

This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. <http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp>



Minnesota Department of **Human Services** _____

Characteristics of December 2004 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults

Program Assessment and Integrity Division
Minnesota Department of Human Services
March 2006

Prepared by:

Vania A. Meyer, Ph.D.
Senior Research Analysis Specialist
(651) 296-3507
vania.meyer@state.mn.us

Suzanne M. Gaines
Senior Research Analysis Specialist
(651) 215-9520
suzanne.m.gaines@state.mn.us

Leslie I. Crichton, Ph.D.
Project Team Leader
(651) 282-2323
leslie.crichton@state.mn.us

Dana DeMaster
Management Analyst III
(651) 296-9797
dana.demaster@state.mn.us

Reports are posted on DHS Website at:

http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/Economic_support/documents/pub/DHS_id_004113.hcsp

Table of Contents

Characteristics of December 2004 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults.....	1
Statewide Data.....	2
Caseload Data.....	2
Table 1. December 2004 Counts of MFIP, DWP and Tribal TANF Paid Cases and Persons	2
Figure 1. December 2004 MFIP Plus DWP Caseloads.....	3
Demographic Characteristics.....	3
Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	5
Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges.....	7
Table 3. Family Composition, Residence and Challenges of December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	10
Economic Characteristics.....	12
Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	17
County and Regional Data.....	21
Caseload Data.....	21
Demographic Characteristics.....	21
Family Composition, Residence and Challenges.....	21
Economic Characteristics.....	22
Table 5. December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases, by County and Region.....	23
Table 6. December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases Compared with Census Data on Poverty, by County.....	30
Table 7. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2004 Paid MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education and Marital Status, by County and Region.....	33
Table 8. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2004 Paid DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education and Marital Status, by Large County and Region.....	40
Table 9. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2004 MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/ Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region.....	42
Table 10. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2004 DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/ Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region.....	43
Table 11. Family Types in December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Households, by County and Region.....	44
Table 12. All Children in December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Households, by County and Region.....	48
Table 13. All Children in December 2004 One-eligible-adult DWP Households, by County and Region.....	52
Table 14. Family Violence, Chemical Dependency and Severe Mental Health Diagnosis for December 2004 One-eligible-adult Cases, by Large County and Region.....	56
Table 15. December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, Months Counted and New MFIP Cases in 2004, by County and Region.....	57
Table 16. December 2004 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, Months Counted and New MFIP Cases, by Large County and Region.....	61
Table 17. December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region.....	62
Table 18. December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Extensions, Sanctions and Child Support Payments, by County and Region.....	66

Table 19. December 2004 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2004, by County and Region.....	68
Table 20. December 2004 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region.....	72
Table 21. December 2004 MFIP Two-eligible-adult Cases with Extensions, Sanctions and Child Support Payments, by Large County and Region.....	76

Characteristics of December 2004 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults

This is the eighth in a series of annual reports providing a snapshot of the universe of participants in Minnesota's public assistance programs for families. The first caseload characteristics report described eligible recipients and paid cases¹ in December 1997, the month before the program changed statewide from Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) to the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP). Subsequent reports described MFIP participants and cases in December of each year.

This report adds data on the Diversionary Work Program (DWP) that enrolled its first cases in July 2004. Most families who apply for cash assistance are directed to DWP with its intensive work supports and short-term benefits (up to four months) and diverted from MFIP. DWP's goal is to facilitate unsubsidized employment, increased economic stability and a reduced risk of needing assistance under MFIP in the future.² Thus the number of MFIP cases in a given month is decreased at least by the number of cases eligible for DWP. Also, the characteristics of DWP cases in 2004 may not have been representative of DWP cases over time because of the large number of Hmong immigrants who arrived from Thailand during October to December 2004, with many diverted to DWP. Interpreting comparisons between outcomes for MFIP and DWP cases needs to take into account that DWP cases are considered likely to attain or regain self-sufficiency quickly while MFIP includes many long-term cases.

Federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funds all family assistance cases except MFIP or DWP cases with two eligible parents (state-funded since October 2001). Cases with two caregivers – when only one caregiver is eligible for MFIP or DWP – are included in the one-eligible-adult cases column. TANF legislation set program requirements such as the five-year lifetime limit on receipt of family assistance (starting with July 1997 in Minnesota), a work-first emphasis, participation requirements and other rules.

This report describes characteristics of eligible adults³ and active cases receiving family public assistance through either MFIP or DWP in December 2004.⁴ There is information on the demographics of the eligible adult caregivers; family composition, residence and challenges; and economic status for the state, counties and regions.⁵ The extensive footnotes that accompany the state tables are essential for interpreting the data.

Findings that parallel this report for the traditional racial/ethnic groups (American Indian, Asian, black, Hispanic and white) and major subgroups in Minnesota (African Americans, Asian

¹ A paid case receives an MFIP grant (both cash and the food portion or food only) or a DWP cash grant.

² Minnesota Department of Human Services. Bulletin #04-69-05 *DHS Announces Implementation of the Diversionary Work Program (DWP)*. St. Paul, MN: April 2004. This bulletin provided policy information and instructions for implementation to county directors and others and is available at http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/publications/documents/pub/DHS_id_016583.pdf.

³ An "eligible adult" is an adult caregiver who both meets MFIP or DWP eligibility requirements and chooses to receive the grant for which he or she is personally eligible.

⁴ Cases receiving MFIP or DWP grants are known as "paid cases"; this excludes active cases suspended for the month, typically for months with five weekly or three biweekly paychecks that increase their income enough to cancel out the grant.

⁵ Whole percentages for caseload subgroups sometimes add to 99% or 101% in the text due to rounding.

Americans, Hmong, other Asian immigrants, Somali and non-Somali black immigrants) will follow as part of the Department of Human Services series on *Welfare Reform Outcomes of Racial/Ethnic and Immigrant Groups in Minnesota*.⁶

Input from readers is invited, both reactions to this report and suggestions for data to include in future reports. The next annual report in this series will describe the family assistance caseload in December 2005.

Statewide Data

For purposes of this report, the MFIP population has been divided into three segments: child-only cases in which the grant includes the children but not their adult caregivers, cases with one eligible adult and cases with two eligible adults. The DWP population, which has no child-only cases, is divided into cases with one or two eligible adult caregivers. The Mille Lacs American Indian Tribal Council took over administration of MFIP-eligible cases in its jurisdiction in January 1999. Their Tribal TANF program is a separate program; tribal cases are only included in Table 1 of this report.

Caseload Data

Table 1 gives the distributions of these MFIP, DWP and Tribal TANF cases and eligible adults and children. There were 37,048 cases receiving family assistance payments from Tribal TANF or MFIP in December 2004,⁷ a drop of 16 percent from a year earlier. One-eligible-adult and two-eligible-adult cases decreased by 20 and 31 percent, respectively, from a year earlier, while child-only cases increased 2 percent. An additional 3,885 cases received family assistance payments from DWP, so the total drop in families getting grants was 8 percent.

Table 1. December 2004 Counts of MFIP, DWP and Tribal TANF Paid Cases and Persons

Characteristics of Cases	Tribal TANF Cases	MFIP Cases					DWP Paid Cases		
		All MFIP Paid Cases	MFIP Child-only Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults			DWP Cases with Eligible Adults		
				One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases
Paid Cases	69 0.2%	36,979 100%	9,894 26.7%	23,288 62.9%	3,797 10.2%	27,085 73.1%	2,831 72.9%	1,054 27.1%	3,885 100%
Eligible Adults	0 0.0%	30,882 100%	0 0.0%	23,288 75.4%	7,594 24.6%	30,882 100.0%	2,831 57.3%	2,108 42.7%	4,939 100%
Eligible Children	136 0.2%	72,094 100%	18,912 26.2%	43,785 60.6%	9,397 13.0%	53,182 73.6%	4,681 61.7%	2,908 38.3%	7,589 100%


Figure 1 gives the history of MFIP plus DWP December caseloads from 1998 to 2004. Between 1998 and 2004, one-eligible-adult cases and two-eligible-adult cases decreased by 27 percent and 21 percent, respectively, while child-only cases increased by 39 percent. (A common reason for a

⁶ Reports from the Program Assessment and Integrity Division can be found on the DHS website http://www.dhs.state.mn.us/main/groups/economic_support/documents/pub/dhs_id_004113.hcsp

⁷ All data for this report were extracted from the DHS data warehouse after the February 2005 download from the MAXIS, MMIS, and SSIS systems.

case to change to child-only is that the caregiver has qualified for Supplemental Security Income [SSI]). Much of the 2004 decline in the MFIP caseload is due to the implementation of DWP as a separate program because DWP cases would have been MFIP cases, absent the new program. The changes for the combined MFIP and DWP caseloads for the 1998 to 2004 period were an 18 percent decrease for one-eligible-adult cases and a 1 percent increase for two-eligible-adult cases. The total number of cases fell from 43,749 in 1998 to 40,864 in 2004 after falling to 40,653 in 2000 and peaking at 44,903 in 2002.

Figure 1. December 2004 MFIP Plus DWP Caseloads



Demographic Characteristics

Table 2 gives the following demographic characteristics⁸ of eligible adults: age, gender, education, marital status, ethnicity and citizenship. Summaries below include comparisons between the MFIP and DWP profiles.

- The average **age** was 30 for MFIP and 31 for DWP. Most caregivers were in their twenties (47 and 45 percent for MFIP and DWP, respectively) or thirties (27 and 31 percent). Nine percent of MFIP participants were teens compared to 5 percent of DWP participants. Under 1 percent of participants were 60 years or older, for either program.
- In **gender** distribution, most eligible adults (82 and 73 percent for MFIP and DWP, respectively) were women. At least 90 percent of adults in one-eligible adult cases were female for both programs.

⁸ Note that characteristics with no bearing on eligibility may not be routinely updated after application, including education, marital status and citizenship. Thus, high school graduation and citizenship may be underreported. Record-keeping improvements encouraged by the state over the last two years may have caused some increases in reported educational level and citizenship and changes in marital status.

- Lack of **education** was an issue for many, with 40 percent lacking high school credentials. According to Census 2000 sample data, 13 percent of the Minnesota population age 18 and older had less than a high school education.
- For **marital status**, 62 percent of all MFIP-eligible adults were listed as never having been married, while 42 percent of DWP adults said they have never married, a 20 percentage point difference. For two-eligible-adult cases, the never-married percentages were 43 and 18 for the two programs.
- Whites were the largest **racial/ethnic group**⁹ (43 and 46 percent of MFIP and DWP eligible adults, respectively) and blacks the second largest (35 and 25 percent). Asians accounted for 6 percent of MFIP participants compared to 19 percent of DWP participants due to the influx of Hmong immigrants from Thailand in the fourth quarter of 2004, with many diverted to DWP. This particular racial/ethnic difference between the two programs is related to other demographic differences, such as in education, marital status, and citizenship as new immigrants are more likely to have two-parent families, less likely to have formal education, and are, of course, not citizens. The other two groups were Hispanic (5 percent of MFIP adults and 6 percent of DWP adults) and American Indian (9 percent and 3 percent).¹⁰
- While 14 percent of all adults eligible on paid family assistance cases in December 2004 were **enrolled in DWP**, the percentages varied across racial/ethnic groups from 35 percent for Asians to 15 percent for Hispanics and 14 percent for whites, 10 percent for blacks, and 5 percent for American Indians.
- Fourteen percent of MFIP-eligible adults in December 2004 lacked U.S. **citizenship**. A higher proportion of DWP-eligible adults were noncitizens (27 percent) because most newly arrived refugee families are diverted from MFIP to DWP and there was the large number of Hmong refugees.
- Sixty-four percent of MFIP-eligible Asian adults were **noncitizens** and 89 percent of those on DWP. The percentage of Asians eligible for MFIP who were noncitizens had dropped from 81 percent in 2000 to 63 percent in 2003. For MFIP and DWP combined in December 2004, 73 percent of the Asians were noncitizens. The next highest rates of noncitizens were among blacks (23 percent for MFIP and 27 percent for DWP) and Hispanics (17 percent and 20 percent). In absolute numbers of adults eligible for MFIP, the biggest groups of noncitizens were blacks (2,522 caregivers for 60 percent of all MFIP noncitizens) and Asians (1,125 or 27 percent). The biggest groups of DWP-eligible noncitizens were Asians (851 for 65 percent of all DWP noncitizens) followed by blacks (327 for 25 percent).

