4%	3,226	4.8%	3,382	2.1%	3,453	5.2%	3,631	4.4%	3,792	6.1%	4,025	2
>= 65 < 85	031	ITASCA	6,560	1.6%	6,664	0.8%	6,716	2.2%	6,861	2.0%	7,001	1.7%	7,122	3.8%	7,390	3
>= 65 < 85	032	JACKSON	1,757	-0.6%	1,747	2.2%	1,786	0.7%	1,798	0.6%	1,809	0.7%	1,822	-11.0%	1,622	1
>= 65 < 85	033	KANABEC	2,057	0.1%	2,059	1.7%	2,094	3.2%	2,162	1.3%	2,190	2.1%	2,236	5.7%	2,364	1
>= 65 < 85	034	KANDIYOHI	5,212	-0.1%	5,207	0.6%	5,239	1.5%	5,318	2.3%	5,440	1.7%	5,530	1.4%	5,609	3
>= 65 < 85	035	KITSON	846	-1.3%	835	-1.0%	827	-0.8%	820	-1.3%	809	-1.5%	797	3.8%	827	1
>= 65 < 85	036	KOOCHICHING	2,280	-1.4%	2,248	-0.5%	2,236	-1.4%	2,205	0.9%	2,225	1.3%	2,253	-1.2%	2,226	1
>= 65 < 85	037	LAC QUI PARLE	1,388	-2.5%	1,353	-3.3%	1,309	-1.4%	1,291	-2.1%	1,264	-1.2%	1,249	10.6%	1,382	1
>= 65 < 85	038	LAKE	2,017	-2.9%	1,958	-1.6%	1,926	2.1%	1,967	-0.2%	1,964	-0.9%	1,946	7.1%	2,085	1
>= 65 < 85	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	728	-0.4%	725	0.0%	725	-1.0%	718	2.8%	738	-0.9%	731	-3.1%	708	1
>= 65 < 85	040	LE SUEUR	3,170	0.6%	3,188	1.6%	3,239	2.0%	3,303	2.1%	3,374	2.3%	3,451	0.2%	3,459	2
>= 65 < 85	041	LINCOLN	1,187	-1.3%	1,172	-3.0%	1,137	-2.5%	1,109	0.2%	1,111	-0.3%	1,108	3.4%	1,146	3
>= 65 < 85	042	LYON	2,866	-1.0%	2,838	-1.2%	2,804	1.3%	2,841	0.6%	2,857	1.5%	2,899	-2.4%	2,829	3
>= 65 < 85	043	MCLEOD	4,196	0.7%	4,226	0.2%	4,235	2.2%	4,330	2.2%	4,427	1.4%	4,491	3.1%	4,632	2
>= 65 < 85	044	MAHNOMEN	724	0.8%	730	-1.5%	719	1.1%	727	0.8%	733	-0.1%	732	1.6%	744	1
>= 65 < 85	045	MARSHALL	1,598	-0.8%	1,586	-3.5%	1,531	-3.3%	1,481	-3.4%	1,430	-2.4%	1,395	9.7%	1,530	1
>= 65 < 85	046	MARTIN	3,443	0.1%	3,445	-0.2%	3,437	-0.8%	3,409	0.4%	3,423	0.5%	3,441	-1.2%	3,399	3
>= 65 < 85	047	MEEKER	3,087	-1.7%	3,034	0.7%	3,054	1.4%	3,098	1.7%	3,152	1.2%	3,189	1.5%	3,237	2
>= 65 < 85	048	MILLE LACS	3,233	1.8%	3,292	3.9%	3,422	2.8%	3,519	3.3%	3,634	3.3%	3,753	-5.1%	3,562	2
>= 65 < 85	049	MORRISON	4,198	0.4%	4,216	1.2%	4,267	2.1%	4,358	1.7%	4,434	1.5%	4,501	0.2%	4,508	3
>= 65 < 85	050	MOWER	5,898	-2.1%	5,776	-1.5%	5,691	-0.5%	5,665	-0.2%	5,655	-0.7%	5,614	-3.9%	5,396	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 65 < 85	051	MURRAY	1,593	-1.8%	1,565	-0.6%	1,556	-0.6%	1,546	0.4%	1,552	-1.6%	1,527	5.1%	1,605	3
>= 65 < 85	052	NICOLLET	2,881	4.7%	3,016	5.0%	3,167	3.0%	3,262	4.4%	3,407	2.4%	3,488	-4.2%	3,340	2
>= 65 < 85	053	NOBLES	2,817	-1.0%	2,788	-3.5%	2,690	-1.8%	2,642	1.0%	2,669	0.6%	2,684	2.5%	2,751	2
>= 65 < 85	054	NORMAN	1,202	-1.1%	1,189	-0.6%	1,182	-4.1%	1,134	-0.3%	1,131	-0.8%	1,122	4.4%	1,171	1
>= 65 < 85	055	OLMSTED	12,647	2.4%	12,956	4.0%	13,480	3.3%	13,930	3.5%	14,424	3.4%	14,913	3.1%	15,382	4
>= 65 < 85	056	OTTER TAIL	9,182	0.8%	9,252	1.7%	9,408	2.0%	9,597	2.1%	9,801	2.0%	9,996	0.5%	10,044	3
>= 65 < 85	057	PENNINGTON	1,699	-2.1%	1,664	0.9%	1,679	1.7%	1,707	1.5%	1,733	1.6%	1,761	2.6%	1,807	2
>= 65 < 85	058	PINE	3,806	-0.2%	3,798	1.5%	3,855	1.8%	3,924	2.5%	4,023	2.1%	4,107	5.5%	4,333	2
>= 65 < 85	059	PIPESTONE	1,672	-1.8%	1,642	-2.2%	1,606	-2.0%	1,574	-2.4%	1,537	-0.7%	1,526	-2.8%	1,484	1
>= 65 < 85	060	POLK	4,207	-0.5%	4,184	-1.1%	4,137	-0.5%	4,117	0.8%	4,150	-0.2%	4,140	2.4%	4,240	3
>= 65 < 85	061	POPE	1,902	-1.6%	1,871	-0.7%	1,858	-0.3%	1,852	-0.6%	1,841	-1.8%	1,808	7.1%	1,936	2
>= 65 < 85	062	RAMSEY	50,742	0.8%	51,171	1.4%	51,869	1.7%	52,739	2.9%	54,286	2.2%	55,461	-8.5%	50,743	5
>= 65 < 85	063	RED LAKE	642	-5.0%	610	0.7%	614	-0.7%	610	3.1%	629	0.5%	632	-4.0%	607	1
>= 65 < 85	064	REDWOOD	2,528	-1.7%	2,484	-0.4%	2,475	-0.1%	2,472	0.0%	2,471	0.6%	2,486	1.6%	2,525	1
>= 65 < 85	065	RENVILLE	2,553	-2.8%	2,481	-2.8%	2,411	1.2%	2,440	-2.5%	2,379	-1.5%	2,343	4.5%	2,448	2
>= 65 < 85	066	RICE	5,729	1.6%	5,823	3.7%	6,041	3.1%	6,228	2.7%	6,399	2.4%	6,554	2.4%	6,712	3
>= 65 < 85	067	ROCK	1,512	-1.5%	1,489	-2.9%	1,446	0.3%	1,450	-0.8%	1,439	-0.8%	1,427	1.8%	1,453	1
>= 65 < 85	068	ROSEAU	1,643	0.2%	1,646	0.2%	1,650	1.2%	1,670	3.0%	1,720	2.9%	1,770	7.3%	1,900	1
>= 65 < 85	069	ST. LOUIS	25,824	-1.0%	25,565	-1.1%	25,272	0.3%	25,350	2.2%	25,911	1.6%	26,325	0.4%	26,438	5
>= 65 < 85	070	SCOTT	6,033	6.8%	6,443	9.1%	7,031	6.9%	7,515	7.5%	8,078	6.5%	8,601	2.1%	8,780	3
>= 65 < 85	071	SHERBURNE	4,825	4.7%	5,050	0.0%	5,050	2.9%	5,194	2.5%	5,324	2.1%	5,437	16.3%	6,324	3
>= 65 < 85	072	SIBLEY	2,039	-3.1%	1,976	-2.1%	1,934	0.7%	1,947	0.7%	1,961	1.5%	1,991	4.5%	2,081	2
>= 65 < 85	073	STEARNS	14,032	2.2%	14,343	3.6%	14,862	1.6%	15,107	4.5%	15,783	4.0%	16,408	-4.6%	15,651	4
>= 65 < 85	074	STEELE	3,866	1.7%	3,932	1.4%	3,987	2.0%	4,066	1.8%	4,140	0.9%	4,176	4.5%	4,362	2
>= 65 < 85	075	STEVENS	1,321	-2.0%	1,295	-1.4%	1,277	-0.3%	1,273	-0.8%	1,263	-0.4%	1,258	0.2%	1,261	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 65 < 85	076	SWIFT	1,697	-1.8%	1,667	-3.8%	1,604	-1.8%	1,575	-1.5%	1,552	-2.4%	1,514	2.4%	1,550	2
>= 65 < 85	077	TODD	3,366	0.1%	3,371	0.1%	3,376	0.1%	3,379	1.4%	3,427	0.5%	3,443	9.6%	3,773	3
>= 65 < 85	078	TRAVERSE	828	-1.1%	819	-3.3%	792	-2.3%	774	-1.2%	765	-2.2%	748	-4.0%	718	1
>= 65 < 85	079	WABASHA	2,796	0.9%	2,821	2.9%	2,902	2.0%	2,961	2.3%	3,030	1.6%	3,079	1.7%	3,130	2
>= 65 < 85	080	WADENA	2,341	-0.3%	2,334	-0.2%	2,329	1.7%	2,369	0.4%	2,378	0.8%	2,396	-1.1%	2,370	2
>= 65 < 85	081	WASECA	2,201	0.5%	2,212	-2.2%	2,164	0.4%	2,172	0.8%	2,190	-0.2%	2,185	5.2%	2,298	1
>= 65 < 85	082	WASHINGTON	15,826	3.1%	16,324	4.7%	17,085	4.0%	17,761	4.6%	18,571	4.0%	19,321	13.4%	21,905	4
>= 65 < 85	083	WATONWAN	1,750	-0.5%	1,742	-0.9%	1,727	-0.6%	1,716	-1.2%	1,696	-0.9%	1,681	2.6%	1,724	1
>= 65 < 85	084	WILKIN	909	-2.4%	887	2.7%	911	2.9%	937	-0.1%	936	-1.2%	925	5.2%	973	1
>= 65 < 85	085	WINONA	5,448	0.2%	5,461	-1.0%	5,408	1.6%	5,492	0.3%	5,509	-0.2%	5,499	4.6%	5,753	3
>= 65 < 85	086	WRIGHT	7,880	3.4%	8,145	5.9%	8,626	4.1%	8,981	5.0%	9,426	4.6%	9,857	5.8%	10,431	4
>= 65 < 85	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	1,681	-0.7%	1,670	-1.5%	1,645	-1.5%	1,621	0.3%	1,626	0.6%	1,635	-1.7%	1,607	1
>= 65 < 85	All	Statewide	519,559		523,290		530,470		539,662		553,336		564,859		576,457	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 85	001	AITKIN	440	2.7%	452	4.4%	472	3.0%	486	4.9%	510	-2.0%	500	-2.0%	490	2
>= 85	002	ANOKA	2,468	4.8%	2,587	6.5%	2,754	7.5%	2,961	5.3%	3,117	4.1%	3,246	-3.3%	3,140	5
>= 85	003	BECKER	702	-2.3%	686	5.0%	720	3.9%	748	-1.9%	734	-3.4%	709	8.7%	771	2
>= 85	004	BELTRAMI	675	2.2%	690	3.5%	714	3.5%	739	5.1%	777	1.3%	787	13.7%	895	3
>= 85	005	BENTON	760	1.3%	770	0.4%	773	0.0%	773	0.1%	774	0.9%	781	17.8%	920	3
>= 85	006	BIG STONE	233	3.0%	240	3.8%	249	2.0%	254	-0.4%	253	4.0%	263	-0.8%	261	1
>= 85	007	BLUE EARTH	1,237	1.1%	1,250	1.4%	1,268	-0.3%	1,264	3.3%	1,306	0.1%	1,307	7.9%	1,410	3
>= 85	008	BROWN	800	-1.8%	786	1.9%	801	0.7%	807	1.2%	817	-1.2%	807	23.5%	997	3
>= 85	009	CARLTON	711	2.1%	726	6.3%	772	1.2%	781	4.9%	819	3.1%	844	-5.2%	800	3
>= 85	010	CARVER	896	2.0%	914	8.9%	995	7.5%	1,070	2.6%	1,098	2.8%	1,129	-2.6%	1,100	3
>= 85	011	CASS	555	0.0%	555	-4.9%	528	3.8%	548	-0.4%	546	0.0%	546	3.1%	563	2
>= 85	012	CHIPPEWA	476	-0.6%	473	2.1%	483	0.2%	484	-2.1%	474	-2.5%	462	0.0%	462	2
>= 85	013	CHISAGO	579	0.5%	582	1.2%	589	7.3%	632	2.4%	647	6.5%	689	24.1%	855	3
>= 85	014	CLAY	1,174	4.0%	1,221	1.1%	1,235	2.8%	1,269	0.4%	1,274	-0.2%	1,272	-6.4%	1,190	4
>= 85	015	CLEARWATER	256	-0.8%	254	4.3%	265	7.5%	285	-1.8%	280	-3.9%	269	-11.5%	238	1
>= 85	016	COOK	130	-3.8%	125	4.0%	130	-1.5%	128	1.6%	130	-2.3%	127	11.8%	142	1
>= 85	017	COTTONWOOD	532	1.7%	541	-1.7%	532	-2.4%	519	-1.5%	511	-5.9%	481	4.4%	502	1
>= 85	018	CROW WING	1,305	0.5%	1,311	4.6%	1,371	3.0%	1,412	4.0%	1,468	3.5%	1,519	-0.3%	1,515	3