⁹ Race/ethnicity was missing for 144 persons in MFIP and 40 in DWP. The coding method follows the 2000 U.S. Census methodology, with participants asked their racial choice (yes or no for each of five traditional racial categories – American Indian, Asian, black, Pacific Islander, and white) and ethnicity (Hispanic or not).

¹⁰ American Indian counts are for MFIP participants only and do not include Tribal TANF recipients.

**Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults
on December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases**

Adult Characteristics		MFIP Paid Cases			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Eligible Adults	Count	23,288	7,594	30,882	2,831	2,108	4,939
	Percent of All Eligible Adults	75.4%	24.6%	100.0%	57.3%	42.7%	100.0%
Age of Adults	Mean	29.6	30.3	29.8	31.0	32.0	31.4
	Median	27	28	27	29	30	30
	Minimum	13	14	13	18	15	15
	Maximum	94	82	94	59	76	76
Frequency	< 18	550	79	629	0	18	18
		2.4%	1.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%
	18 - 19	1,809	486	2,295	109	96	205
		7.8%	6.4%	7.4%	3.9%	4.6%	4.2%
	20 - 29	11,028	3,508	14,536	1,320	882	2,202
		47.4%	46.2%	47.1%	46.6%	41.8%	44.6%
	30 - 39	6,117	2,234	8,351	872	668	1,540
		26.3%	29.4%	27.0%	30.8%	31.7%	31.2%
	40 - 49	2,956	1,044	4,000	427	303	730
	12.7%	13.7%	13.0%	15.1%	14.4%	14.8%	
	50 - 59	695	193	888	103	119	222
		3.0%	2.5%	2.9%	3.6%	5.6%	4.5%
	60 and over	133	50	183	0	22	22
		0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%	0.4%
Gender	Female	21,390	3,797	25,187	2,548	1,054	3,602
		91.8%	50.0%	81.6%	90.0%	50.0%	72.9%
	Male	1,898	3,797	5,695	283	1,054	1,337
		8.2%	50.0%	18.4%	10.0%	50.0%	27.1%
Education	None or Pre-1st Grade or Unknown	889	423	1,312	265	743	1,008
		3.8%	5.6%	4.2%	9.4%	35.2%	20.4%
	Grade School	1,198	541	1,739	99	110	209
		5.1%	7.1%	5.6%	3.5%	5.2%	4.2%
	Some High School	7,121	2,275	9,396	507	290	797
		30.6%	30.0%	30.4%	17.9%	13.8%	16.1%
	High School Graduate	11,837	3,757	15,594	1,600	802	2,402
		50.8%	49.5%	50.5%	56.5%	38.0%	48.6%
	Some Post-Secondary	1,885	463	2,348	290	123	413
		8.1%	6.1%	7.6%	10.2%	5.8%	8.4%
College Graduate	213	66	279	35	20	55	
	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%	
Graduate Degree	145	69	214	35	20	55	
	0.6%	0.9%	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%	
	High School Graduate or Higher	14,080	4,355	18,435	1,960	965	2,925
		60.5%	57.3%	59.7%	69.2%	45.8%	59.2%
Marital Status	Divorced	2,065	164	2,229	386	28	414
		8.9%	2.2%	7.2%	13.6%	1.3%	8.4%
	Legally Separated	72	6	78	15	0	15
		0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%
	Married, Living with Spouse	1,070	3,845	4,915	79	1,649	1,728
		4.6%	50.6%	15.9%	2.8%	78.2%	35.0%
	Never Married	15,938	3,260	19,198	1,689	377	2,066
		68.4%	42.9%	62.2%	59.7%	17.9%	41.8%
Married, Separated	3,907	311	4,218	608	53	661	
	16.8%	4.1%	13.7%	21.5%	2.5%	13.4%	
Widowed	236	8	244	54	1	55	
	1.0%	0.1%	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	1.1%	

Table 2 – Page 2

Adult Characteristics		MFIP Paid Cases			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Race/Ethnicity	Asian	1,069	697	1,766	174	779	953
		4.6%	9.2%	5.7%	6.1%	37.0%	19.3%
	Black	8,732	2,157	10,889	920	291	1,211
		37.5%	28.4%	35.3%	32.5%	13.8%	24.5%
	Hispanic	1,167	424	1,591	175	104	279
		5.0%	5.6%	5.2%	6.2%	4.9%	5.6%
	American Indian	2,074	738	2,812	117	46	163
		8.9%	9.7%	9.1%	4.1%	2.2%	3.3%
White		9,921	3,466	13,387	1,409	857	2,266
		42.6%	45.6%	43.3%	49.8%	40.7%	45.9%
	Multiple	232	61	293	18	9	27
	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	
Citizenship	Non-U.S.	2,640	1,619	4,259	378	941	1,319
		11.3%	21.3%	13.8%	13.4%	44.6%	26.7%
	U.S.	20,648	5,975	26,623	2,453	1,167	3,620
	88.7%	78.7%	86.2%	86.6%	55.4%	73.3%	
Noncitizens	Asian	649	476	1,125	122	729	851
	Percent of All Asians	60.7%	68.3%	63.7%	70.1%	93.6%	89.3%
	Black	1,687	835	2,522	203	124	327
	Percent of All Blacks	19.3%	38.7%	23.2%	22.1%	42.6%	27.0%
	Hispanic	189	85	274	35	21	56
	Percent of All Hispanics	16.2%	20.0%	17.2%	20.0%	20.2%	20.1%
	American Indian	4	1	5	0	0	0
	Percent of All American Indians	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	White	94	207	301	14	64	78
Percent of All Whites	0.9%	6.0%	2.2%	1.0%	7.5%	3.4%	

Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges

Table 3 reports statistics on characteristics of families receiving child-only MFIP and those with adults eligible for MFIP or DWP in separate columns. The sections give case statistics, except for the section on ineligible caregivers and the counts of children at the end of each of the three sections giving data on children in cases.

Family Composition

- Cases were divided into three **family types** determined by whether the caregivers were parents caring for their own children (natural, adopted or step children), relatives caring for relative children only, or a pregnant woman (and sometimes her spouse) responsible for no other children in the household. Fifty-eight percent of child-only assistance cases were headed by the children's parents (ineligible for MFIP for reasons explained below) and the rest by relative caregivers. Most cases with MFIP-eligible adults were headed by parents (96 percent), one percent by relative caregivers, and two percent included a pregnant woman and no children. Ninety-one percent of DWP cases were headed by parents, less than one percent by relatives, and nine percent included a pregnant woman and no children.
- In **two-caregiver families**, the caregivers include the person who applied for assistance and the spouse of the applicant or a non-spouse who is the second parent of a child living in the family. Some cases with one eligible adult have both parents in the home but one is ineligible for assistance. Two-caregiver families accounted for 24 percent of MFIP child-only cases, 19 percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases, and 29 percent of DWP cases. Six percent of MFIP families with one eligible adult were two-caregiver families compared to two percent of DWP families.
- The **two caregivers were married** in 50 percent of the MFIP and 77 percent of the DWP cases in which both caregivers were eligible. Spouses living together headed only four percent of MFIP families and one percent of DWP families with one eligible adult.
- The next section of the table describes program-**ineligible caregivers** in MFIP and DWP families in December 2004. The statistics in this section of the table describe *persons*, not cases. Forty-five percent of the ineligible adult caregivers in MFIP child-only cases were relative caregivers and the rest were parents. Forty percent were receiving SSI payments, 13 percent were undocumented noncitizens, and two percent had been disqualified for fraud or some other reason. Among the 23,288 MFIP cases with one eligible adult, there were 1,313 families with an ineligible caregiver in the household, nearly all parents. Seventy-seven percent of these ineligible adults were receiving SSI, 11 percent were undocumented noncitizens, nine percent had reached their 60th month and opted out so the rest of the family could continue to receive MFIP (up from four percent last year), and two percent had been disqualified for fraud. There were only 55 ineligible adults among the 2,831 DWP cases with one eligible adult. Fifty-three percent of them were receiving SSI, 44 percent were undocumented noncitizens, and four percent had been disqualified for fraud.

- The numbers of program-**eligible children** in the family living in the household are reported next, followed by the numbers of **ineligible children**¹¹ and **all minor children**. MFIP and DWP families tended to be small, with two children on the average. Seventy-four percent of child-only assistance families and 72 percent of the MFIP families with eligible adults had only one or two children total. Families with no children included pregnant women with no other children in the household, a few cases that were transitioning on or off assistance that month with children entering or reentering or leaving the household, and some cases whose applicants had children who were teen parents with their own case. Fewer than two percent of all MFIP and DWP families had more than six children. MFIP families with two eligible adults tended to have more children, 2.6 on the average, than families with one eligible adult (2.0). Comparable numbers for DWP families were 2.8 versus 1.7, respectively.
- The median **age of the youngest child** of all minor children living in the family was nine years for child-only cases, four years for MFIP cases with eligible adults, and five years for DWP cases. Families with eligible adults tended to have young children. Two-thirds of these families included a child under the age of six. Families with two eligible adults tended to have younger children than families with one eligible adult. Thirty-four percent of two-eligible-adult MFIP cases had a child under age one year compared with 21 percent of the cases headed by one eligible adult. Comparable figures for DWP families were 26 percent and 11 percent, respectively. Children on MFIP child-only cases tended to be older, with 36 percent under age six and 29 percent teens.

Residence

- The counties where families received assistance were grouped into the following **regions**: Hennepin County, Ramsey County, Twin Cities metro suburban counties (Anoka, Carver, Chisago, Dakota, Isanti, Scott, Sherburne, Washington, and Wright) and greater Minnesota (the remaining 76 counties). The overall regional distributions of MFIP and DWP cases were similar. About one-third of both MFIP and DWP cases lived in greater Minnesota. The next largest groups lived in Hennepin and Ramsey Counties, with the fewest in the metro suburban counties (14 percent and 18 percent, respectively). Two-eligible-adult MFIP cases were more likely to live in greater Minnesota (43 percent) than two-eligible-adult DWP cases (30 percent). A lower percentage of two-eligible-adult MFIP cases lived in Ramsey County (22 percent) compared to DWP cases (32 percent). The last may have been due to the large number of refugee Hmong families entering DWP in Ramsey County in the fall of 2004.

The urban counties, Hennepin and Ramsey, had a greater share of the welfare population than their percentage of the general population. The 2000 census found 23 percent of all Minnesotans lived in Hennepin County (seven percent less than the percentage of paid MFIP cases with eligible adults), 10 percent in Ramsey County (12 percent less), 25 percent in the metropolitan area suburbs (11 percent more), and 42 percent in the rest of the state (seven percent more). DWP cases showed similar differences compared to census data.

¹¹ About 90 percent of ineligible children are so because of SSI receipt. About 10 percent of ineligible children were undocumented noncitizens, and these were primarily concentrated in the child-only cases.

Challenges

- The last section of the table gives lower bounds for the incidence of three types of family problems.¹² The first measure is **family violence**, signaled by ever having had a time limit exemption or extension for a family violence safety plan during MFIP participation in 2000 to 2004 (comparable to the five-year period in last year's report). This was the case in five percent of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases and less than 2 percent of two-eligible-adult cases. Fewer than one percent of DWP cases had an exemption due to a family violence safety plan when they were on MFIP. These numbers greatly underestimate the occurrence of family violence because they require not only reporting the violence, but also acting on a plan.

The second measure identifies caregivers with a **severe mental health diagnosis** (psychosis, depression, personality disorder, post-traumatic stress syndrome, or anxiety state diagnosis) received during 2004 and during a three-year period (2002 to 2004). Eighteen percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases had a caregiver with a severe mental health diagnosis in 2004, as did 8 percent of DWP cases. For the three-year period, there were 26 percent and 12 percent.¹³

The last section identifies cases with caregivers who had a **chemical dependency diagnosis** during 2004 or during 2002 to 2004. Such cases included 13 percent of MFIP eligible-adult cases and seven percent of DWP cases.

The last two measures are also underestimates because not all health care is received through the publicly-paid health care coverage recorded in administrative records that are the source of these data¹⁴ and, of course, not all persons with these conditions receive a diagnosis.

¹² Data on child protection assessments and determinations of maltreatment have been reported in previous years; updates will be incorporated into this report on the website when available.

¹³ Most of the increase over December 2003 was due to considering the mental health history of all caregivers rather than only eligible caregivers in whether cases were included in the count.

¹⁴ Data were extracted from the medical database of publicly funded services (MMIS) in the DHS data warehouse for adult mental health and chemical dependency diagnoses.

Table 3. Family Composition, Residence and Challenges of December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

Family Composition, Residence, and Problems		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Cases	Count	9,894	23,288	3,797	27,085	2,831	1,054	3,885
	Percent of All Cases	24.2%	57.0%	9.3%	66.3%	6.9%	2.6%	9.5%
Family Type	Natural/Adopted/Step Children	5,739	22,264	3,771	26,035	2,487	1,027	3,514
Count of Cases		58.0%	95.6%	99.3%	96.1%	87.8%	97.4%	90.5%
	Pregnant Only	-	617	10	627	312	24	336
		0.0%	2.6%	0.3%	2.3%	11.0%	2.3%	8.6%
	Relative Care	4,132	335	12	347	28	3	31
		41.8%	1.4%	0.3%	1.3%	1.0%	0.3%	0.8%
Two-caregiver Families	Count of Cases	2,327	1,312	3,797	5,109	55	1,054	1,109
	Percent of Cases in Column	23.5%	5.6%	100.0%	18.9%	1.9%	100.0%	28.5%
Two Caregivers	Count of Cases	2,137	885	1,909	2,794	39	816	855
Married	Percent of Cases in Column	21.6%	3.8%	50.3%	10.3%	1.4%	77.4%	22.0%
Ineligible Caregivers:	Relative Caregivers	5,531	13			-		
		45.3%	1.0%			0.0%		
Count of Persons & Caregivers	SSI Parents	4,906	1,007			29		
		40.1%	76.7%			52.7%		
	Undocumented Noncitizen Parents	1,578	140			24		
		12.9%	10.7%			43.6%		
	MFIP Disqualified Parents	180	29			2		
		1.5%	2.2%			3.6%		
	Reached 60th Month And Opted Out	1	124			-		
		0.0%	9.4%			0.0%		
	Other Parents	24	-			-		
		0.2%	0.0%			0.0%		
	Count of Ineligible Caregivers	12,220	1,313			55		
Number of Eligible Children in Family & Household	Mean	1.9	1.9	2.5	2.0	1.7	2.8	2.0
	Count of Cases	9,894	23,288	3,797	27,085	2,831	1,054	3,885
	Median	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
	Minimum	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maximum	10	13	12	13	9	11	11
	Frequency of Cases	0	0	1,005	33	1,038	335	32
			0.0%	4.3%	0.9%	3.8%	11.8%	3.0%
	1	5,035	10,536	1,215	11,751	1,221	276	1,497
		50.9%	45.2%	32.0%	43.4%	43.1%	26.2%	38.5%
	2	2,658	6,244	1,095	7,339	723	263	986
		26.9%	26.8%	28.8%	27.1%	25.5%	25.0%	25.4%
	3	1,198	3,154	645	3,799	346	194	540
		12.1%	13.5%	17.0%	14.0%	12.2%	18.4%	13.9%
	4 - 6	874	2,124	704	2,828	187	239	426
		8.8%	9.1%	18.5%	10.4%	6.6%	22.7%	11.0%
	7 - 9	122	206	97	303	19	45	64
		1.2%	0.9%	2.6%	1.1%	0.7%	4.3%	1.6%
	10 or more	7	19	8	27	0	5	5
		0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%
	Count of Eligible Children	18,912	43,785	9,397	53,182	4,681	2,908	7,589
Number of Ineligible Children in Family & Household	Frequency of Cases	0	8,956	21,651	3,546	25,197	2,749	1,017
			90.5%	93.0%	93.4%	93.0%	97.1%	96.5%
	1	777	1,451	217	1,668	76	33	109
		7.9%	6.2%	5.7%	6.2%	2.7%	3.1%	2.8%
	2	131	163	29	192	5	4	9
		1.3%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
	3 or more	30	23	5	28	1	0	1
		0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Count of Ineligible Children	1,134	1,848	290	2,138	89	41	130
	Percent of All Children in Column	5.7%	4.0%	3.0%	3.9%	1.9%	1.4%	1.7%

Table 3 – Page 2

Family Composition, Residence, and Problems		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases			
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases	
Number of All Minor Children in Family & Household	Mean	2.0	2.0	2.6	2.0	1.7	2.8	2.0	
	Count of Cases	9,894	23,288	3,797	27,085	2,831	1,054	3,885	
	Median	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	
	Minimum	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maximum	11	13	12	13	9	11	11	
	Frequency of Cases	0	0	664	13	677	316	24	340
			0.0%	2.9%	0.3%	2.5%	11.2%	2.3%	8.8%
		1	4,631	10,334	1,165	11,499	1,215	278	1,493
			46.8%	44.4%	30.7%	42.5%	42.9%	26.4%	38.4%
		2	2,699	6,338	1,088	7,426	723	261	984
			27.3%	27.2%	28.7%	27.4%	25.5%	24.8%	25.3%
		3	1,352	3,354	672	4,026	360	195	555
			13.7%	14.4%	17.7%	14.9%	12.7%	18.5%	14.3%
		4 - 6	1,067	2,340	748	3,088	196	245	441
		10.8%	10.0%	19.7%	11.4%	6.9%	23.2%	11.4%	
7 - 9	138	231	100	331	21	45	66		
	1.4%	1.0%	2.6%	1.2%	0.7%	4.3%	1.7%		
10 or more	7	27	11	38	0	6	6		
	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%		
Count of All Children		20,046	45,633	9,687	55,320	4,770	2,949	7,719	
Age of Youngest Child (All Children)	Mean	8.5	4.7	2.9	4.4	6.0	3.6	5.3	
	Count of Cases	9,894	22,624	3,784	26,408	2,515	1,030	3,545	
	Median	9	3	1	3	5	2	4	
	Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maximum	19	19	18	19	18	18	18	
	Frequency of Cases	< 1 year old	631	4,726	1,293	6,019	278	267	545
			6.4%	20.9%	34.2%	22.8%	11.1%	25.9%	15.4%
		1-5 years old	2,920	10,564	1,794	12,358	1,105	534	1,639
			29.5%	46.7%	47.4%	46.8%	43.9%	51.8%	46.2%
		6-10 years old	2,335	3,685	391	4,076	576	118	694
			23.6%	16.3%	10.3%	15.4%	22.9%	11.5%	19.6%
		11-12 years old	1,099	1,176	117	1,293	166	36	202
			11.1%	5.2%	3.1%	4.9%	6.6%	3.5%	5.7%
	13-15 years old	1,705	1,595	135	1,730	276	39	315	
	17.2%	7.1%	3.6%	6.6%	11.0%	3.8%	8.9%		
>15 years old	1,204	878	54	932	114	36	150		
	12.2%	3.9%	1.4%	3.5%	4.5%	3.5%	4.2%		
Region	Hennepin County	3,096	7,136	844	7,980	763	219	982	
		31.3%	30.6%	22.2%	29.5%	27.0%	20.8%	25.3%	
	Ramsey County	2,054	5,207	817	6,024	539	336	875	
		20.8%	22.4%	21.5%	22.2%	19.0%	31.9%	22.5%	
	Metro Suburban	1,334	3,158	497	3,655	510	181	691	
	13.5%	13.6%	13.1%	13.5%	18.0%	17.2%	17.8%		
Greater Minnesota	3,410	7,787	1,639	9,426	1,019	318	1,337		
	34.5%	33.4%	43.2%	34.8%	36.0%	30.2%	34.4%		
Family Violence	MFIP Exemption or Extension During 1999 - 2004		1,230	64	1,294	13	3	16	
			5.3%	1.7%	4.8%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	
Adult Mental Health Diagnosis (All Caregivers)	During 2002 - 2004	2,819	6,035	937	6,972	361	103	464	
		28.5%	25.9%	24.7%	25.7%	12.8%	9.8%	11.9%	
	During 2004	2,099	4,265	660	4,925	237	75	312	
		21.2%	18.3%	17.4%	18.2%	8.4%	7.1%	8.0%	
Adult Chemical Dependency Diagnosis (All Caregivers)	During 2002 - 2004	1,126	4,537	1,033	5,570	307	96	403	
		11.4%	19.5%	27.2%	20.6%	10.8%	9.1%	10.4%	
	During 2004	682	2,775	634	3,409	205	63	268	
		6.9%	11.9%	16.7%	12.6%	7.2%	6.0%	6.9%	

Economic Characteristics

Table 4 reports economic characteristics of Minnesota family assistance cases that received an MFIP or DWP grant in December 2004. An MFIP grant could include a cash portion and a food portion or it could be food-only; only an MFIP grant with cash would be counted against the 60-month time limit. DWP was only a cash program, Food Support could be applied for separately, and DWP months did not count against the time limit. The economic variables are eligibility for several assistance programs, amounts received, employment, sanctions, deductions and child support.

Reported for the first time in these annual reports, in addition to the DWP program, are months of DWP eligibility, Food Support, wages from jobs covered by Unemployment Insurance, sanction months counted toward the 100 percent sanction, deductions to the MFIP grant for cases with SSI members or a housing subsidy, and children included in the family cap. MFIP exemptions are no longer reported because universal participation for MFIP started July 1, 2004, and all exemptions expired when cases were recertified at their annual review; employment plans can be written to accommodate participants as appropriate.