>= 85	019	DAKOTA	3,528	3.1%	3,639	5.1%	3,824	4.2%	3,983	4.4%	4,158	5.1%	4,368	16.0%	5,068	5
>= 85	020	DODGE	341	4.7%	357	3.9%	371	0.5%	373	3.5%	386	2.1%	394	1.5%	400	2
>= 85	021	DOUGLAS	990	5.7%	1,046	1.4%	1,061	2.5%	1,087	2.2%	1,111	2.5%	1,139	-1.3%	1,124	2
>= 85	022	FARIBAULT	640	-3.1%	620	5.6%	655	-2.1%	641	0.2%	642	0.6%	646	-7.4%	598	3
>= 85	023	FILLMORE	729	0.5%	733	-1.4%	723	-1.8%	710	0.7%	715	-1.3%	706	11.6%	788	2
>= 85	024	FREEBORN	959	1.9%	977	2.1%	998	3.0%	1,028	0.1%	1,029	-0.2%	1,027	9.6%	1,126	2
>= 85	025	GOODHUE	1,147	-1.2%	1,133	5.2%	1,192	1.3%	1,207	-0.1%	1,206	0.7%	1,215	14.9%	1,396	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 85	026	GRANT	268	-4.1%	257	-3.1%	249	1.6%	253	-2.0%	248	0.8%	250	-2.8%	243	1
>= 85	027	HENNEPIN	19,067	1.7%	19,383	3.0%	19,956	3.6%	20,666	3.0%	21,289	2.6%	21,848	-0.1%	21,822	5
>= 85	028	HOUSTON	550	-0.9%	545	2.6%	559	3.8%	580	4.3%	605	0.7%	609	-6.2%	571	2
>= 85	029	HUBBARD	407	2.2%	416	6.7%	444	1.6%	451	7.3%	484	2.5%	496	-9.7%	448	2
>= 85	030	ISANTI	596	-0.3%	594	2.4%	608	4.1%	633	-0.3%	631	-0.3%	629	4.1%	655	2
>= 85	031	ITASCA	941	1.2%	952	-0.4%	948	1.9%	966	2.8%	993	1.4%	1,007	17.6%	1,184	3
>= 85	032	JACKSON	430	0.7%	433	6.0%	459	0.4%	461	3.0%	475	0.4%	477	-11.5%	422	1
>= 85	033	KANABEC	247	4.5%	258	3.5%	267	2.2%	273	2.6%	280	-7.5%	259	13.9%	295	1
>= 85	034	KANDIYOHI	1,034	-0.7%	1,027	2.4%	1,052	0.8%	1,060	1.8%	1,079	2.2%	1,103	10.9%	1,223	3
>= 85	035	KITSON	237	-1.3%	234	3.0%	241	-6.2%	226	-0.4%	225	-1.8%	221	-8.6%	202	1
>= 85	036	KOOCHICHING	385	4.7%	403	3.5%	417	0.7%	420	2.1%	429	-2.3%	419	-9.8%	378	1
>= 85	037	LAC QUI PARLE	364	-2.2%	356	3.1%	367	0.3%	368	0.5%	370	-4.6%	353	-2.5%	344	1
>= 85	038	LAKE	292	6.2%	310	-1.0%	307	-3.3%	297	-1.7%	292	0.7%	294	16.7%	343	1
>= 85	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	116	0.9%	117	4.3%	122	8.2%	132	-12.1%	116	6.9%	124	-8.9%	113	1
>= 85	040	LE SUEUR	567	3.4%	586	6.7%	625	0.0%	625	1.9%	637	-1.7%	626	-17.4%	517	2
>= 85	041	LINCOLN	317	-0.9%	314	-1.3%	310	-0.6%	308	1.0%	311	0.6%	313	-4.8%	298	3
>= 85	042	LYON	695	6.0%	737	3.5%	763	-1.3%	753	2.1%	769	-3.0%	746	-7.5%	690	3
>= 85	043	MCLEOD	799	1.8%	813	6.0%	862	-1.5%	849	-0.2%	847	1.2%	857	13.3%	971	2
>= 85	044	MAHNOMEN	152	-1.3%	150	-3.3%	145	-2.1%	142	-2.8%	138	-0.7%	137	-19.0%	111	1
>= 85	045	MARSHALL	283	7.1%	303	4.0%	315	1.6%	320	4.7%	335	-2.1%	328	-12.8%	286	1
>= 85	046	MARTIN	792	1.9%	807	3.2%	833	0.4%	836	3.1%	862	0.5%	866	6.0%	918	3
>= 85	047	MEEKER	659	3.5%	682	3.2%	704	-1.6%	693	0.9%	699	1.0%	706	-15.3%	598	2
>= 85	048	MILLE LACS	572	8.0%	618	0.8%	623	0.0%	623	2.2%	637	-1.1%	630	2.2%	644	2
>= 85	049	MORRISON	779	-1.7%	766	4.0%	797	-2.6%	776	1.8%	790	0.1%	791	3.3%	817	3
>= 85	050	MOWER	1,313	1.9%	1,338	2.8%	1,375	1.1%	1,390	0.6%	1,398	0.9%	1,411	0.8%	1,422	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 85	051	MURRAY	325	-1.5%	320	1.9%	326	-3.1%	316	-0.9%	313	6.7%	334	3.6%	346	3
>= 85	052	NICOLLET	521	2.1%	532	5.5%	561	4.6%	587	-1.2%	580	8.3%	628	-8.1%	577	2
>= 85	053	NOBLES	628	0.6%	632	4.3%	659	1.4%	668	0.6%	672	0.3%	674	-0.7%	669	2
>= 85	054	NORMAN	252	-2.0%	247	3.6%	256	0.4%	257	-3.5%	248	-3.6%	239	23.0%	294	1
>= 85	055	OLMSTED	2,363	4.3%	2,465	4.1%	2,565	4.2%	2,672	5.4%	2,816	2.9%	2,897	-5.0%	2,751	4
>= 85	056	OTTER TAIL	1,828	0.9%	1,844	1.5%	1,871	2.1%	1,911	1.9%	1,948	-0.1%	1,947	2.1%	1,988	3
>= 85	057	PENNINGTON	410	5.9%	434	0.9%	438	-2.1%	429	-0.9%	425	-3.8%	409	-1.0%	405	2
>= 85	058	PINE	504	4.6%	527	5.3%	555	2.0%	566	1.1%	572	0.2%	573	-8.7%	523	2
>= 85	059	PIPESTONE	391	1.0%	395	2.0%	403	2.0%	411	5.4%	433	-2.8%	421	-1.0%	417	1
>= 85	060	POLK	1,000	1.0%	1,010	3.7%	1,047	1.3%	1,061	-1.8%	1,042	0.7%	1,049	-6.6%	980	3
>= 85	061	POPE	430	5.3%	453	-2.4%	442	0.9%	446	-2.9%	433	0.2%	434	-7.4%	402	2
>= 85	062	RAMSEY	9,829	3.7%	10,192	3.4%	10,537	5.0%	11,069	4.0%	11,517	4.7%	12,061	-13.5%	10,438	5
>= 85	063	RED LAKE	138	4.3%	144	-10.4%	129	7.0%	138	-3.6%	133	6.0%	141	-33.3%	94	1
>= 85	064	REDWOOD	642	-2.6%	625	1.9%	637	1.1%	644	0.2%	645	-4.3%	617	3.6%	639	1
>= 85	065	RENVILLE	584	0.3%	586	1.9%	597	-4.4%	571	2.6%	586	-3.8%	564	5.9%	597	2
>= 85	066	RICE	1,096	1.6%	1,113	4.1%	1,159	0.3%	1,162	2.6%	1,192	1.2%	1,206	-3.1%	1,169	3
>= 85	067	ROCK	316	4.4%	330	3.3%	341	-1.2%	337	1.5%	342	0.0%	342	11.7%	382	1
>= 85	068	ROSEAU	375	-6.1%	352	6.5%	375	2.9%	386	-0.5%	384	-2.6%	374	-6.4%	350	1
>= 85	069	ST. LOUIS	5,238	1.1%	5,294	2.2%	5,409	1.6%	5,493	0.2%	5,506	0.7%	5,546	-3.0%	5,378	5
>= 85	070	SCOTT	778	1.9%	793	5.9%	840	7.1%	900	9.3%	984	8.7%	1,070	15.5%	1,236	3
>= 85	071	SHERBURNE	737	0.7%	742	-0.7%	737	0.1%	738	1.2%	747	-0.1%	746	31.8%	983	3
>= 85	072	SIBLEY	422	2.8%	434	-1.6%	427	-2.1%	418	1.7%	425	-4.5%	406	-2.0%	398	2
>= 85	073	STEARNS	2,064	2.3%	2,112	8.4%	2,289	5.6%	2,417	7.4%	2,597	4.5%	2,715	-5.1%	2,577	4
>= 85	074	STEELE	705	2.0%	719	4.5%	751	1.3%	761	0.0%	761	2.2%	778	16.7%	908	2
>= 85	075	STEVENS	317	6.0%	336	4.8%	352	-0.3%	351	1.7%	357	-1.7%	351	-4.3%	336	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
>= 85	076	SWIFT	421	-1.4%	415	5.1%	436	3.2%	450	-1.3%	444	0.5%	446	-6.7%	416	2
>= 85	077	TODD	547	-1.1%	541	5.2%	569	4.4%	594	-0.8%	589	2.0%	601	-7.8%	554	3
>= 85	078	TRAVERSE	221	2.3%	226	4.4%	236	0.8%	238	-1.3%	235	0.9%	237	-7.6%	219	1
>= 85	079	WABASHA	531	2.8%	546	1.8%	556	3.6%	576	1.6%	585	2.4%	599	-6.2%	562	2
>= 85	080	WADENA	445	-0.4%	443	3.6%	459	-0.7%	456	5.5%	481	0.4%	483	13.5%	548	2
>= 85	081	WASECA	460	-3.3%	445	6.1%	472	0.6%	475	1.1%	480	2.9%	494	5.5%	521	1
>= 85	082	WASHINGTON	1,991	2.1%	2,032	7.9%	2,193	4.2%	2,286	5.6%	2,414	4.1%	2,514	22.5%	3,079	4
>= 85	083	WATONWAN	388	0.5%	390	-2.1%	382	3.4%	395	2.8%	406	-0.7%	403	-0.5%	401	1
>= 85	084	WILKIN	205	-3.4%	198	-3.5%	191	1.6%	194	-2.1%	190	0.5%	191	2.6%	196	1
>= 85	085	WINONA	1,147	4.6%	1,200	0.0%	1,200	-0.2%	1,198	1.8%	1,220	1.7%	1,241	-8.3%	1,138	3
>= 85	086	WRIGHT	1,138	1.8%	1,159	3.9%	1,204	2.0%	1,228	3.6%	1,272	1.1%	1,286	16.9%	1,503	4
>= 85	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	451	-0.4%	449	-0.2%	448	0.9%	452	0.7%	455	-2.0%	446	-4.9%	424	1
>= 85	All	Statewide	93,963	1.9%	95,742	3.3%	98,872	2.7%	101,541	2.6%	104,150	2.0%	106,196	0.4%	106,664	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
ALL	001	AITKIN	15,793	0.3%	15,846	0.7%	15,958	0.4%	16,014	-0.8%	15,891	-1.5%	15,646	3.6%	16,202	2
ALL	002	ANOKA	317,165	1.0%	320,476	1.2%	324,414	0.8%	326,973	0.6%	328,998	0.8%	331,582	-0.2%	330,844	5
ALL	003	BECKER	31,412	0.3%	31,508	0.9%	31,777	0.3%	31,858	0.3%	31,939	0.4%	32,076	1.3%	32,504	2
ALL	004	BELTRAMI	42,054	1.4%	42,653	0.8%	43,015	0.5%	43,237	1.3%	43,782	1.3%	44,350	0.2%	44,442	3
ALL	005	BENTON	38,166	1.3%	38,656	0.9%	39,013	1.4%	39,541	0.9%	39,899	0.7%	40,193	-4.3%	38,451	3
ALL	006	BIG STONE	5,432	-0.1%	5,425	-1.3%	5,353	-0.2%	5,344	-0.8%	5,301	-0.9%	5,251	0.3%	5,269	1
ALL	007	BLUE EARTH	57,955	0.9%	58,470	1.5%	59,353	1.0%	59,973	1.1%	60,608	0.7%	61,010	4.9%	64,013	3
ALL	008	BROWN	26,502	-1.6%	26,079	-0.1%	26,051	-0.5%	25,926	-0.7%	25,748	-0.6%	25,603	1.1%	25,893	3
ALL	009	CARLTON	33,335	0.9%	33,624	0.2%	33,688	-0.1%	33,652	1.3%	34,086	0.7%	34,327	3.1%	35,386	3
ALL	010	CARVER	81,020	3.6%	83,943	3.1%	86,510	2.1%	88,320	2.1%	90,162	2.2%	92,107	-1.2%	91,042	3
ALL	011	CASS	28,340	1.2%	28,666	0.2%	28,722	-0.3%	28,640	0.2%	28,707	-0.6%	28,534	0.1%	28,567	2
ALL	012	CHIPPEWA	12,591	0.2%	12,617	-0.6%	12,541	-1.0%	12,412	-0.3%	12,378	-0.5%	12,321	1.0%	12,441	2
ALL	013	CHISAGO	47,722	1.8%	48,599	1.6%	49,380	1.1%	49,922	0.8%	50,326	0.6%	50,625	6.4%	53,887	3
ALL	014	CLAY	52,609	1.3%	53,303	1.4%	54,072	1.1%	54,641	2.0%	55,732	1.8%	56,763	3.9%	58,999	4