- **Welfare use in Minnesota** was defined for this report series as the number of months a case was active in Minnesota on AFDC, Family General Assistance (FGA), MFIP, or Tribal TANF in the nine-year period ending with the December profiled, between January 1996 and December 2004 for this report.¹⁵ The median number of months for MFIP cases with eligible adults was 33 months, for child-only cases 57 months, and zero months for DWP cases (although the mean for the latter was 10 months). Nineteen percent of cases with two eligible adults, 26 percent of cases with one eligible adult, and 48 percent of child-only assistance cases had been active cases in Minnesota for more than 60 months total (including AFDC, Family General Assistance, MFIP, and TANF in other states) in the previous nine years, while four percent of DWP cases had received family public assistance for over five years total. (A former MFIP case can reopen as a DWP case only if there has been at least a 12-month period since it was an active MFIP case.)
- The number of **counted months** toward the 60-month lifetime limit, including months of TANF received in other states, is given for the eligible adult on the case who had the greater number of counted months.¹⁶ By December 2004, 20 percent of active paid MFIP cases with eligible adults had already used more than 48 of the 60 months allowed under TANF

¹⁵ Administrative records on the current system (MAXIS) go back to 1991, and the nine-year period has been used to provide a comparison with the welfare-length results in the December 1999 through 2003 characteristics reports. Prior MFIP months would include months in child-only cases, cases with eligible adults, and tribal TANF cases which were always for the previous nine-year period.

¹⁶ This was the maximum number of months of counted eligibility for TANF and/or MFIP between September 1996 and December 2004 of any adult eligible on the case; the lifetime limit of TANF eligibility as of December 2004 was 60 months. Minnesota started counting TANF time in July 1997; several other states started counting sooner, as early as September 1996. Totals include months counted in other states. Minnesota counted months can be less than federally counted months because Minnesota has in the past funded more types of time-limit exemptions. Federal exemptions were for families living in Indian country with a not-employed rate of at least 50 percent and for family violence cases cooperating with an alternative employment plan. Additional state time-limit exemptions were for a person age 60 or older, a minor parent complying with the education requirements in the plan, and an 18 or 19 year old parent complying with the education requirements in the plan.

legislation. Thirty-two percent of active cases had used more than 36 months. The median number of counted months was 23.

DWP months do not count toward the 60-month TANF lifetime limit. By December 2004, fewer than three percent of DWP cases with eligible adults had used more than 48 of the 60 months allowed. Six percent of DWP active cases had more than 36 counted months.

- DWP is a four-month program. Cases are closed by the fifth month unless they have been converted to MFIP. The table divides open DWP cases in December 2004 by **months since the start of DWP eligibility**. Twenty-seven percent of DWP cases were in their first month. Twenty-one percent of the DWP cases active in December 2004 were in their fourth and last month of DWP eligibility.¹⁷
- An MFIP case can be **extended beyond the 60-month time limit** if a hardship that is a permitted extension has been documented. Eight percent of all MFIP cases with eligible adults in December 2004 had been extended beyond 60 months. Reasons why extensions were granted included an ill or incapacitated caregiver (22 percent of all extended cases in December 2004), a family with special medical criteria (19 percent), and a caregiver with an IQ score below 80 (19 percent). Eight percent of extended cases had either a single parent working at least 30 hours or two parents working a total of 55 hours. Extensions for employment were down from 14 percent in December 2003. A case sanctioned for not being in compliance with Employment Services (ES) or child support in month 60 can never be extended.
- Twenty percent of the December 2004 cases with eligible adults and 16 percent of the child-only cases were **new to welfare** in Minnesota in 2004.¹⁸ Sixteen percent of new child-only cases and 24 percent of new eligible adult cases had **moved into the state** during the year 2004 (some may have been families returning to Minnesota). The percentages for cases that were new to Minnesota in 2004 for eligible adults was considerably lower than the comparable percentages in 2003 (26 percent and 35 percent, respectively), due in part to the effect of DWP implementation. Families new to welfare in Minnesota must participate in DWP unless they are ineligible or determined to be unlikely to benefit from DWP. Sixty-seven percent of DWP cases were new to welfare in Minnesota and 49 percent of new cases had moved into the state in 2004. The most frequent states from which they came were Illinois (340), Wisconsin (100), Texas (94), and California (76), but the biggest influx came from foreign countries (1,110), with the large number of Hmong entering the country from Thailand.
- For cases with eligible adults, the average **cash grant**¹⁹ was \$338 for MFIP cases and \$409 for DWP cases. The mean **MFIP food portion** was \$303. While the MFIP program combined cash and food assistance, DWP was a cash program only. DWP participants could apply for

¹⁷ An analysis of fifth month DWP outcomes by monthly cohort can be found at: <http://edocs.dhs.state.mn.us/lfsrver/Legacy/DHS-4563A-ENG>.

¹⁸ Defined as cases that were not active in Minnesota at any time from 1992 through 2003. New welfare cases for 2004 were December 2004 cases active in a family cash assistance program in Minnesota for one or more months in 2004 but active for zero months in 1992 through 2003 (the period covered by the administrative data base). Cases new to the state had a 2004 state entry date. Minnesota residents were either lifelong residents or had moved into the state before 2004. (This definition is consistent with definitions in the December 1999 through December 2003 reports.)

¹⁹ The means for cash grants and food portion were calculated using the grant amounts for all cases (including zero cash or food portion for some MFIP cases).

Food Support²⁰ and 92 percent of these cases got an average of \$349 from this source. Eleven percent of MFIP cases and one percent of DWP cases received Food Support for a household member who was not eligible for the cash program (“Uncle Harry” cases). A small number of MFIP cases got other Food Support separate from the MFIP grant, usually expedited at MFIP application in place of the food portion for the first month of MFIP.

- MFIP grants include both cash and a part that can be spent only for food. As families work their way toward leaving assistance, their cash grant is decreased first so that some families receive only the food portion. If a case receives only the food portion, the month is not counted toward the lifetime limit. Sometimes cases with small cash grants opt out of the cash portion they would otherwise receive so that the month will not be counted (eight percent of all MFIP cases not getting a cash grant). Thirteen percent of MFIP cases with eligible adults in December 2004 received **food-only assistance** for an average MFIP grant of \$251, similar to December 2003.
- A **working** case is defined as a case with at least one caregiver with earned income, usually verified by later presenting pay stubs dated during the month, and in some circumstances based on expected income (e.g., a working case that closes before verification was required). A similar percentage of all DWP cases (36 percent) and all MFIP cases with eligible adults (38 percent) had a caregiver working and earning income paid during December 2004. Only 39 percent of two-eligible-adult DWP cases were at work, compared to 56 percent of two-eligible-adult MFIP cases,²¹ but the percentage of noncitizens was much higher for DWP two-eligible-adult cases (45 percent) than for MFIP two-eligible-adult cases (14 percent), likely a factor in this difference.
- **Total income**²² earned in the month of December 2004 was computed on cases with earned income of any type in December 2004. Mean earned income, excluding cases with no earnings, was virtually identical for MFIP cases with eligible adults (\$947) and DWP cases (\$957).
- **Budgeted earnings** are total earnings with certain kinds and percentages of earnings²³ subtracted to yield an amount that is then deducted from the MFIP grant level for work status

²⁰ The means only included cases with Food Support greater than zero.

²¹ Note that this report, in common with all the reports in this series, excludes active but suspended cases that often include a working adult close to earning enough to lose MFIP eligibility, usually cases getting extra (three biweekly or five weekly) paychecks in the month.

²² Total earned income was either actual or projected December 2004 income of eligible adults and other adults whose earnings were deemed for the case. The amount used was either verified earnings for December 2004 or zero for cases still active in February 2005 with no income reported for December 2004 or prospective December 2004 income for new cases with retrospective data missing and for all migrant cases. Total income was gross income except for the self-employed where it was gross less expense, with a minimum of zero. Mean total income excluded cases with no verified or expected income from eligible or deemed adults in December 2004.

²³ These budgeted earnings are the amount on the December budget for the case, whether retrospective (based on income reported as earned in October) or prospective (based on projected income for December for cases in the first two months on MFIP, cases with a significant change in income, and for most migrants). Budgeted earnings equal the actual or projected earnings less the following: child support payments and other allocations, expenses for self-employment income, work study earnings, earnings of students under age 20 attending school at least half-time, and a 36% disregard. (Earnings of caregivers and any other adults deemed financially responsible to support children in the case are included.) Budgeted earnings are deducted from the family wage level, which is based on the number of family

and family size to determine the size of the MFIP grant paid. The budgeted earnings used for calculating December 2004 grants were based on verified income earned in October or, for new cases and migrants, prospective earnings for December. The mean budgeted earnings were \$329 for MFIP cases and \$308 for DWP cases.

- The average numbers of **work hours**²⁴ reported for the month were similar for MFIP (109 hours for working cases with eligible adults) and DWP (106 hours). The percentages of all cases with at least 160 hours reported for the month were also similar (10 percent for MFIP and 9 percent for DWP).
- Employers covered by the Unemployment Insurance (UI) system (which excludes federal government, religious, and seasonal workers) must report wages to the state. The table gives the average **second quarter 2004 wages** reported for participants' jobs covered by UI as a measure of recent work history and earnings. Similar percentages of MFIP cases with eligible adults (43 percent) and DWP cases (45 percent) earned wages from jobs covered by UI in that quarter. The average amounts earned, however, were quite different between the two programs – \$2,183 for MFIP and \$4,147 for DWP cases. The second quarter predates DWP application (the earliest any of these DWP cases started was September), so for many or most of the DWP cases the second quarter was before the occurrence of whatever caused them to apply, often loss of a job. They had either never been on MFIP or had been off for at least a year before starting in DWP. Many MFIP participants were on that program in the second quarter. Therefore, this difference in amount of income is not surprising.
- MFIP cases not complying with ES requirements are **sanctioned** with a reduction of their grant amount.²⁵ Child-only cases are not required to participate. Seven percent of all MFIP cases with eligible adults were in sanction status in December 2004. Beginning July 1, 2003, sanction months have been counted, and a 100 percent sanction can be applied to close a case with a total of six sanctioned months, not necessarily consecutive. Case closings for this reason started in January 2004. Three percent of cases had six or more counted sanction months and could be closed the next month. DWP cases that do not comply can be closed after the first occurrence.
- **Housing subsidy** data are reported for MFIP cases if the subsidy has been verified with a shelter form, a lease or another document. In December 2004, 29 percent of eligible adult cases received housing subsidies, the same as in 2003, and the average subsidy amount was \$581.
- The percentage of MFIP cases with any adult or child in the family receiving **SSI** was highest for child-only cases (47 percent) because disability of the caregiver is one of the main reasons a

members eligible and is higher than the basic MFIP grant level for unemployed recipients. Mean budgeted earnings in the table were computed for cases which had budgeted earnings greater than zero.

²⁴ The work hours reported for eligible and other deeming adults with earned income as defined above. The hours are totals for the case. The percentages of all cases with hours reported are slightly smaller than the percentages reported working because of missing hours. Mean hours only included cases with any hours reported.

²⁵ For one eligible adult not complying in 2004, the first ES sanction was 10 percent, while the first sanction for two eligible adults both not complying was 30 percent. If not fixed, the sanction was increased the next month to the maximum of 30%. The percentage of all cases with each level of sanction is given, as well as the percentage of all non-exempt cases.

cases would have no eligible adults. Ten percent of MFIP cases with eligible adults received SSI compared to four percent of DWP cases.

- Beginning in July 2003, the legislature mandated **deductions to MFIP grants** for cases receiving **SSI** (\$125 maximum deduction per person) and **housing subsidies** (\$50 maximum deduction per case). Forty-two percent of child-only MFIP cases had the SSI deduction and one percent had a housing subsidy deduction for an average grant reduction of \$145 for the 43 percent of child-only cases getting a deduction. For MFIP cases with eligible adults, the housing deduction affected more cases (21 percent) than the SSI deduction (eight percent) for an average deduction of \$77 for the 28 percent of these cases receiving a deduction. There were 475 additional cases that did not have a deduction taken because their cash grant was already zero.
- The legislature also instituted a **family cap**, a policy of not increasing the cash grant amount for cases with children conceived when the family was receiving MFIP. Starting July 2003, any births after 10 months of eligibility did not result in an increase as it would have previously. Four percent of MFIP cases with eligible adults and 0.4 percent of child-only cases were subject to a family cap on benefits as of December 2004.
- **Child support disbursements**,²⁶ both current and arrears, are reported. In December 2004, \$1.1 million in child support collected was disbursed to MFIP families with eligible adults, down from \$1.4 million the previous December, a 19 percent decrease, related to the decrease in the size of the program.²⁷ Sixty-nine percent of this amount was disbursed for current child support and the rest for arrears. All current support was passed through to custodial families and counted dollar-for-dollar against MFIP grants. In December 2004, current child support was paid directly to 13 percent of MFIP families with eligible adults and 11 percent of MFIP child-only cases.

²⁶ Disbursements were paid to December 2004 MFIP families from payments made for child support on behalf of children in the case. This includes both current payments and payments made for arrears on past months. Before 2001, current payments were retained by the state for current MFIP expenses and arrears were retained if arrears were owed to the state. Starting January 1, 2001, current payments have been passed through to the non-custodial parent, as well as arrears incurred since that date, and counted dollar-for-dollar against the MFIP grant. Arrears owned to the government prior to 2001 were still retained.

²⁷ Child support data are only released to this division of DHS for people who have been part of an AFDC or MFIP case, so very incomplete data for child support disbursed to DWP cases were available and therefore are not reported here.

Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases

Economic Characteristics of Cases	Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Cases	9,894	23,268	3,797	27,065	2,831	1,054	3,885
Percent of All Cases	24.2%	57.0%	9.3%	66.3%	6.9%	2.6%	9.5%
Mean	58.6	40.9	35.3	40.1	11.4	6.1	9.9
Median	57	34	28	33	0	0	0
No AFDC or MFIP Months	18	0	0	0	1,589	760	2,349
1 - 12 Months	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	56.1%	72.1%	60.5%
13 - 24 Months	1,541	4,849	893	5,742	453	137	590
25 - 36 Months	15.6%	20.8%	23.5%	21.2%	16.0%	13.0%	15.2%
37 - 48 Months	1,099	4,244	842	5,086	290	60	350
49 - 60 Months	11.1%	18.2%	22.2%	18.8%	10.2%	5.7%	9.0%
Over 60 Months	898	3,265	565	3,830	166	38	204
Mean	9.1%	14.0%	14.9%	14.1%	5.9%	3.6%	5.3%
Median	820	2,674	452	3,126	127	18	145
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case	8.3%	11.5%	11.9%	11.5%	4.5%	1.7%	3.7%
Frequency of Cases	757	2,253	335	2,588	77	24	101
Percent of Cases in Column	7.7%	9.7%	8.8%	9.6%	2.7%	2.3%	2.6%
Mean	4,761	6,003	710	6,713	129	17	146
Median	48.1%	25.8%	18.7%	24.8%	4.6%	1.6%	3.8%
Counted MFIP Months	10.6	27.3	24.9	27.0	8.8	4.6	7.7
Sept. 1996 to Dec. 2004	0	24	21	23	0	0	0
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case	6,812	1,392	104	1,496	1,550	757	2,307
Frequency of Cases	68.8%	6.0%	2.7%	5.5%	54.8%	71.8%	59.4%
Mean	660	5,669	1,127	6,796	542	156	698
Median	6.7%	24.3%	29.7%	25.1%	19.1%	14.8%	18.0%
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case	456	4,806	897	5,703	332	68	400
Frequency of Cases	4.6%	20.6%	23.6%	21.1%	11.7%	6.5%	10.3%
Mean	460	3,668	635	4,302	205	40	245
Median	4.6%	15.8%	16.7%	15.9%	7.2%	3.8%	6.3%
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case	265	1,558	274	1,832	59	10	69
Frequency of Cases	2.7%	6.7%	7.2%	6.8%	2.1%	0.9%	1.8%
Mean	259	1,397	205	1,603	61	5	66
Median	2.6%	6.0%	5.4%	5.9%	2.2%	0.5%	1.7%
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case	232	1,304	198	1,502	51	13	64
Frequency of Cases	2.3%	5.6%	5.2%	5.5%	1.8%	1.2%	1.6%
Mean	750	3,494	357	3,851	31	5	36
Median	7.6%	15.0%	9.4%	14.2%	1.1%	0.5%	0.9%

Table 4 – Page 3

Economic Characteristics of Cases	Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
New Cases in 2004	1,293	3,518	4,051	4,051	1,058	282	1,340
Minnesota Resident before 2004	84.0%	78.0%	67.2%	76.4%	59.1%	34.7%	51.5%
Percent of New Cases Moved into State in 2004	247	994	260	1,254	732	530	1,262
Percent of New Cases	16.0%	22.0%	32.8%	23.6%	40.9%	65.3%	48.6%
Total of New Cases	1,540	4,512	793	5,305	1,790	812	2,602
Percent of All Cases	15.6%	19.4%	20.9%	19.6%	63.2%	77.0%	67.0%
MFIP or DWP Cash Grants	\$266	\$332	\$374	\$338	\$355	\$553	\$409
MFIP Food Portion	\$214	\$288	\$390	\$303	///	///	///
Food Support - "Uncle Harry" Cases	\$110	\$103	\$110	\$104	\$188	\$301	\$217
Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	47.7%	11.8%	7.8%	11.3%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
Mean of Cases Receiving	\$205	\$326	\$464	\$352	\$301	\$476	\$349
Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	1.7%	3.3%	4.7%	3.5%	91.9%	93.3%	92.3%
MFIP Food-Only Assistance	\$150	\$233	\$322	\$251	///	///	///
Mean	822	2,843	731	3,574	///	///	///
Percent of All Cases	8.3%	12.2%	19.3%	13.2%	///	///	///
Count of Cases	795	8,163	2,124	10,287	979	414	1,393
Percent of All Cases	8.0%	35.1%	55.9%	38.0%	34.6%	39.3%	35.9%
Income / Working	\$856	\$887	\$1,176	\$947	\$844	\$1,225	\$957
December Total Eamed Income	\$657	\$768	\$1,032	\$814	\$693	\$1,009	\$783
Mean of Working Cases	///	\$297	\$452	\$329	\$260	\$420	\$308
Median	///	\$222	\$419	\$256	\$123	\$320	\$174
Mean of Working Cases	97	102	134	109	94	133	106
Median	91	100	128	102	85	124	98
1 - 79 Hours	299	2,959	519	3,478	410	112	522
Percent of All Cases	3.0%	12.7%	13.7%	12.8%	14.5%	10.6%	13.4%
80 - 119 Hours	161	1,881	405	2,286	204	72	276
Percent of All Cases	1.6%	8.1%	10.7%	8.4%	7.2%	6.8%	7.1%
120 - 159 Hours	116	1,487	358	1,845	158	63	221
Percent of All Cases	1.2%	6.4%	9.4%	6.8%	5.6%	6.0%	5.7%
160 Hours or More	191	1,748	814	2,562	192	161	353
Percent of All Cases	1.9%	7.5%	21.4%	9.5%	6.8%	15.3%	9.1%
Mean of Cases with UI Wages	\$5,280	\$2,015	\$2,871	\$2,183	\$3,669	\$5,589	\$4,147
Median	\$4,743	\$1,546	\$2,321	\$1,701	\$3,337	\$5,224	\$3,714
Count of Cases	2,664	9,414	2,294	11,708	1,307	433	1,740
Percent of All Cases	26.9%	40.4%	60.4%	43.2%	46.2%	41.1%	44.8%

Table 4 – Page 4

Economic Characteristics of Cases	Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Employment Services Sanctions		514	57	571			
10 % ES Sanction		1,052	178	1,230			
30 % ES Sanction		1,566	235	1,801			
All Cases with ES Sanctions		6.7%	6.2%	6.6%			
Percent of All Cases	21	723	132	855			
Six or More Counted Sanction Months	0.2%	3.1%	3.5%	3.2%			
Percent of All Cases	\$528	\$550	\$581				
Housing Subsidy	2,617	914	7,934				
Mean Amount in Budget	26.5%	30.1%	29.3%				
Count of Cases	4,647	2,499	2,743				
Percent of Cases	47.0%	10.7%	10.1%		106	36	142
Cases with Adult and/or Child Receiving SSI	4,151	186	2,274				
Deductions to MFIP Grant	42.0%	9.0%	8.4%				
SSI (Maximum \$125 per person)	74	4,959	5,616				
Housing (Maximum \$50 per case)	0.7%	21.3%	20.7%				
Percent of All Cases	4,214	6,760	7,578				
Percent of All Cases	42.6%	29.0%	28.0%				
Percent of All Cases	\$145	\$78	\$77				
Mean Deduction > \$0	43	877	1,151				
One child	1	19	25				
Two children	0.4%	3.8%	4.3%				
Percentage of Cases	\$193	\$223	\$222				
Child Support Disbursements:	\$165	\$200	\$199				
Average of Payments > \$0	1,112	3,320	3,474				
Current Mean	11.2%	14.3%	12.8%				
Median	\$214,511	\$739,914	\$772,050				
Count	\$128	\$126	\$125				
Percent of Cases	\$60	\$64	\$63				
Sum	900	2,629	2,768				
Arrears Mean	9.1%	11.3%	10.2%				
Median	\$114,808	\$332,332	\$346,588				
Count	\$230	\$264	\$263				
Percent of Cases	\$173	\$211	\$210				
Sum	1,433	4,054	4,255				
Total Mean	14.5%	17.4%	15.7%				
Median	\$328,319	\$1,072,246	\$1,118,638				
Count		\$46,392					
Percent of Cases							
Sum							

County and Regional Data

The following section presents data by county and geographic region.²⁸ Tables 5 and 6 present distributions of MFIP and DWP cases by type; Table 6 includes county and regional poverty rates from the U.S. census. Tables 7 through 18 contain demographic and economic data for cases with one eligible adult. Tables 19 through 21 contain economic data for cases with two eligible adults. Please note that percentages and means will be less stable and extreme values more common for counties with small caseloads.

Caseload Data

- Table 5 shows the total number of MFIP and DWP paid cases and number of each type by county and region.
- Table 6 provides a count of all MFIP and DWP cases and each county and region's percentage of all cases in Minnesota. To provide economic context, each county and region's poverty rate for families with related children under age 18 from the U.S. census is presented alongside case counts.