ALL	015	CLEARWATER	8,275	-0.5%	8,235	-0.4%	8,198	0.4%	8,232	0.2%	8,246	0.0%	8,242	5.5%	8,695	1
ALL	016	COOK	5,296	0.0%	5,297	1.5%	5,377	0.7%	5,417	1.4%	5,491	-0.3%	5,472	-5.4%	5,176	1
ALL	017	COTTONWOOD	11,884	-2.0%	11,643	-2.0%	11,413	-0.9%	11,310	-0.7%	11,232	-1.0%	11,116	5.1%	11,687	1
ALL	018	CROW WING	58,954	1.3%	59,730	1.7%	60,768	1.4%	61,607	1.2%	62,345	0.6%	62,723	-0.4%	62,500	3
ALL	019	DAKOTA	376,747	1.2%	381,256	1.1%	385,604	1.2%	390,284	0.8%	393,528	0.8%	396,500	0.5%	398,552	5
ALL	020	DODGE	19,047	1.0%	19,243	0.9%	19,425	-0.1%	19,415	1.3%	19,664	0.5%	19,772	1.6%	20,087	2
ALL	021	DOUGLAS	34,607	1.6%	35,160	1.0%	35,526	1.2%	35,970	0.7%	36,224	0.5%	36,390	-1.0%	36,009	2
ALL	022	FARIBAUT	15,466	-2.1%	15,144	-0.8%	15,021	-1.2%	14,847	-1.3%	14,654	-1.0%	14,506	0.3%	14,553	3
ALL	023	FILLMORE	21,151	-0.3%	21,081	-0.5%	20,974	0.3%	21,034	-0.6%	20,903	-0.3%	20,838	0.1%	20,866	2
ALL	024	FREEBORN	31,767	-0.9%	31,479	-0.4%	31,364	-0.4%	31,235	-0.8%	30,977	0.1%	31,002	0.8%	31,255	2
ALL	025	GOODHUE	45,229	0.0%	45,222	0.5%	45,450	0.6%	45,721	0.2%	45,794	0.1%	45,836	0.8%	46,183	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
ALL	026	GRANT	6,091	-0.5%	6,058	-1.0%	5,999	-0.2%	5,986	-0.7%	5,947	-1.9%	5,835	3.1%	6,018	1
ALL	027	HENNEPIN	1,122,694	-0.1%	1,121,705	0.3%	1,125,352	0.8%	1,133,986	0.9%	1,143,863	1.1%	1,156,212	-0.3%	1,152,425	5
ALL	028	HOUSTON	19,645	0.0%	19,647	-0.3%	19,594	-0.4%	19,522	-1.1%	19,309	-0.3%	19,244	-1.1%	19,027	2
ALL	029	HUBBARD	18,669	0.0%	18,660	-0.4%	18,590	0.8%	18,733	0.1%	18,750	-0.6%	18,644	9.6%	20,428	2
ALL	030	ISANTI	36,243	2.7%	37,209	2.6%	38,172	1.9%	38,910	0.8%	39,212	0.6%	39,442	-4.1%	37,816	2
ALL	031	ITASCA	44,038	0.1%	44,079	0.0%	44,084	0.8%	44,455	0.0%	44,475	0.6%	44,727	0.7%	45,058	3
ALL	032	JACKSON	10,973	-0.5%	10,918	0.3%	10,952	-0.2%	10,929	-1.0%	10,819	-0.3%	10,786	-4.8%	10,266	1
ALL	033	KANABEC	15,882	0.8%	16,007	0.3%	16,059	0.6%	16,162	0.0%	16,168	-1.7%	15,899	2.1%	16,239	1
ALL	034	KANDIYOHI	41,041	-0.3%	40,936	-0.2%	40,861	0.0%	40,870	0.2%	40,959	0.4%	41,123	2.7%	42,239	3
ALL	035	KITSON	4,797	-1.6%	4,721	-2.6%	4,600	-2.6%	4,482	-1.0%	4,437	-1.4%	4,374	4.1%	4,552	1
ALL	036	KOOCHICHING	13,803	-0.7%	13,701	-1.3%	13,527	-1.0%	13,385	-1.1%	13,241	-0.9%	13,128	1.4%	13,311	1
ALL	037	LAC QUI PARLE	7,578	-1.6%	7,456	-2.4%	7,278	-0.1%	7,269	-1.3%	7,176	-0.9%	7,110	2.1%	7,259	1
ALL	038	LAKE	11,002	-1.0%	10,896	-0.8%	10,814	-0.4%	10,769	-1.0%	10,665	-0.5%	10,610	2.4%	10,866	1
ALL	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	4,312	-1.2%	4,260	-1.7%	4,188	-3.4%	4,047	-2.1%	3,964	-2.1%	3,880	4.3%	4,045	1
ALL	040	LE SUEUR	26,902	0.8%	27,120	1.8%	27,605	1.5%	28,020	0.1%	28,036	0.1%	28,059	-1.3%	27,703	2
ALL	041	LINCOLN	6,050	-1.4%	5,963	-1.5%	5,876	-1.1%	5,813	-0.4%	5,787	-1.1%	5,723	3.0%	5,896	3
ALL	042	LYON	24,631	0.5%	24,756	0.3%	24,841	0.0%	24,845	0.8%	25,032	0.2%	25,074	3.1%	25,857	3
ALL	043	MCLEOD	35,993	0.9%	36,331	1.4%	36,854	0.8%	37,132	0.0%	37,141	-0.5%	36,939	-0.8%	36,651	2
ALL	044	MAHNOMEN	5,050	0.5%	5,074	-0.9%	5,030	0.7%	5,063	0.0%	5,061	-0.7%	5,025	7.7%	5,413	1
ALL	045	MARSHALL	9,811	-0.9%	9,727	-1.2%	9,610	-1.9%	9,425	-1.2%	9,312	-1.4%	9,184	2.8%	9,439	1
ALL	046	MARTIN	20,847	-0.6%	20,714	-1.1%	20,492	-0.4%	20,406	-0.1%	20,383	-0.7%	20,245	2.9%	20,840	3
ALL	047	MEEKER	23,069	0.0%	23,064	0.7%	23,231	0.1%	23,244	0.2%	23,280	-0.5%	23,154	0.6%	23,300	2
ALL	048	MILLE LACS	24,781	2.9%	25,488	1.9%	25,966	1.0%	26,220	0.3%	26,300	0.3%	26,383	-1.1%	26,097	2
ALL	049	MORRISON	32,309	0.4%	32,444	0.3%	32,548	0.6%	32,737	0.7%	32,973	-0.3%	32,883	1.0%	33,198	3
ALL	050	MOWER	38,534	-0.9%	38,192	-0.1%	38,139	-0.2%	38,066	-0.2%	37,985	0.6%	38,215	2.5%	39,163	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
ALL	051	MURRAY	8,807	-1.3%	8,694	-0.8%	8,621	-1.6%	8,485	-0.7%	8,427	-0.1%	8,416	3.7%	8,725	3
ALL	052	NICOLLET	30,805	0.5%	30,964	1.8%	31,524	0.8%	31,788	0.6%	31,968	0.8%	32,224	1.6%	32,727	2
ALL	053	NOBLES	20,262	-0.1%	20,248	-0.9%	20,069	0.1%	20,090	1.7%	20,425	0.7%	20,558	4.0%	21,378	2
ALL	054	NORMAN	6,954	-1.6%	6,843	-1.9%	6,715	-1.9%	6,587	-0.6%	6,546	-1.4%	6,455	6.2%	6,852	1
ALL	055	OLMSTED	133,304	1.3%	135,071	1.8%	137,441	1.6%	139,595	1.6%	141,857	1.5%	143,962	0.2%	144,248	4
ALL	056	OTTER TAIL	57,246	-0.5%	56,967	0.3%	57,135	-0.3%	56,973	-0.1%	56,895	-0.5%	56,588	1.3%	57,303	3
ALL	057	PENNINGTON	13,607	-0.1%	13,593	1.2%	13,751	0.2%	13,781	0.0%	13,784	0.4%	13,842	0.6%	13,930	2
ALL	058	PINE	27,787	0.5%	27,923	0.3%	27,996	0.7%	28,190	0.7%	28,399	-0.1%	28,368	4.9%	29,750	2
ALL	059	PIPESTONE	9,447	-1.9%	9,265	0.1%	9,271	0.0%	9,268	1.0%	9,358	-0.1%	9,353	2.6%	9,596	1
ALL	060	POLK	30,765	-0.1%	30,733	-0.2%	30,675	0.2%	30,725	-0.5%	30,558	0.7%	30,776	2.7%	31,600	3
ALL	061	POPE	11,125	-0.6%	11,061	-0.2%	11,039	-0.6%	10,975	-0.2%	10,950	-0.7%	10,869	1.2%	10,995	2
ALL	062	RAMSEY	500,781	-0.7%	497,118	-0.1%	496,718	0.5%	499,408	0.7%	502,658	0.7%	506,278	0.5%	508,640	5
ALL	063	RED LAKE	4,292	-1.6%	4,225	-2.6%	4,114	0.3%	4,126	0.1%	4,131	1.4%	4,188	-2.4%	4,089	1
ALL	064	REDWOOD	16,043	-1.8%	15,756	-0.3%	15,713	-0.8%	15,594	0.0%	15,596	-0.8%	15,464	3.8%	16,059	1
ALL	065	RENVILLE	16,563	-0.4%	16,501	-1.2%	16,297	-1.0%	16,140	-1.8%	15,848	-0.8%	15,718	0.1%	15,730	2
ALL	066	RICE	59,933	1.0%	60,544	1.6%	61,492	0.8%	61,985	1.0%	62,583	0.2%	62,723	2.3%	64,142	3
ALL	067	ROCK	9,430	0.0%	9,429	-0.4%	9,394	0.7%	9,463	-0.1%	9,453	0.3%	9,483	2.2%	9,687	1
ALL	068	ROSEAU	16,144	0.4%	16,201	-1.1%	16,022	-0.4%	15,962	0.0%	15,963	-0.3%	15,911	-1.8%	15,629	1
ALL	069	ST. LOUIS	197,750	-0.6%	196,553	-0.2%	196,158	0.2%	196,458	0.4%	197,166	0.3%	197,767	1.2%	200,226	5
ALL	070	SCOTT	113,668	4.3%	118,503	3.6%	122,733	2.8%	126,176	2.4%	129,220	2.1%	131,939	-1.5%	129,928	3
ALL	071	SHERBURNE	78,184	3.9%	81,224	3.4%	83,998	2.1%	85,763	1.7%	87,240	0.7%	87,832	0.8%	88,499	3
ALL	072	SIBLEY	15,077	-0.7%	14,969	0.1%	14,978	0.5%	15,058	0.1%	15,077	-1.0%	14,925	2.0%	15,226	2
ALL	073	STEARNS	141,292	1.1%	142,821	1.4%	144,758	1.2%	146,534	0.8%	147,773	0.8%	148,955	1.1%	150,642	4
ALL	074	STEELE	35,142	1.2%	35,580	1.3%	36,057	0.8%	36,359	0.6%	36,594	0.5%	36,775	-0.5%	36,576	2
ALL	075	STEVENS	9,800	-0.7%	9,733	-1.0%	9,638	-0.8%	9,561	0.5%	9,612	0.2%	9,629	1.0%	9,726	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
ALL	076	SWIFT	11,403	-9.0%	10,379	7.9%	11,203	-1.0%	11,086	-1.1%	10,961	-1.3%	10,823	-9.6%	9,783	2
ALL	077	TODD	24,180	-0.6%	24,038	-0.4%	23,940	0.3%	24,013	-0.1%	23,978	-0.5%	23,869	4.3%	24,895	3
ALL	078	TRAVERSE	3,847	-1.0%	3,807	-1.3%	3,759	-2.3%	3,674	-2.0%	3,602	-0.8%	3,573	-0.4%	3,558	1
ALL	079	WABASHA	21,799	-0.2%	21,754	0.6%	21,883	-0.5%	21,763	0.5%	21,873	0.1%	21,884	-1.0%	21,676	2
ALL	080	WADENA	13,398	0.4%	13,446	-1.1%	13,295	0.6%	13,377	-0.4%	13,327	-0.4%	13,269	4.3%	13,843	2
ALL	081	WASECA	19,245	0.2%	19,289	0.3%	19,344	0.4%	19,426	-0.3%	19,375	-3.1%	18,771	1.9%	19,136	1
ALL	082	WASHINGTON	213,982	1.7%	217,534	2.1%	222,139	1.9%	226,384	1.5%	229,740	1.0%	231,958	2.7%	238,136	4
ALL	083	WATONWAN	11,366	-1.4%	11,204	-0.8%	11,118	-1.1%	10,991	-1.1%	10,868	0.4%	10,912	2.7%	11,211	1
ALL	084	WILKIN	6,725	-1.4%	6,634	-1.9%	6,510	-0.4%	6,486	-1.7%	6,374	-1.7%	6,264	5.0%	6,576	1
ALL	085	WINONA	49,309	0.5%	49,570	-0.1%	49,497	0.4%	49,699	-0.2%	49,590	-0.3%	49,436	4.1%	51,461	3
ALL	086	WRIGHT	106,188	3.2%	109,628	3.9%	113,923	2.9%	117,196	2.4%	119,982	1.6%	121,907	2.3%	124,700	4
ALL	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	10,430	-1.5%	10,277	-0.8%	10,199	-1.3%	10,066	-1.4%	9,926	-0.6%	9,867	5.8%	10,438	1
ALL	All	Statewide	5,079,344	0.5%	5,106,560	0.8%	5,148,346	0.8%	5,191,206	0.8%	5,230,567	0.7%	5,266,214	0.7%	5,303,925	0