Demographic Characteristics

- Tables 7 and 8 contain data on age, gender, education, and marital status of recipients, for MFIP and DWP participants, respectively. Due to the small number of DWP participants in 2004, demographic data are presented for each of the eight largest counties and by geographic regions rather than each individual county.
- Tables 9 and 10 present race/ethnicity distributions and citizenship status of participants with one eligible adult for the MFIP and DWP programs, respectively. MFIP data are presented by the eight largest counties and geographic regions and DWP data are presented by the two largest counties and largest regions.

Family Composition, Residence and Challenges

- Table 11 shows the distribution of family type for MFIP and DWP cases with one eligible adult. The three family types are defined in the statewide discussion.
- Tables 12 and 13 provide data on the number of children and age of the youngest child living in households with one-eligible adult, for the MFIP and DWP programs, respectively. These tables include both eligible and ineligible children.
- Table 14 gives the lower bound of administrative data for three types of challenges faced by families: family violence, adult chemical dependency, and adult mental health diagnoses. MFIP and DWP cases are both included in the table. Data are provided for the eight largest counties and by geographic region.

²⁸ See notes 8-10 relating to demographics in Tables 7 to 10. See note 11 relating to family composition in Tables 11 to 13. See notes 12-14 relating to challenges in Table 14. See notes 15-27 relating to economic characteristics in Tables 15 to 21.

Economic Characteristics

- Tables 15 and 16 have three main columns. The first column shows the average number of months of assistance between January 1996 and December 2004 and the number of cases with more than 60 months of assistance during that time. The second column shows the average number of months counted toward the 60-month MFIP time limit and the number of cases with more than 48 months accumulated as of December 2004. The third column shows the number of new cases in 2004 and the number of those cases that were also new residents in Minnesota during 2004. Table 15 shows MFIP cases by county and geographic region and Table 16 shows DWP cases by the largest eight counties and geographic region.
- Table 17 includes two main sections: food-only MFIP cases and MFIP cases with budgeted earnings. The table provides the number and percent of food-only MFIP cases for each county and geographic region. For MFIP cases with budgeted earnings, the table provides the average amount of budgeted earnings, number and percent of cases with an adult worker, average income per month, and the average number of hours worked each month.
- Table 18 presents data on MFIP cases that were extended or sanctioned in the previous 12 months and child support information. Child support data include the count and percent of cases receiving current child support disbursements and the amount of child support disbursed in December 2004.
- Tables 19 to 21 provide the same data as Tables 15 to 18 for families with two eligible adults receiving MFIP.

Table 5. December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases, by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP			MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	
Aitkin	28	0.3%	47	22	69	0.3%	4	1	5	0.1%	
Anoka	437	4.4%	1,063	197	1,260	4.7%	177	81	258	6.6%	
Becker	106	1.1%	202	33	235	0.9%	9	6	15	0.4%	
Beltrami	349	3.5%	715	199	914	3.4%	40	12	52	1.3%	
Benton	44	0.4%	120	20	140	0.5%	22	5	27	0.7%	
Big Stone	5	0.1%	11	5	16	0.0%	2	0	2	0.1%	
Blue Earth	58	0.6%	185	59	244	0.9%	19	10	29	0.7%	
Brown	13	0.1%	35	11	46	0.2%	6	2	8	0.2%	
Carlton	61	0.6%	130	25	155	0.6%	14	5	19	0.5%	
Carver	57	0.6%	68	10	78	0.3%	14	3	17	0.4%	
Cass	116	1.2%	205	74	279	1.0%	18	10	28	0.7%	
Chippewa	22	0.2%	32	9	41	0.2%	3	1	4	0.1%	
Chisago	40	0.4%	144	19	163	0.6%	26	3	29	0.7%	
Clay	90	0.9%	228	50	278	1.0%	29	11	40	1.0%	
Clearwater	28	0.3%	77	12	89	0.3%	2	0	2	0.1%	
Cook	4	0.0%	8	2	10	0.0%	1	0	1	0.0%	

Table 5 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Cottonwood	20	0.2%	39	6	45	0.2%	11	2	13	0.3%
Crow Wing	97	1.0%	188	35	223	0.8%	25	10	35	0.9%
Dakota	399	4.0%	866	106	972	3.6%	150	43	193	5.0%
Dodge	18	0.2%	28	12	40	0.1%	3	1	4	0.1%
Douglas	34	0.3%	67	11	78	0.3%	12	3	15	0.4%
Faribault	12	0.1%	31	5	36	0.1%	6	4	10	0.3%
Fillmore	10	0.1%	41	4	45	0.2%	5	5	10	0.3%
Freeborn	47	0.5%	111	21	132	0.5%	21	9	30	0.8%
Goodhue	30	0.3%	106	14	120	0.4%	17	2	19	0.5%
Grant	4	0.0%	14	1	15	0.1%	3	0	3	0.1%
Hennepin	3,096	31.3%	7,136	844	7,980	29.5%	763	219	982	25.3%
Houston	14	0.1%	61	6	67	0.2%	21	3	24	0.6%
Hubbard	56	0.6%	76	17	93	0.3%	7	6	13	0.3%
Isanti	46	0.5%	81	23	104	0.4%	13	14	27	0.7%
Itasca	110	1.1%	162	34	196	0.7%	31	10	41	1.1%
Jackson	21	0.2%	23	4	27	0.1%	4	2	6	0.2%

Table 5 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP			MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	
Kanabec	40	0.4%	61	8	69	0.3%	13	4	17	0.4%	
Kandiyohi	74	0.7%	172	41	213	0.8%	33	7	40	1.0%	
Kittson	9	0.1%	5	1	6	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%	
Koochiching	19	0.2%	62	21	83	0.3%	9	2	11	0.3%	
Lac Qui Parle	4	0.0%	8	2	10	0.0%	5	0	5	0.1%	
Lake	10	0.1%	31	3	34	0.1%	5	3	8	0.2%	
Lake of Woods	3	0.0%	9	0	9	0.0%	0	1	1	0.0%	
Le Sueur	28	0.3%	65	11	76	0.3%	9	6	15	0.4%	
Lincoln	6	0.1%	7	2	9	0.0%	2	1	3	0.1%	
Lyon	30	0.3%	70	19	89	0.3%	12	2	14	0.4%	
McLeod	32	0.3%	66	12	78	0.3%	11	7	18	0.5%	
Mahnomen	46	0.5%	86	23	109	0.4%	8	1	9	0.2%	
Marshall	10	0.1%	18	5	23	0.1%	4	3	7	0.2%	
Martin	32	0.3%	79	13	92	0.3%	13	1	14	0.4%	
Meeker	30	0.3%	55	14	69	0.3%	10	6	16	0.4%	
Mille Lacs	65	0.7%	80	17	97	0.4%	9	6	15	0.4%	

Table 5 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP			MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults			DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Morrison	40	0.4%	66	16	82	0.3%	9	3	12	0.3%
Mower	64	0.6%	132	23	155	0.6%	30	5	35	0.9%
Murray	11	0.1%	13	2	15	0.1%	4	0	4	0.1%
Nicollet	28	0.3%	116	23	139	0.5%	25	5	30	0.8%
Nobles	44	0.4%	70	14	84	0.3%	11	3	14	0.4%
Norman	11	0.1%	19	4	23	0.1%	4	2	6	0.2%
Olmsted	172	1.7%	505	101	606	2.2%	92	33	125	3.2%
Otter Tail	52	0.5%	143	26	169	0.6%	24	9	33	0.8%
Pennington	21	0.2%	51	7	58	0.2%	3	4	7	0.2%
Pine	41	0.4%	110	41	151	0.6%	27	3	30	0.8%
Pipestone	11	0.1%	29	4	33	0.1%	5	1	6	0.2%
Polk	47	0.5%	159	36	195	0.7%	13	5	18	0.5%
Pope	10	0.1%	14	3	17	0.1%	6	0	6	0.2%
Ramsey	2,054	20.8%	5,207	817	6,024	22.2%	539	336	875	22.5%
Red Lake	3	0.0%	12	1	13	0.0%	2	1	3	0.1%
Redwood	23	0.2%	37	9	46	0.2%	6	4	10	0.3%

Table 5 – Page 5

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP			MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults			DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Renville	23	0.2%	46	17	63	0.2%	5	0	5	0.1%
Rice	84	0.8%	142	22	164	0.6%	19	4	23	0.6%
Rock	7	0.1%	25	7	32	0.1%	4	0	4	0.1%
Roseau	8	0.1%	21	1	22	0.1%	3	1	4	0.1%
St. Louis	441	4.5%	1,223	205	1,428	5.3%	108	22	130	3.3%
Scott	79	0.8%	136	20	156	0.6%	21	10	31	0.8%
Sherburne	61	0.6%	127	24	151	0.6%	24	6	30	0.8%
Sibley	15	0.2%	28	6	34	0.1%	8	1	9	0.2%
Stearns	147	1.5%	433	63	496	1.8%	53	14	67	1.7%
Steele	45	0.5%	118	16	134	0.5%	18	3	21	0.5%
Stevens	3	0.0%	11	2	13	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%
Swift	14	0.1%	17	5	22	0.1%	3	2	5	0.1%
Todd	33	0.3%	66	29	95	0.4%	11	9	20	0.5%
Traverse	3	0.0%	10	2	12	0.0%	1	0	1	0.0%
Wabasha	20	0.2%	30	3	33	0.1%	7	2	9	0.2%
Wadena	27	0.3%	62	18	80	0.3%	6	3	9	0.2%

Table 5 – Page 6

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Waseca	27	0.3%	65	15	80	0.3%	11	3	14	0.4%
Washington	148	1.5%	451	64	515	1.9%	60	12	72	1.9%
Watonwan	23	0.2%	25	5	30	0.1%	2	0	2	0.1%
Wilkin	3	0.0%	12	0	12	0.0%	1	2	3	0.1%
Winona	67	0.7%	146	27	173	0.6%	19	4	23	0.6%
Wright	67	0.7%	222	34	256	0.9%	25	9	34	0.9%
Yellow Medicine	7	0.1%	15	3	18	0.1%	1	0	1	0.0%

Table 5 – Page 7

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP			MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	
Northwest	109	1.1%	285	55	340	1.3%	29	16	45	1.2%	
West Central	1,100	11.1%	2,251	551	2,802	10.3%	211	86	297	7.6%	
Northeast	673	6.8%	1,663	312	1,975	7.3%	172	43	215	5.5%	
Central	710	7.2%	1,717	333	2,050	7.6%	271	84	355	9.1%	
Southwest	225	2.3%	396	91	487	1.8%	73	18	91	2.3%	
South Central	236	2.4%	629	148	777	2.9%	99	32	131	3.4%	
Southeast	571	5.8%	1,420	249	1,669	6.2%	252	71	323	8.3%	
Metro Suburban	1,120	11.3%	2,584	397	2,981	11.0%	422	149	571	14.7%	
Core Metro	5,150	52.1%	12,343	1,661	14,004	51.7%	1,302	555	1,857	47.8%	
Minnesota	9,894	100.0%	23,288	3,797	27,085	100.0%	2,831	1,054	3,885	100.0%	
			86.0%	14.0%	100.0%		72.9%	27.1%	100.0%		

Table 6. December 2004 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases Compared with Census Data on Poverty, by County