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
65-up	001	AITKIN	3,814	0.8%	3,843	2.8%	3,951	3.7%	4,096	2.4%	4,194	2.0%	4,276	3.2%	4,413	2
65-up	002	ANOKA	25,134	2.4%	25,745	5.5%	27,171	4.7%	28,458	4.8%	29,810	4.1%	31,043	3.8%	32,232	5
65-up	003	BECKER	5,104	1.0%	5,154	2.3%	5,271	1.1%	5,328	1.7%	5,420	1.3%	5,490	2.7%	5,637	2
65-up	004	BELTRAMI	4,967	3.1%	5,119	2.0%	5,223	1.7%	5,314	4.3%	5,541	3.7%	5,745	0.2%	5,754	3
65-up	005	BENTON	3,939	0.6%	3,964	1.4%	4,018	1.2%	4,065	0.7%	4,095	0.4%	4,111	10.9%	4,558	3
65-up	006	BIG STONE	1,328	0.8%	1,338	-0.7%	1,328	1.0%	1,341	-1.1%	1,326	-1.5%	1,306	1.4%	1,324	1
65-up	007	BLUE EARTH	6,844	0.0%	6,843	-0.8%	6,789	0.4%	6,815	3.0%	7,018	2.5%	7,193	5.1%	7,562	3
65-up	008	BROWN	4,669	0.1%	4,674	0.3%	4,687	0.4%	4,704	0.2%	4,712	-0.2%	4,704	4.1%	4,899	3
65-up	009	CARLTON	4,908	0.3%	4,921	1.5%	4,993	1.4%	5,065	2.3%	5,182	1.3%	5,247	1.3%	5,317	3
65-up	010	CARVER	6,060	4.1%	6,311	7.1%	6,757	4.2%	7,042	4.4%	7,353	3.8%	7,634	1.0%	7,707	3
65-up	011	CASS	5,163	1.3%	5,231	0.6%	5,260	3.2%	5,427	2.7%	5,573	2.2%	5,695	5.9%	6,029	2
65-up	012	CHIPPEWA	2,468	-1.3%	2,436	-1.5%	2,399	-1.0%	2,374	-0.5%	2,361	-0.9%	2,340	2.6%	2,400	2
65-up	013	CHISAGO	4,495	3.0%	4,631	4.4%	4,833	4.1%	5,030	5.8%	5,322	5.1%	5,591	11.7%	6,247	3
65-up	014	CLAY	6,619	0.8%	6,675	1.4%	6,770	2.2%	6,918	1.1%	6,991	0.7%	7,038	0.6%	7,078	4
65-up	015	CLEARWATER	1,455	1.1%	1,471	2.3%	1,505	1.3%	1,525	2.4%	1,562	2.0%	1,594	1.7%	1,621	1
65-up	016	COOK	946	-0.6%	940	3.7%	975	2.3%	997	4.1%	1,038	3.6%	1,075	-2.2%	1,051	1
65-up	017	COTTONWOOD	2,567	-3.3%	2,483	-4.1%	2,382	-2.5%	2,322	-3.5%	2,240	-4.0%	2,151	15.4%	2,482	1
65-up	018	CROW WING	10,047	2.2%	10,266	3.0%	10,572	2.9%	10,881	4.0%	11,320	3.5%	11,713	-1.3%	11,564	3
65-up	019	DAKOTA	29,749	2.7%	30,562	3.9%	31,766	3.9%	33,020	4.0%	34,351	3.4%	35,532	12.1%	39,816	5
65-up	020	DODGE	2,173	1.8%	2,213	2.9%	2,277	1.0%	2,299	2.6%	2,359	2.2%	2,410	2.1%	2,460	2
65-up	021	DOUGLAS	6,266	2.1%	6,399	2.5%	6,561	2.1%	6,699	3.6%	6,941	3.1%	7,153	-1.2%	7,069	2
65-up	022	FARIBAUT	3,408	-2.6%	3,320	-1.3%	3,277	-1.6%	3,223	-0.9%	3,193	-1.3%	3,150	0.9%	3,179	3
65-up	023	FILLMORE	3,964	-1.3%	3,911	-0.1%	3,909	-0.9%	3,874	0.2%	3,881	-0.2%	3,874	3.3%	4,000	2
65-up	024	FREEBORN	6,139	-1.1%	6,072	0.1%	6,081	0.3%	6,102	0.1%	6,111	-0.2%	6,096	3.5%	6,309	2
65-up	025	GOODHUE	6,793	0.6%	6,837	2.0%	6,977	0.9%	7,039	1.2%	7,122	0.8%	7,177	5.8%	7,594	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
65-up	026	GRANT	1,394	-0.1%	1,393	-1.4%	1,374	0.7%	1,383	-1.4%	1,364	-1.8%	1,339	-0.4%	1,334	1
65-up	027	HENNEPIN	121,668	0.1%	121,736	0.4%	122,228	1.4%	123,924	2.3%	126,730	1.8%	129,035	1.4%	130,814	5
65-up	028	HOUSTON	3,241	-0.6%	3,220	0.7%	3,242	-0.4%	3,229	2.1%	3,297	1.7%	3,353	-1.4%	3,307	2
65-up	029	HUBBARD	3,576	1.8%	3,640	1.5%	3,695	2.6%	3,790	2.3%	3,879	1.9%	3,954	7.4%	4,245	2
65-up	030	ISANTI	3,747	1.9%	3,820	4.5%	3,990	2.4%	4,086	4.3%	4,262	3.7%	4,421	5.9%	4,680	2
65-up	031	ITASCA	7,501	1.5%	7,616	0.6%	7,664	2.1%	7,827	2.1%	7,994	1.7%	8,129	5.5%	8,574	3
65-up	032	JACKSON	2,187	-0.3%	2,180	3.0%	2,245	0.6%	2,259	1.1%	2,284	0.7%	2,299	-11.1%	2,044	1
65-up	033	KANABEC	2,304	0.6%	2,317	1.9%	2,361	3.1%	2,435	1.4%	2,470	1.0%	2,495	6.6%	2,659	1
65-up	034	KANDIYOHI	6,246	-0.2%	6,234	0.9%	6,291	1.4%	6,378	2.2%	6,519	1.7%	6,633	3.0%	6,832	3
65-up	035	KITSON	1,083	-1.3%	1,069	-0.1%	1,068	-2.1%	1,046	-1.1%	1,034	-1.5%	1,018	1.1%	1,029	1
65-up	036	KOOCHICHING	2,665	-0.5%	2,651	0.1%	2,653	-1.1%	2,625	1.1%	2,654	0.7%	2,672	-2.5%	2,604	1
65-up	037	LAC QUI PARLE	1,752	-2.5%	1,709	-1.9%	1,676	-1.0%	1,659	-1.5%	1,634	-2.0%	1,602	7.7%	1,726	1
65-up	038	LAKE	2,309	-1.8%	2,268	-1.5%	2,233	1.4%	2,264	-0.4%	2,256	-0.7%	2,240	8.4%	2,428	1
65-up	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	844	-0.2%	842	0.6%	847	0.4%	850	0.5%	854	0.1%	855	-4.0%	821	1
65-up	040	LE SUEUR	3,737	1.0%	3,774	2.4%	3,864	1.7%	3,928	2.1%	4,011	1.6%	4,077	-2.5%	3,976	2
65-up	041	LINCOLN	1,504	-1.2%	1,486	-2.6%	1,447	-2.1%	1,417	0.4%	1,422	-0.1%	1,421	1.6%	1,444	3
65-up	042	LYON	3,561	0.4%	3,575	-0.2%	3,567	0.8%	3,594	0.9%	3,626	0.5%	3,645	-3.5%	3,519	3
65-up	043	MCLEOD	4,995	0.9%	5,039	1.2%	5,097	1.6%	5,179	1.8%	5,274	1.4%	5,348	4.8%	5,603	2
65-up	044	MAHNOMEN	876	0.5%	880	-1.8%	864	0.6%	869	0.2%	871	-0.2%	869	-1.6%	855	1
65-up	045	MARSHALL	1,881	0.4%	1,889	-2.3%	1,846	-2.4%	1,801	-2.0%	1,765	-2.4%	1,723	5.4%	1,816	1
65-up	046	MARTIN	4,235	0.4%	4,252	0.4%	4,270	-0.6%	4,245	0.9%	4,285	0.5%	4,307	0.2%	4,317	3
65-up	047	MEEKER	3,746	-0.8%	3,716	1.1%	3,758	0.9%	3,791	1.6%	3,851	1.1%	3,895	-1.5%	3,835	2
65-up	048	MILLE LACS	3,805	2.8%	3,910	3.5%	4,045	2.4%	4,142	3.1%	4,271	2.6%	4,383	-4.0%	4,206	2
65-up	049	MORRISON	4,977	0.1%	4,982	1.6%	5,064	1.4%	5,134	1.8%	5,224	1.3%	5,292	0.6%	5,325	3
65-up	050	MOWER	7,211	-1.3%	7,114	-0.7%	7,066	-0.2%	7,055	0.0%	7,053	-0.4%	7,025	-2.9%	6,818	3

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
65-up	051	MURRAY	1,918	-1.7%	1,885	-0.2%	1,882	-1.1%	1,862	0.2%	1,865	-0.2%	1,861	4.8%	1,951	3
65-up	052	NICOLLET	3,402	4.3%	3,548	5.1%	3,728	3.2%	3,849	3.6%	3,987	3.2%	4,116	-4.8%	3,917	2
65-up	053	NOBLES	3,445	-0.7%	3,420	-2.1%	3,349	-1.2%	3,310	0.9%	3,341	0.5%	3,358	1.8%	3,420	2
65-up	054	NORMAN	1,454	-1.2%	1,436	0.1%	1,438	-3.3%	1,391	-0.9%	1,379	-1.3%	1,361	7.6%	1,465	1
65-up	055	OLMSTED	15,010	2.7%	15,421	4.0%	16,045	3.5%	16,602	3.8%	17,240	3.3%	17,810	1.8%	18,133	4
65-up	056	OTTER TAIL	11,010	0.8%	11,096	1.6%	11,279	2.0%	11,508	2.1%	11,749	1.7%	11,943	0.7%	12,032	3
65-up	057	PENNINGTON	2,109	-0.5%	2,098	0.9%	2,117	0.9%	2,136	1.0%	2,158	0.6%	2,170	1.9%	2,212	2
65-up	058	PINE	4,310	0.3%	4,325	2.0%	4,410	1.8%	4,490	2.3%	4,595	1.8%	4,680	3.8%	4,856	2
65-up	059	PIPESTONE	2,063	-1.3%	2,037	-1.4%	2,009	-1.2%	1,985	-0.8%	1,970	-1.2%	1,947	-2.4%	1,901	1
65-up	060	POLK	5,207	-0.2%	5,194	-0.2%	5,184	-0.1%	5,178	0.3%	5,192	-0.1%	5,189	0.6%	5,220	3
65-up	061	POPE	2,332	-0.3%	2,324	-1.0%	2,300	-0.1%	2,298	-1.0%	2,274	-1.4%	2,242	4.3%	2,338	2
65-up	062	RAMSEY	60,571	1.3%	61,363	1.7%	62,406	2.2%	63,808	3.1%	65,803	2.6%	67,522	-9.4%	61,181	5
65-up	063	RED LAKE	780	-3.3%	754	-1.5%	743	0.7%	748	1.9%	762	1.4%	773	-9.3%	701	1
65-up	064	REDWOOD	3,170	-1.9%	3,109	0.1%	3,112	0.1%	3,116	0.0%	3,116	-0.4%	3,103	2.0%	3,164	1
65-up	065	RENVILLE	3,137	-2.2%	3,067	-1.9%	3,008	0.1%	3,011	-1.5%	2,965	-2.0%	2,907	4.7%	3,045	2
65-up	066	RICE	6,825	1.6%	6,936	3.8%	7,200	2.6%	7,390	2.7%	7,591	2.2%	7,760	1.6%	7,881	3
65-up	067	ROCK	1,828	-0.5%	1,819	-1.8%	1,787	0.0%	1,787	-0.3%	1,781	-0.7%	1,769	3.7%	1,835	1
65-up	068	ROSEAU	2,018	-1.0%	1,998	1.4%	2,025	1.5%	2,056	2.3%	2,104	1.9%	2,144	4.9%	2,250	1
65-up	069	ST. LOUIS	31,062	-0.7%	30,859	-0.6%	30,681	0.5%	30,843	1.9%	31,417	1.4%	31,871	-0.2%	31,816	5
65-up	070	SCOTT	6,811	6.2%	7,236	8.8%	7,871	6.9%	8,415	7.7%	9,062	6.7%	9,671	3.6%	10,016	3
65-up	071	SHERBURNE	5,562	4.1%	5,792	-0.1%	5,787	2.5%	5,932	2.3%	6,071	1.8%	6,183	18.2%	7,307	3
65-up	072	SIBLEY	2,461	-2.1%	2,410	-2.0%	2,361	0.2%	2,365	0.9%	2,386	0.5%	2,397	3.4%	2,479	2
65-up	073	STEARNS	16,096	2.2%	16,455	4.2%	17,151	2.2%	17,524	4.9%	18,380	4.0%	19,123	-4.7%	18,228	4
65-up	074	STEELE	4,571	1.8%	4,651	1.9%	4,738	1.9%	4,827	1.5%	4,901	1.1%	4,954	6.4%	5,270	2
65-up	075	STEVENS	1,638	-0.4%	1,631	-0.1%	1,629	-0.3%	1,624	-0.2%	1,620	-0.7%	1,609	-0.7%	1,597	1