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2004 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2004 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2004 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Aitkin	97	0.3%	5	0.1%	102	0.2%	0.4%	17.3%
Anoka	1,697	4.6%	258	6.6%	1,955	4.8%	4.3%	6.3%
Becker	341	0.9%	15	0.4%	356	0.9%	1.0%	16.4%
Beltrami	1,263	3.4%	52	1.3%	1,315	3.2%	1.8%	21.5%
Benton	184	0.5%	27	0.7%	211	0.5%	0.7%	8.7%
Big Stone	21	0.1%	2	0.1%	23	0.1%	0.1%	12.7%
Blue Earth	302	0.8%	29	0.7%	331	0.8%	1.0%	10.9%
Brown	59	0.2%	8	0.2%	67	0.2%	0.4%	8.5%
Carlton	216	0.6%	19	0.5%	235	0.6%	0.6%	10.1%
Carver	135	0.4%	17	0.4%	152	0.4%	0.8%	4.3%
Cass	395	1.1%	28	0.7%	423	1.0%	0.9%	18.7%
Chippewa	63	0.2%	4	0.1%	67	0.2%	0.3%	11.8%
Chisago	203	0.5%	29	0.7%	232	0.6%	0.7%	7.0%
Clay	368	1.0%	40	1.0%	408	1.0%	1.1%	11.9%
Clearwater	117	0.3%	2	0.1%	119	0.3%	0.3%	18.5%
Cook	14	0.0%	1	0.0%	15	0.0%	0.1%	9.2%
Cottonwood	65	0.2%	13	0.3%	78	0.2%	0.3%	13.6%
Crow Wing	320	0.9%	35	0.9%	355	0.9%	1.3%	12.3%
Dakota	1,371	3.7%	193	5.0%	1,564	3.8%	5.0%	6.0%
Dodge	58	0.2%	4	0.1%	62	0.2%	0.3%	6.4%
Douglas	112	0.3%	15	0.4%	127	0.3%	0.6%	10.1%
Faribault	48	0.1%	10	0.3%	58	0.1%	0.3%	12.1%
Fillmore	55	0.1%	10	0.3%	65	0.2%	0.4%	11.2%
Freeborn	179	0.5%	30	0.8%	209	0.5%	0.6%	11.8%
Goodhue	150	0.4%	19	0.5%	169	0.4%	0.7%	7.9%
Grant	19	0.1%	3	0.1%	22	0.1%	0.1%	11.9%
Hennepin	11,076	30.0%	982	25.3%	12,058	29.5%	25.3%	12.1%
Houston	81	0.2%	24	0.6%	105	0.3%	0.3%	8.5%
Hubbard	149	0.4%	13	0.3%	162	0.4%	0.5%	15.7%
Isanti	150	0.4%	27	0.7%	177	0.4%	0.5%	7.8%

Table 6 – Page 2

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2004 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2004 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2004 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Itasca	306	0.8%	41	1.1%	347	0.8%	1.1%	14.5%
Jackson	48	0.1%	6	0.2%	54	0.1%	0.2%	10.7%
Kanabec	109	0.3%	17	0.4%	126	0.3%	0.4%	12.7%
Kandiyohi	287	0.8%	40	1.0%	327	0.8%	1.0%	13.0%
Kitson	15	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	0.0%	0.1%	10.0%
Koochiching	102	0.3%	11	0.3%	113	0.3%	0.3%	14.9%
Lac Qui Parle	14	0.0%	5	0.1%	19	0.0%	0.1%	10.0%
Lake	44	0.1%	8	0.2%	52	0.1%	0.2%	11.1%
Lake of the Woods	12	0.0%	1	0.0%	13	0.0%	0.1%	10.5%
Le Sueur	104	0.3%	15	0.4%	119	0.3%	0.4%	8.1%
Lincoln	15	0.0%	3	0.1%	18	0.0%	0.1%	10.1%
Lyon	119	0.3%	14	0.4%	133	0.3%	0.5%	10.5%
McLeod	110	0.3%	18	0.5%	128	0.3%	0.6%	8.1%
Mahmomen	155	0.4%	9	0.2%	164	0.4%	0.3%	23.3%
Marshall	33	0.1%	7	0.2%	40	0.1%	0.2%	11.7%
Martin	124	0.3%	14	0.4%	138	0.3%	0.5%	14.0%
Meeker	99	0.3%	16	0.4%	115	0.3%	0.4%	10.1%
Mille Lacs	162	0.4%	15	0.4%	177	0.4%	0.6%	11.9%
Morrison	122	0.3%	12	0.3%	134	0.3%	0.8%	12.1%
Mower	219	0.6%	35	0.9%	254	0.6%	0.9%	12.3%
Murray	26	0.1%	4	0.1%	30	0.1%	0.1%	9.3%
Nicollet	167	0.5%	30	0.8%	197	0.5%	0.4%	8.2%
Nobles	128	0.3%	14	0.4%	142	0.3%	0.5%	13.0%
Norman	34	0.1%	6	0.2%	40	0.1%	0.2%	13.6%
Olmsted	778	2.1%	125	3.2%	903	2.2%	2.3%	8.4%
Otter Tail	221	0.6%	33	0.8%	254	0.6%	1.3%	12.9%
Pennington	79	0.2%	7	0.2%	86	0.2%	0.3%	12.2%
Pine	192	0.5%	30	0.8%	222	0.5%	0.8%	15.5%
Pipestone	44	0.1%	6	0.2%	50	0.1%	0.2%	11.3%
Polk	242	0.7%	18	0.5%	260	0.6%	0.8%	14.5%

Table 6 – Page 3

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2004 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2004 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2004 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Pope	27	0.1%	6	0.2%	33	0.1%	0.2%	10.8%
Ramsey	8,078	21.8%	875	22.5%	8,953	21.9%	14.3%	14.5%
Red Lake	16	0.0%	3	0.1%	19	0.0%	0.1%	12.1%
Redwood	69	0.2%	10	0.3%	79	0.2%	0.4%	11.4%
Renville	86	0.2%	5	0.1%	91	0.2%	0.4%	12.5%
Rice	248	0.7%	23	0.6%	271	0.7%	0.9%	8.0%
Rock	39	0.1%	4	0.1%	43	0.1%	0.2%	9.6%
Roseau	30	0.1%	4	0.1%	34	0.1%	0.3%	7.4%
St. Louis	1,869	5.1%	130	3.3%	1,999	4.9%	4.2%	13.4%
Scott	235	0.6%	31	0.8%	266	0.7%	1.1%	4.3%
Sherburne	212	0.6%	30	0.8%	242	0.6%	0.9%	5.4%
Sibley	49	0.1%	9	0.2%	58	0.1%	0.3%	10.7%
Stearns	643	1.7%	67	1.7%	710	1.7%	2.3%	8.8%
Steele	179	0.5%	21	0.5%	200	0.5%	0.6%	8.5%
Stevens	16	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	0.0%	0.1%	8.2%
Swift	36	0.1%	5	0.1%	41	0.1%	0.2%	11.2%
Todd	128	0.3%	20	0.5%	148	0.4%	0.7%	15.6%
Traverse	15	0.0%	1	0.0%	16	0.0%	0.1%	14.0%
Wabasha	53	0.1%	9	0.2%	62	0.2%	0.3%	7.7%
Wadena	107	0.3%	9	0.2%	116	0.3%	0.4%	16.5%
Waseca	107	0.3%	14	0.4%	121	0.3%	0.4%	10.4%
Washington	663	1.8%	72	1.9%	735	1.8%	2.5%	5.4%
Watonwan	53	0.1%	2	0.1%	55	0.1%	0.3%	11.7%
Wilkin	15	0.0%	3	0.1%	18	0.0%	0.1%	10.4%
Winona	240	0.6%	23	0.6%	263	0.6%	0.9%	11.3%
Wright	323	0.9%	34	0.9%	357	0.9%	1.4%	6.0%
Yellow Medicine	25	0.1%	1	0.0%	26	0.1%	0.2%	10.4%
Minnesota	36,979	100.0%	3,885	100.0%	40,864	100.0%	100.0%	10.2%

Table 7. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2004 Paid MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education and Marital Status, by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Aitkin	6	14	27	42	19	23	5	*	22	23
	12.8%	29.8%	57.4%	89.4%	40.4%	48.9%	10.6%		46.8%	48.9%
Anoka	102	489	472	986	378	576	109	58	693	312
	9.6%	46.0%	44.4%	92.8%	35.6%	54.2%	10.3%	5.5%	65.2%	29.4%
Becker	23	103	76	178	67	119	16	7	137	58
	11.4%	51.0%	37.6%	88.1%	33.2%	58.9%	7.9%	3.5%	67.8%	28.7%
Beltrami	91	311	313	634	319	354	42	12	567	136
	12.7%	43.5%	43.8%	88.7%	44.6%	49.5%	5.9%	1.7%	79.3%	19.0%
Benton	18	61	41	113	34	74	12	*	85	31
	15.0%	50.8%	34.2%	94.2%	28.3%	61.7%	10.0%		70.8%	25.8%
Big Stone	*	*	6	10	*	6	*	*	7	3
			54.5%	90.9%		54.5%			63.6%	27.3%
Blue Earth	12	103	70	177	62	81	42	14	102	69
	6.5%	55.7%	37.8%	95.7%	33.5%	43.8%	22.7%	7.6%	55.1%	37.3%
Brown	*	18	13	31	9	21	5	*	20	13
		51.4%	37.1%	88.6%	25.7%	60.0%	14.3%		57.1%	37.1%
Carlton	14	62	54	117	33	83	14	8	74	48
	10.8%	47.7%	41.5%	90.0%	25.4%	63.8%	10.8%	6.2%	56.9%	36.9%
Carver	*	33	34	63	15	48	5	5	43	20
		48.5%	50.0%	92.6%	22.1%	70.6%	7.4%	7.4%	63.2%	29.4%
Cass	20	92	93	174	96	97	12	5	155	45
	9.8%	44.9%	45.4%	84.9%	46.8%	47.3%	5.9%	2.4%	75.6%	22.0%
Chippewa	*	17	12	28	11	16	5	*	20	12
		53.1%	37.5%	87.5%	34.4%	50.0%	15.6%		62.5%	37.5%
Chisago	15	68	61	133	38	93	13	*	90	53
	10.4%	47.2%	42.4%	92.4%	26.4%	64.6%	9.0%		62.5%	36.8%
Clay	19	122	87	213	68	126	34	15	139	74
	8.3%	53.5%	38.2%	93.4%	29.8%	55.3%	14.9%	6.6%	61.0%	32.5%
Clearwater	7	36	34	69	24	48	5	*	46	28
	9.1%	46.8%	44.2%	89.6%	31.2%	62.3%	6.5%		59.7%	36.4%

* For cells with fewer than 10 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.