Minnesota DHS Health Care Programs: Client Clients for whom a payment was made for Nursing Facility (NF) care in January of seven successive years

This tally includes clients in all programs and includes clients regardless of whether their care was covered "fee-for-service" ("FFS") or under a "prepaid health arrangement (PPHP). Use rates are service users per 1,000 estimated county population in age group in previous July

Data prepared by Paul Farseth, Reports and Forecasts Division, Minnesota Department of Human Services, October 2011 and October 2012.

Estimated county population by age group for previous July (from Census Bureau's American Community Survey)

Age Group	Co3	County Name	Pop. Estimate July 2004	% Pop. Change to 2005	Pop. Estimate July 2005	% Pop. Change to 2006	Pop. Estimate July 2006	% Pop. Change to 2007	Pop. Estimate July 2007	% Pop. Change to 2008	Pop. Estimate July 2008	% Pop. Change to 2009	Pop. Estimate July 2009	% Pop. Change to 2010	Pop. Estimate July 2010	CtySizeGroup
65-up	076	SWIFT	2,118	-1.7%	2,082	-2.0%	2,040	-0.7%	2,025	-1.4%	1,996	-1.8%	1,960	0.3%	1,966	2
65-up	077	TODD	3,913	0.0%	3,912	0.8%	3,945	0.7%	3,973	1.1%	4,016	0.7%	4,044	7.0%	4,327	3
65-up	078	TRAVERSE	1,049	-0.4%	1,045	-1.6%	1,028	-1.6%	1,012	-1.2%	1,000	-1.5%	985	-4.9%	937	1
65-up	079	WABASHA	3,327	1.2%	3,367	2.7%	3,458	2.3%	3,537	2.2%	3,615	1.7%	3,678	0.4%	3,692	2
65-up	080	WADENA	2,786	-0.3%	2,777	0.4%	2,788	1.3%	2,825	1.2%	2,859	0.7%	2,879	1.4%	2,918	2
65-up	081	WASECA	2,661	-0.2%	2,657	-0.8%	2,636	0.4%	2,647	0.9%	2,670	0.3%	2,679	5.2%	2,819	1
65-up	082	WASHINGTON	17,817	3.0%	18,356	5.0%	19,278	4.0%	20,047	4.7%	20,985	4.1%	21,835	14.4%	24,984	4
65-up	083	WATONWAN	2,138	-0.3%	2,132	-1.1%	2,109	0.1%	2,111	-0.4%	2,102	-0.9%	2,084	2.0%	2,125	1
65-up	084	WILKIN	1,114	-2.6%	1,085	1.6%	1,102	2.6%	1,131	-0.4%	1,126	-0.9%	1,116	4.7%	1,169	1
65-up	085	WINONA	6,595	1.0%	6,661	-0.8%	6,608	1.2%	6,690	0.6%	6,729	0.2%	6,740	2.2%	6,891	3
65-up	086	WRIGHT	9,018	3.2%	9,304	5.7%	9,830	3.9%	10,209	4.8%	10,698	4.2%	11,143	7.1%	11,934	4
65-up	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	2,132	-0.6%	2,119	-1.2%	2,093	-1.0%	2,073	0.4%	2,081	0.0%	2,081	-2.4%	2,031	1
ALL	All	Statewide	613,522	0.9%	619,032	1.7%	629,342	1.9%	641,203	2.5%	657,486	2.1%	671,055	1.8%	683,121	0

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc ending-Formulas	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc endingComp Vals
0-64	1	016	COOK	0.00	1	1
0-64	1	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	0.00	1	1
0-64	3	041	LINCOLN	0.00	1	1
0-64	3	051	MURRAY	0.00	1	1
0-64	2	020	DODGE	0.06	1	2
0-64	2	074	STEELE	0.10	1	3
0-64	3	009	CARLTON	0.13	1	4
0-64	3	013	CHISAGO	0.13	1	4
0-64	3	070	SCOTT	0.14	1	5
0-64	4	082	WASHINGTON	0.15	1	6
0-64	3	010	CARVER	0.16	1	7
0-64	5	019	DAKOTA	0.17	1	8
0-64	2	052	NICOLLET	0.17	1	8
0-64	3	066	RICE	0.18	1	9
0-64	3	071	SHERBURNE	0.18	1	9
0-64	2	030	ISANTI	0.21	1	10
0-64	4	086	WRIGHT	0.21	1	10
0-64	4	055	OLMSTED	0.22	1	11
0-64	1	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	0.24	1	12
0-64	1	067	ROCK	0.25	1	13
0-64	3	005	BENTON	0.27	1	14
0-64	3	007	BLUE EARTH	0.27	1	14
0-64	3	050	MOWER	0.28	1	15
0-64	2	053	NOBLES	0.28	1	15
0-64	1	033	KANABEC	0.29	1	16
0-64	1	063	RED LAKE	0.30	1	17
0-64	5	002	ANOKA	0.31	1	18
0-64	2	021	DOUGLAS	0.31	1	18
0-64	4	073	STEARNS	0.31	1	18
0-64	1	017	COTTONWOOD	0.33	1	19
0-64	2	061	POPE	0.35	1	20
0-64	1	037	LAC QUI PARLE	0.36	1	21
0-64	1	038	LAKE	0.36	1	21
0-64	1	075	STEVENS	0.37	1	22
0-64	3	008	BROWN	0.38	1	23
0-64	2	028	HOUSTON	0.38	1	23
0-64	3	018	CROW WING	0.39	1	24
0-64	1	045	MARSHALL	0.39	1	24
0-64	2	072	SIBLEY	0.39	1	24
0-64	2	058	PINE	0.40	1	25
0-64	2	001	AITKIN	0.42	1	26
0-64	2	043	MCLEOD	0.42	1	26
0-64	3	046	MARTIN	0.42	1	26
0-64	1	026	GRANT	0.43	1	27
0-64	4	014	CLAY	0.44	1	28
0-64	3	022	FARIBAULT	0.44	1	28
0-64	2	079	WABASHA	0.44	1	28
0-64	1	083	WATONWAN	0.44	1	28

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc ending-Formulas	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc endingComp Vals
0-64	2	065	RENVILLE	0.47	1	29
0-64	0	All	Statewide	0.48	1	30
0-64	1	081	WASECA	0.49	1	31
0-64	3	085	WINONA	0.49	1	31
0-64	3	049	MORRISON	0.50	1	32
0-64	3	034	KANDIYOHI	0.51	1	33
0-64	2	047	MEEKER	0.51	1	33
0-64	3	056	OTTER TAIL	0.51	1	33
0-64	2	057	PENNINGTON	0.51	1	33
0-64	3	031	ITASCA	0.52	1	34
0-64	1	068	ROSEAU	0.52	1	34
0-64	2	040	LE SUEUR	0.55	1	35
0-64	2	048	MILLE LACS	0.55	1	35
0-64	1	015	CLEARWATER	0.57	1	36
0-64	3	060	POLK	0.57	1	36
0-64	3	077	TODD	0.58	1	37
0-64	1	032	JACKSON	0.61	1	38
0-64	1	064	REDWOOD	0.62	1	39
0-64	2	003	BECKER	0.63	1	40
0-64	3	042	LYON	0.63	1	40
0-64	5	069	ST. LOUIS	0.64	1	41
0-64	2	011	CASS	0.67	1	42
0-64	5	062	RAMSEY	0.67	1	42
0-64	2	023	FILLMORE	0.71	1	43
0-64	1	078	TRAVERSE	0.76	1	44
0-64	3	004	BELTRAMI	0.78	1	45
0-64	5	027	HENNEPIN	0.79	1	46
0-64	2	012	CHIPPEWA	0.80	1	47
0-64	2	024	FREEBORN	0.84	1	48
0-64	2	076	SWIFT	0.90	1	49
0-64	1	059	PIPESTONE	0.91	1	50
0-64	1	084	WILKIN	0.92	1	51
0-64	3	025	GOODHUE	0.98	1	52
0-64	2	029	HUBBARD	0.99	1	53
0-64	2	080	WADENA	1.10	1	54
0-64	1	036	KOOCHICHING	1.12	1	55
0-64	1	054	NORMAN	1.30	1	56
0-64	1	044	MAHNOMEN	1.32	1	57
0-64	1	035	KITTSOON	1.42	1	58
0-64	1	006	BIG STONE	1.52	1	59

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc ending- Formulas	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc endingComp Vals
>= 65 < 85	1	038	LAKE	4.80	1	1
>= 65 < 85	3	070	SCOTT	6.38	1	2
>= 65 < 85	2	074	STEELE	6.42	1	3
>= 65 < 85	4	082	WASHINGTON	6.85	1	4
>= 65 < 85	3	018	CROW WING	7.46	1	5
>= 65 < 85	1	067	ROCK	7.57	1	6
>= 65 < 85	2	029	HUBBARD	7.64	1	7
>= 65 < 85	5	019	DAKOTA	7.80	1	8
>= 65 < 85	5	002	ANOKA	7.87	1	9
>= 65 < 85	2	011	CASS	8.05	1	10
>= 65 < 85	4	055	OLMSTED	8.39	1	11
>= 65 < 85	1	075	STEVENS	8.72	1	12
>= 65 < 85	3	071	SHERBURNE	8.86	1	13
>= 65 < 85	2	001	AITKIN	8.92	1	14
>= 65 < 85	4	086	WRIGHT	9.40	1	15
>= 65 < 85	3	007	BLUE EARTH	9.59	1	16
>= 65 < 85	3	031	ITASCA	9.88	1	17
>= 65 < 85	2	020	DODGE	10.19	1	18
>= 65 < 85	3	013	CHISAGO	10.20	1	19
>= 65 < 85	1	032	JACKSON	10.48	1	20
>= 65 < 85	2	047	MEEKER	10.50	1	21
>= 65 < 85	3	009	CARLTON	10.63	1	22
>= 65 < 85	2	030	ISANTI	10.68	1	23
>= 65 < 85	3	010	CARVER	10.75	1	24
>= 65 < 85	2	052	NICOLLET	11.08	1	25
>= 65 < 85	1	081	WASECA	11.31	1	26
>= 65 < 85	4	073	STEARNS	11.50	1	27
>= 65 < 85	3	025	GOODHUE	11.78	1	28
>= 65 < 85	1	026	GRANT	11.92	1	29
>= 65 < 85	2	040	LE SUEUR	12.14	1	30
>= 65 < 85	2	021	DOUGLAS	12.28	1	31
>= 65 < 85	3	056	OTTER TAIL	12.45	1	32
>= 65 < 85	2	072	SIBLEY	12.49	1	33
>= 65 < 85	3	066	RICE	12.51	1	34
>= 65 < 85	3	004	BELTRAMI	12.55	1	35
>= 65 < 85	3	050	MOWER	12.60	1	36
>= 65 < 85	2	058	PINE	12.69	1	37
>= 65 < 85	0	All	Statewide	12.69	1	38
>= 65 < 85	2	028	HOUSTON	12.79	1	39
>= 65 < 85	5	069	ST. LOUIS	13.05	1	40
>= 65 < 85	3	008	BROWN	13.07	1	41
>= 65 < 85	3	051	MURRAY	13.08	1	42
>= 65 < 85	3	034	KANDIYOHI	13.37	1	43
>= 65 < 85	2	043	MCLEOD	13.39	1	44
>= 65 < 85	1	017	COTTONWOOD	13.64	1	45
>= 65 < 85	2	065	RENVILLE	13.89	1	46
>= 65 < 85	5	062	RAMSEY	14.07	1	47
>= 65 < 85	2	053	NOBLES	14.18	1	48

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc ending-Formulas	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc endingComp Vals
>= 65 < 85	3	049	MORRISON	14.42	1	49
>= 65 < 85	1	064	REDWOOD	14.65	1	50
>= 65 < 85	3	041	LINCOLN	14.83	1	51
>= 65 < 85	1	015	CLEARWATER	15.18	1	52
>= 65 < 85	5	027	HENNEPIN	15.19	1	53
>= 65 < 85	2	079	WABASHA	15.34	1	54
>= 65 < 85	1	016	COOK	15.40	1	55
>= 65 < 85	2	048	MILLE LACS	15.44	1	56
>= 65 < 85	1	036	KOOCHICHING	15.72	1	57
>= 65 < 85	3	046	MARTIN	15.89	1	58
>= 65 < 85	1	083	WATONWAN	16.24	1	59
>= 65 < 85	3	022	FARIBAULT	16.27	1	60
>= 65 < 85	1	033	KANABEC	16.50	1	61
>= 65 < 85	3	042	LYON	16.61	1	62
>= 65 < 85	2	003	BECKER	16.85	1	63
>= 65 < 85	3	005	BENTON	17.04	1	64
>= 65 < 85	2	024	FREEBORN	17.17	1	65
>= 65 < 85	1	044	MAHNOMEN	17.47	1	66
>= 65 < 85	3	085	WINONA	17.73	1	67
>= 65 < 85	4	014	CLAY	18.51	1	68
>= 65 < 85	1	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	18.67	1	69
>= 65 < 85	2	061	POPE	20.14	1	70
>= 65 < 85	2	080	WADENA	20.25	1	71
>= 65 < 85	3	060	POLK	20.52	1	72
>= 65 < 85	3	077	TODD	20.67	1	73
>= 65 < 85	1	006	BIG STONE	20.70	1	74
>= 65 < 85	1	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	21.19	1	75
>= 65 < 85	2	023	FILLMORE	21.48	1	76
>= 65 < 85	1	068	ROSEAU	21.58	1	77
>= 65 < 85	1	035	KITTSOON	21.77	1	78
>= 65 < 85	2	057	PENNINGTON	22.14	1	79
>= 65 < 85	1	045	MARSHALL	22.88	1	80
>= 65 < 85	1	084	WILKIN	23.64	1	81
>= 65 < 85	1	054	NORMAN	23.91	1	82
>= 65 < 85	1	063	RED LAKE	24.71	1	83
>= 65 < 85	2	012	CHIPPEWA	24.77	1	84
>= 65 < 85	1	059	PIPESTONE	25.61	1	85
>= 65 < 85	2	076	SWIFT	28.39	1	86
>= 65 < 85	1	078	TRAVERSE	29.25	1	87
>= 65 < 85	1	037	LAC QUI PARLE	31.84	1	88

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending- Formulas	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending- Comp Vals
>= 85	4	082	WASHINGTON	45.47	1	1
>= 85	3	071	SHERBURNE	52.90	1	2
>= 85	5	019	DAKOTA	56.43	1	3
>= 85	1	038	LAKE	58.31	1	4
>= 85	4	055	OLMSTED	60.34	1	5
>= 85	2	029	HUBBARD	62.50	1	6
>= 85	3	007	BLUE EARTH	66.67	1	7
>= 85	5	062	RAMSEY	68.88	1	8
>= 85	3	018	CROW WING	69.31	1	9
>= 85	2	074	STEELE	69.38	1	10
>= 85	5	027	HENNEPIN	69.93	1	11
>= 85	2	020	DODGE	70.00	1	12
>= 85	3	013	CHISAGO	74.85	1	13
>= 85	3	070	SCOTT	76.05	1	14
>= 85	2	011	CASS	76.38	1	15
>= 85	5	002	ANOKA	80.57	1	16
>= 85	2	053	NOBLES	80.72	1	17
>= 85	3	066	RICE	82.12	1	18
>= 85	2	021	DOUGLAS	82.74	1	19
>= 85	3	031	ITASCA	84.46	1	20
>= 85	2	065	RENVILLE	87.10	1	21
>= 85	3	004	BELTRAMI	87.15	1	22
>= 85	4	073	STEARNS	87.70	1	23
>= 85	2	052	NICOLLET	88.39	1	24
>= 85	0	All	Statewide	89.47	1	25
>= 85	3	050	MOWER	90.72	1	26
>= 85	3	005	BENTON	91.30	1	27
>= 85	3	010	CARVER	94.55	1	28
>= 85	1	032	JACKSON	94.79	1	29
>= 85	2	043	MCLEOD	95.78	1	30
>= 85	1	083	WATONWAN	97.26	1	31
>= 85	3	034	KANDIYOHI	97.30	1	32
>= 85	2	030	ISANTI	97.71	1	33
>= 85	4	086	WRIGHT	97.80	1	34
>= 85	2	024	FREEBORN	99.47	1	35
>= 85	5	069	ST. LOUIS	99.85	1	36
>= 85	3	046	MARTIN	100.22	1	37
>= 85	1	064	REDWOOD	101.72	1	38
>= 85	2	028	HOUSTON	103.33	1	39
>= 85	1	059	PIPESTONE	105.52	1	40
>= 85	1	081	WASECA	105.57	1	41
>= 85	3	025	GOODHUE	107.45	1	42
>= 85	2	080	WADENA	107.66	1	43
>= 85	2	001	AITKIN	110.20	1	44
>= 85	2	057	PENNINGTON	111.11	1	45
>= 85	3	022	FARIBAULT	112.04	1	46
>= 85	3	008	BROWN	112.34	1	47
>= 85	2	058	PINE	112.81	1	48

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending-Formulas	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending-Comp Vals
>= 85	1	075	STEVENS	113.10	1	49
>= 85	3	049	MORRISON	113.83	1	50
>= 85	1	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	115.04	1	51
>= 85	3	085	WINONA	116.87	1	52
>= 85	4	014	CLAY	117.65	1	53
>= 85	1	026	GRANT	119.34	1	54
>= 85	1	017	COTTONWOOD	119.52	1	55
>= 85	3	042	LYON	120.29	1	56
>= 85	1	054	NORMAN	122.45	1	57
>= 85	3	056	OTTER TAIL	122.74	1	58
>= 85	1	067	ROCK	123.04	1	59
>= 85	3	009	CARLTON	131.25	1	60
>= 85	2	079	WABASHA	131.67	1	61
>= 85	1	016	COOK	133.80	1	62
>= 85	2	048	MILLE LACS	135.09	1	63
>= 85	2	047	MEEKER	138.80	1	64
>= 85	2	040	LE SUEUR	139.26	1	65
>= 85	1	036	KOOCHICHING	145.50	1	66
>= 85	2	072	SIBLEY	145.73	1	67
>= 85	1	045	MARSHALL	146.85	1	68
>= 85	3	051	MURRAY	147.40	1	69
>= 85	1	035	KITTSOON	148.51	1	70
>= 85	2	061	POPE	149.25	1	71
>= 85	1	006	BIG STONE	149.43	1	72
>= 85	2	023	FILLMORE	151.02	1	73
>= 85	1	015	CLEARWATER	151.26	1	74
>= 85	2	003	BECKER	154.35	1	75
>= 85	3	060	POLK	157.14	1	76
>= 85	1	063	RED LAKE	159.57	1	77
>= 85	2	076	SWIFT	163.46	1	78
>= 85	1	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	165.09	1	79
>= 85	2	012	CHIPPEWA	166.67	1	80
>= 85	1	068	ROSEAU	168.57	1	81
>= 85	1	033	KANABEC	172.88	1	82
>= 85	1	078	TRAVERSE	173.52	1	83
>= 85	1	037	LAC QUI PARLE	174.42	1	84
>= 85	3	077	TODD	187.73	1	85
>= 85	3	041	LINCOLN	191.28	1	86
>= 85	1	084	WILKIN	209.18	1	87
>= 85	1	044	MAHNOMEN	216.22	1	88

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc ending-Formulas	ReciprocityR atesRankAsc endingComp Vals
ALL	3	070	SCOTT	1.29	1	1
ALL	4	082	WASHINGTON	1.35	1	2
ALL	3	071	SHERBURNE	1.39	1	3
ALL	5	019	DAKOTA	1.55	1	4
ALL	5	002	ANOKA	1.74	1	5
ALL	3	010	CARVER	2.06	1	6
ALL	4	086	WRIGHT	2.16	1	7
ALL	4	055	OLMSTED	2.24	1	8
ALL	3	013	CHISAGO	2.32	1	9
ALL	2	020	DODGE	2.49	1	10
ALL	2	074	STEELE	2.57	1	11
ALL	3	007	BLUE EARTH	2.62	1	12
ALL	2	052	NICOLLET	2.84	1	13
ALL	3	066	RICE	2.96	1	14
ALL	4	073	STEARNS	2.97	1	15
ALL	2	030	ISANTI	3.01	1	16
ALL	1	038	LAKE	3.04	1	17
ALL	3	018	CROW WING	3.20	1	18
ALL	5	062	RAMSEY	3.40	1	19
ALL	5	027	HENNEPIN	3.46	1	20
ALL	2	011	CASS	3.57	1	21
ALL	2	029	HUBBARD	3.57	1	21
ALL	0	All	Statewide	3.59	1	22
ALL	3	004	BELTRAMI	3.80	1	23
ALL	3	005	BENTON	4.03	1	24
ALL	2	058	PINE	4.17	1	25
ALL	3	031	ITASCA	4.26	1	26
ALL	3	009	CARLTON	4.44	1	27
ALL	2	040	LE SUEUR	4.58	1	28
ALL	2	043	MCLEOD	4.58	1	28
ALL	2	053	NOBLES	4.58	1	28
ALL	4	014	CLAY	4.61	1	29
ALL	1	081	WASECA	4.65	1	30
ALL	2	021	DOUGLAS	4.86	1	31
ALL	5	069	ST. LOUIS	4.94	1	32
ALL	3	085	WINONA	4.99	1	33
ALL	3	034	KANDIYOHI	5.02	1	34
ALL	3	049	MORRISON	5.18	1	35
ALL	2	028	HOUSTON	5.26	1	36
ALL	3	050	MOWER	5.26	1	36
ALL	1	075	STEVENS	5.35	1	37
ALL	2	047	MEEKER	5.45	1	38
ALL	3	042	LYON	5.57	1	39
ALL	3	025	GOODHUE	5.65	1	40
ALL	1	033	KANABEC	5.79	1	41
ALL	2	001	AITKIN	5.80	1	42
ALL	2	065	RENVILLE	5.85	1	43
ALL	2	072	SIBLEY	5.85	1	43

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending-Formulas	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending-Comp Vals
ALL	2	048	MILLE LACS	5.90	1	44
ALL	2	079	WABASHA	6.00	1	45
ALL	1	032	JACKSON	6.04	1	46
ALL	1	067	ROCK	6.19	1	47
ALL	1	083	WATONWAN	6.33	1	48
ALL	1	016	COOK	6.38	1	49
ALL	2	057	PENNINGTON	6.53	1	50
ALL	3	008	BROWN	6.60	1	51
ALL	2	003	BECKER	6.71	1	52
ALL	3	056	OTTER TAIL	6.84	1	53
ALL	1	064	REDWOOD	6.85	1	54
ALL	1	068	ROSEAU	6.85	1	54
ALL	1	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	6.92	1	55
ALL	1	015	CLEARWATER	7.02	1	56
ALL	2	024	FREEBORN	7.10	1	57
ALL	1	026	GRANT	7.31	1	58
ALL	3	046	MARTIN	7.34	1	59
ALL	1	063	RED LAKE	7.58	1	60
ALL	1	036	KOOCHICHING	7.66	1	61
ALL	1	017	COTTONWOOD	7.70	1	62
ALL	3	077	TODD	7.79	1	63
ALL	3	022	FARIBAULT	7.83	1	64
ALL	1	044	MAHNOMEN	7.94	1	65
ALL	3	060	POLK	8.10	1	66
ALL	3	051	MURRAY	8.25	1	67
ALL	1	045	MARSHALL	8.48	1	68
ALL	2	080	WADENA	8.60	1	69
ALL	1	059	PIPESTONE	9.27	1	70
ALL	2	061	POPE	9.28	1	71
ALL	2	023	FILLMORE	9.58	1	72
ALL	1	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	9.77	1	73
ALL	1	054	NORMAN	10.36	1	74
ALL	1	084	WILKIN	10.49	1	75
ALL	2	012	CHIPPEWA	10.69	1	76
ALL	1	035	KITTSOON	11.64	1	77
ALL	2	076	SWIFT	12.16	1	78
ALL	3	041	LINCOLN	12.55	1	79
ALL	1	006	BIG STONE	12.72	1	80
ALL	1	037	LAC QUI PARLE	14.60	1	81
ALL	1	078	TRAVERSE	17.14	1	82

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending- Formulas	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending- Comp Vals
65-up	4	082	WASHINGTON	11.61	1	1
65-up	1	038	LAKE	12.36	1	2
65-up	2	029	HUBBARD	13.43	1	3
65-up	5	019	DAKOTA	13.99	1	4
65-up	2	011	CASS	14.43	1	5
65-up	3	071	SHERBURNE	14.78	1	6
65-up	5	002	ANOKA	14.95	1	7
65-up	3	070	SCOTT	14.98	1	8
65-up	3	018	CROW WING	15.57	1	9
65-up	4	055	OLMSTED	16.27	1	10
65-up	2	074	STEELE	17.27	1	11
65-up	3	013	CHISAGO	19.05	1	12
65-up	2	020	DODGE	19.92	1	13
65-up	2	001	AITKIN	20.17	1	14
65-up	3	031	ITASCA	20.18	1	15
65-up	3	007	BLUE EARTH	20.23	1	16
65-up	4	086	WRIGHT	20.53	1	17
65-up	4	073	STEARNS	22.27	1	18
65-up	2	052	NICOLLET	22.47	1	19
65-up	3	010	CARVER	22.71	1	20
65-up	3	066	RICE	22.84	1	21
65-up	2	030	ISANTI	22.86	1	22
65-up	5	062	RAMSEY	23.42	1	23
65-up	2	021	DOUGLAS	23.48	1	24
65-up	2	058	PINE	23.48	1	24
65-up	3	004	BELTRAMI	24.16	1	25
65-up	5	027	HENNEPIN	24.32	1	26
ALL	0	All	Statewide	24.68	1	27
65-up	2	053	NOBLES	27.19	1	28
65-up	2	043	MCLEOD	27.66	1	29
65-up	5	069	ST. LOUIS	27.72	1	30
65-up	1	032	JACKSON	27.89	1	31
65-up	2	065	RENVILLE	28.24	1	32
65-up	3	034	KANDIYOHI	28.40	1	33
65-up	2	028	HOUSTON	28.42	1	34
65-up	2	040	LE SUEUR	28.67	1	35
65-up	1	081	WASECA	28.73	1	36
65-up	3	009	CARLTON	28.78	1	37
65-up	3	050	MOWER	28.89	1	38
65-up	3	025	GOODHUE	29.37	1	39
65-up	3	049	MORRISON	29.67	1	40
65-up	2	047	MEEKER	30.51	1	41
65-up	3	056	OTTER TAIL	30.67	1	42
65-up	1	075	STEVENS	30.68	1	43
65-up	1	016	COOK	31.40	1	44
65-up	1	026	GRANT	31.48	1	45
65-up	1	083	WATONWAN	31.53	1	46
65-up	1	067	ROCK	31.61	1	47

Counties Listed in Order of 2011 Reciprocity Rates within Age Group

Age Group	Cnty Size Grp	Co3	County Name	Use Rate 2011 per 1,000 at risk	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending-Formulas	Reciprocity Rates Rank Ascending-Comp Vals
65-up	2	024	FREEBORN	31.86	1	48
65-up	3	005	BENTON	32.03	1	49
65-up	1	064	REDWOOD	32.24	1	50
65-up	2	079	WABASHA	33.04	1	51
65-up	3	008	BROWN	33.27	1	52
65-up	2	048	MILLE LACS	33.76	1	53
65-up	3	046	MARTIN	33.82	1	54
65-up	1	033	KANABEC	33.85	1	55
65-up	2	072	SIBLEY	33.88	1	56
65-up	1	039	LAKE OF THE WOODS	34.10	1	57
65-up	3	085	WINONA	34.10	1	57
65-up	3	022	FARIBAULT	34.29	1	58
65-up	1	036	KOOCHICHING	34.56	1	59
65-up	1	017	COTTONWOOD	35.05	1	60
65-up	1	015	CLEARWATER	35.16	1	61
65-up	4	014	CLAY	35.18	1	62
65-up	2	003	BECKER	35.66	1	63
65-up	2	080	WADENA	36.67	1	64
65-up	3	051	MURRAY	36.90	1	65
65-up	3	042	LYON	36.94	1	66
65-up	2	057	PENNINGTON	38.43	1	67
65-up	3	077	TODD	42.06	1	68
65-up	2	061	POPE	42.34	1	69
65-up	1	045	MARSHALL	42.40	1	70
65-up	1	063	RED LAKE	42.80	1	71
65-up	1	059	PIPESTONE	43.14	1	72
65-up	1	044	MAHNOMEN	43.27	1	73
65-up	1	054	NORMAN	43.69	1	74
65-up	1	068	ROSEAU	44.44	1	75
65-up	1	006	BIG STONE	46.07	1	76
65-up	3	060	POLK	46.17	1	77
65-up	1	035	KITSON	46.65	1	78
65-up	2	023	FILLMORE	47.00	1	79
65-up	1	087	YELLOW MEDICINE	49.24	1	80
65-up	3	041	LINCOLN	51.25	1	81
65-up	2	012	CHIPPEWA	52.08	1	82
65-up	1	084	WILKIN	54.75	1	83
65-up	2	076	SWIFT	56.97	1	84
65-up	1	037	LAC QUI PARLE	60.25	1	85
65-up	1	078	TRAVERSE	62.97	1	86

County Tags: County Number, FIPS Code, and County Size Group Code

Cnty	CoNum	FipsCo	Cnty Size Group	County	Co3Lnk
001	1	1	2	AITKIN	1
002	2	3	5	ANOKA	2
003	3	5	2	BECKER	3
004	4	7	3	BELTRAMI	4
005	5	9	3	BENTON	5
006	6	11	1	BIG STONE	6
007	7	13	3	BLUE EARTH	7
008	8	15	3	BROWN	8
009	9	17	3	CARLTON	9
010	10	19	3	CARVER	10
011	11	21	2	CASS	11
012	12	23	2	CHIPPEWA	12
013	13	25	3	CHISAGO	13
014	14	27	4	CLAY	14
015	15	29	1	CLEARWATER	15
016	16	31	1	COOK	16
017	17	33	1	COTTONWOOD	17
018	18	35	3	CROW WING	18
019	19	37	5	DAKOTA	19
020	20	39	2	DODGE	20
021	21	41	2	DOUGLAS	21
022	22	43	3	FARIBAULT	22
023	23	45	2	FILLMORE	23
024	24	47	2	FREEBORN	24
025	25	49	3	GOODHUE	25
026	26	51	1	GRANT	26
027	27	53	5	HENNEPIN	27
028	28	55	2	HOUSTON	28
029	29	57	2	HUBBARD	29
030	30	59	2	ISANTI	30
031	31	61	3	ITASCA	31
032	32	63	1	JACKSON	32
033	33	65	1	KANABEC	33
034	34	67	3	KANDIYOHI	34
035	35	69	1	KITTSOON	35
036	36	71	1	KOOCHICHING	36
037	37	73	1	LAC QUI PARLE	37
038	38	75	1	LAKE	38
039	39	77	1	LAKE OF THE WOODS	39
040	40	79	2	LE SUEUR	40
041	41	81	3	LINCOLN	41

County Tags: County Number, FIPS Code, and County Size Group Code

Cnty	CoNum	FipsCo	Cnty Size Group	County	Co3Lnk
042	42	83	3	LYON	42
043	43	85	2	MCLEOD	43
044	44	87	1	MAHNOMEN	44
045	45	89	1	MARSHALL	45
046	46	91	3	MARTIN	46
047	47	93	2	MEEKER	47
048	48	95	2	MILLE LACS	48
049	49	97	3	MORRISON	49
050	50	99	3	MOWER	50
051	51	101	3	MURRAY	51
052	52	103	2	NICOLLET	52
053	53	105	2	NOBLES	53
054	54	107	1	NORMAN	54
055	55	109	4	OLMSTED	55
056	56	111	3	OTTER TAIL	56
057	57	113	2	PENNINGTON	57
058	58	115	2	PINE	58
059	59	117	1	PIPESTONE	59
060	60	119	3	POLK	60
061	61	121	2	POPE	61
062	62	123	5	RAMSEY	62
063	63	125	1	RED LAKE	63
064	64	127	1	REDWOOD	64
065	65	129	2	RENVILLE	65
066	66	131	3	RICE	66
067	67	133	1	ROCK	67
068	68	135	1	ROSEAU	68
069	69	137	5	ST. LOUIS	69
070	70	139	3	SCOTT	70
071	71	141	3	SHERBURNE	71
072	72	143	2	SIBLEY	72
073	73	145	4	STEARNS	73
074	74	147	2	STEELE	74
075	75	149	1	STEVENS	75
076	76	151	2	SWIFT	76
077	77	153	3	TODD	77
078	78	155	1	TRAVERSE	78
079	79	157	2	WABASHA	79
080	80	159	2	WADENA	80
081	81	161	1	WASECA	81
082	82	163	4	WASHINGTON	82

County Tags: County Number, FIPS Code, and County Size Group Code

Cnty	CoNum	FipsCo	Cnty Size Group	County	Co3Lnk
083	83	165	1	WATONWAN	83
084	84	167	1	WILKIN	84
085	85	169	3	WINONA	85
086	86	171	4	WRIGHT	86
087	87	173	1	YELLOW MEDICINE	87
000	0	0	0	ALL	0