Table 7 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Cook	*	*	*	7	*	*	*	*	8	*
Cottonwood	*	18	19	38	13	23	*	*	15	20
Crow Wing	18	106	64	178	33.3%	59.0%		8	108	72
Dakota	9.6%	56.4%	34.0%	94.7%	36.7%	53.7%	9.6%	4.3%	57.4%	38.3%
Dodge	88	434	344	827	271	467	128	20	613	233
Douglas	10.2%	50.1%	39.7%	95.5%	31.3%	53.9%	14.8%	2.3%	70.8%	26.9%
Faribault	*	11	13	24	13	14	*	*	18	8
Fillmore	39.3%	46.4%	46.4%	85.7%	46.4%	50.0%		*	64.3%	28.6%
Freeborn	*	43	20	60	17	39	11	*	43	22
Goodhue	64.2%	29.9%	29.9%	89.6%	25.4%	58.2%	16.4%		64.2%	32.8%
Hennepin	5	19	7	28	11	16	*	*	17	11
Houston	16.1%	61.3%	22.6%	90.3%	35.5%	51.6%		*	54.8%	35.5%
Hubbard	*	26	11	38	14	22	5	*	21	17
Isanti	63.4%	26.8%	26.8%	92.7%	34.1%	53.7%	12.2%		51.2%	41.5%
Le Sueur	11	53	47	103	39	58	14	7	68	36
Lyon	9.9%	47.7%	42.3%	92.8%	35.1%	52.3%	12.6%	6.3%	61.3%	32.4%
Martin	15	47	44	101	36	63	7	*	71	31
McLeod	14.2%	44.3%	41.5%	95.3%	34.0%	59.4%	6.6%		67.0%	29.2%
Mississippi	*	8	6	13	*	9	*	*	7	5
Murray	57.1%	42.9%	42.9%	92.9%		59.4%			50.0%	35.7%
Pennington	724	3339	3073	6,543	3,072	3,423	641	263	5,239	1,634
Richmond	10.1%	46.8%	43.1%	91.7%	43.0%	48.0%	9.0%	3.7%	73.4%	22.9%
St. Louis	*	33	25	55	22	33	6	*	33	26
Texas	54.1%	41.0%	41.0%	90.2%	36.1%	54.1%	9.8%		54.1%	42.6%
Wadena	5	45	26	72	31	43	*	*	49	24
Wendell	6.6%	59.2%	34.2%	94.7%	40.8%	56.6%			64.5%	31.6%
Winona	12	44	25	77	22	53	6	*	53	27
Yamhill	14.8%	54.3%	30.9%	95.1%	27.2%	65.4%	7.4%		65.4%	33.3%

Table 7 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Itasca	14	78	70	147	47	94	21	6	95	61
Jackson	8.6%	48.1%	43.2%	90.7%	29.0%	58.0%	13.0%	3.7%	58.6%	37.7%
Kanabec	0	12	11	22	5	16	2	4	7	12
Kandiyohi	-	52.2%	47.8%	95.7%	21.7%	69.6%	8.7%	17.4%	30.4%	52.2%
Kittson	6	27	28	56	23	32	6	3	30	28
Koochiching	9.8%	44.3%	45.9%	91.8%	37.7%	52.5%	9.8%	4.9%	49.2%	45.9%
Lac Qui Parle	27	82	63	156	93	63	16	12	102	58
Lake	15.7%	47.7%	36.6%	90.7%	54.1%	36.6%	9.3%	7.0%	59.3%	33.7%
Lake of Woods	1	1	3	5	2	2	1	0	2	3
Le Sueur	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	100.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	-	40.0%	60.0%
Lincoln	5	27	30	53	18	41	3	3	33	26
Lyon	8.1%	43.5%	48.4%	85.5%	29.0%	66.1%	4.8%	4.8%	53.2%	41.9%
McLeod	0	4	4	7	1	6	1	0	4	4
Mahnomen	-	50.0%	50.0%	87.5%	12.5%	75.0%	12.5%	-	50.0%	50.0%
Marshall	6	11	14	26	13	16	2	1	18	12
	19.4%	35.5%	45.2%	83.9%	41.9%	51.6%	6.5%	3.2%	58.1%	38.7%
	1	5	3	9	1	7	1	0	7	2
	11.1%	55.6%	33.3%	100.0%	11.1%	77.8%	11.1%	-	77.8%	22.2%
	17	34	14	58	34	27	4	3	50	12
	26.2%	52.3%	21.5%	89.2%	52.3%	41.5%	6.2%	4.6%	76.9%	18.5%
	0	5	2	6	3	3	1	1	6	0
	-	71.4%	28.6%	85.7%	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	85.7%	-
	7	36	27	65	26	33	11	10	38	22
	10.0%	51.4%	38.6%	92.9%	37.1%	47.1%	15.7%	14.3%	54.3%	31.4%
	7	41	18	64	27	36	3	4	35	27
	10.6%	62.1%	27.3%	97.0%	40.9%	54.5%	4.5%	6.1%	53.0%	40.9%
	8	35	43	73	27	54	5	2	60	24
	9.3%	40.7%	50.0%	84.9%	31.4%	62.8%	5.8%	2.3%	69.8%	27.9%
	2	8	8	16	10	5	3	0	10	8
	11.1%	44.4%	44.4%	88.9%	55.6%	27.8%	16.7%	-	55.6%	44.4%

Table 7 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Martin	10 12.7%	42 53.2%	27 34.2%	69 87.3%	20 25.3%	52 65.8%	7 8.9%	9 11.4%	41 51.9%	29 36.7%
Meeker	9 16.4%	28 50.9%	18 32.7%	52 94.5%	23 41.8%	26 47.3%	6 10.9%	2 3.6%	38 69.1%	15 27.3%
Millie Lacs	7 8.8%	36 45.0%	37 46.3%	70 87.5%	27 33.8%	45 56.3%	8 10.0%	1 1.3%	46 57.5%	33 41.3%
Morrison	8 12.1%	36 54.5%	22 33.3%	63 95.5%	20 30.3%	43 65.2%	3 4.5%	2 3.0%	42 63.6%	22 33.3%
Mower	14 10.6%	59 44.7%	59 44.7%	121 91.7%	46 34.8%	77 58.3%	9 6.8%	8 6.1%	74 56.1%	50 37.9%
Murray	2 15.4%	6 46.2%	5 38.5%	11 84.6%	4 30.8%	5 38.5%	4 30.8%	3 23.1%	7 53.8%	3 23.1%
Nicollet	13 11.2%	53 45.7%	50 43.1%	108 93.1%	41 35.3%	57 49.1%	18 15.5%	3 2.6%	63 54.3%	50 43.1%
Nobles	3 4.3%	41 58.6%	26 37.1%	64 91.4%	30 42.9%	30 42.9%	10 14.3%	6 8.6%	33 47.1%	31 44.3%
Norman	1 5.3%	11 57.9%	7 36.8%	15 78.9%	6 31.6%	11 57.9%	2 10.5%	1 5.3%	12 63.2%	6 31.6%
Olmsted	62 12.3%	210 41.6%	233 46.1%	462 91.5%	209 41.1%	256 50.7%	40 7.9%	38 7.5%	273 54.1%	194 38.4%
Otter Tail	21 14.7%	67 46.9%	55 38.5%	129 90.2%	59 41.3%	68 47.6%	16 11.2%	11 7.7%	86 60.1%	46 32.2%
Pennington	1 2.0%	28 54.9%	22 43.1%	46 90.2%	16 31.4%	34 66.7%	1 2.0%	5 9.8%	28 54.9%	18 35.3%
Pine	12 10.9%	53 48.2%	45 40.9%	99 90.0%	40 36.4%	56 50.9%	14 12.7%	5 4.5%	59 53.6%	46 41.8%
Pipestone	2 6.9%	20 69.0%	7 24.1%	26 89.7%	10 34.5%	17 58.6%	2 6.9%	1 3.4%	19 65.5%	9 31.0%
Polk	21 13.2%	69 43.4%	69 43.4%	149 93.7%	54 34.0%	84 52.8%	21 13.2%	9 5.7%	92 57.9%	58 36.5%

Table 7 – Page 5

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Pope	0	10	4	14	5	9	0	0	8	6
	-	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	35.7%	64.3%	-	-	57.1%	42.9%
Ramsey	467	2,344	2,396	4,769	2,281	2,446	480	310	3,736	1,161
	9.0%	45.0%	46.0%	91.6%	43.8%	47.0%	9.2%	6.0%	71.7%	22.3%
Red Lake	3	4	5	11	5	7	0	2	6	4
	25.0%	33.3%	41.7%	91.7%	41.7%	58.3%	-	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%
Redwood	3	19	15	34	8	25	4	4	15	18
	8.1%	51.4%	40.5%	91.9%	21.6%	67.6%	10.8%	10.8%	40.5%	48.6%
Renville	9	19	18	42	22	22	2	2	31	13
	19.6%	41.3%	39.1%	91.3%	47.8%	47.8%	4.3%	4.3%	67.4%	28.3%
Rice	25	66	51	133	68	66	8	5	98	39
	17.6%	46.5%	35.9%	93.7%	47.9%	46.5%	5.6%	3.5%	69.0%	27.5%
Rock	4	9	12	24	5	14	6	0	16	9
	16.0%	36.0%	48.0%	96.0%	20.0%	56.0%	24.0%	-	64.0%	36.0%
Roseau	4	12	5	20	9	12	0	0	16	5
	19.0%	57.1%	23.8%	95.2%	42.9%	57.1%	-	-	76.2%	23.8%
St. Louis	120	631	472	1,113	352	736	135	46	813	364
	9.8%	51.6%	38.6%	91.0%	28.8%	60.2%	11.0%	3.8%	66.5%	29.8%
Scott	13.0%	69	54	126	49	70	17	4	95	37
	9.6%	50.7%	39.7%	92.6%	36.0%	51.5%	12.5%	2.9%	69.9%	27.2%
Sherburne	10.0%	69	48	119	44	73	10	6	82	39
	7.9%	54.3%	0.4%	93.7%	34.6%	57.5%	7.9%	4.7%	64.6%	30.7%
Sibley	4	13	11	27	11	15	2	3	12	13
	14.3%	46.4%	39.3%	96.4%	39.3%	53.6%	7.1%	10.7%	42.9%	46.4%
Stearns	32	232	169	409	149	241	43	21	260	152
	7.4%	53.6%	39.0%	94.5%	34.4%	55.7%	9.9%	4.8%	60.0%	35.1%
Steele	14	56	48	111	53	60	5	8	53	57
	11.9%	47.5%	40.7%	94.1%	44.9%	50.8%	4.2%	6.8%	44.9%	48.3%
Stevens	2	5	4	11	2	9	0	0	5	6
	18.2%	45.5%	36.4%	100.0%	18.2%	81.8%	-	-	45.5%	54.5%

Table 7 – Page 6

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Swift	*	5	10	14	6	10	*	*	5	10
		29.4%	58.8%	82.4%	35.3%	58.8%			29.4%	58.8%
Todd	10	25	31	62	23	41	*	*	31	31
	15.2%	37.9%	47.0%	93.9%	34.8%	62.1%			47.0%	47.0%
Traverse	*	*	5	10	*	6	*	*	*	6
			50.0%	100.0%	60.0%	60.0%				60.0%
Wabasha	6	12	12	26	14	15	*	*	20	9
	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	86.7%	46.7%	50.0%			66.7%	30.0%
Wadena	11	29	22	54	19	39	*	*	33	26
	17.7%	46.8%	35.5%	87.1%	30.6%	62.9%			53.2%	41.9%
Waseca	7	37	21	64	26	34	5	*	38	25
	10.8%	56.9%	32.5%	98.5%	40.0%	52.3%	7.7%		58.5%	38.5%
Washington	39	243	169	428	140	250	61	12	321	118
	8.6%	53.9%	37.5%	94.9%	31.0%	55.4%	13.5%	2.7%	71.2%	26.2%
Watonwan	*	14	8	25	12	10	*	*	18	7
		56.0%	32.0%	100.0%	48.0%	40.0%			72.0%	28.0%
Wilkin	*	*	6	11	*	7	*	*	6	5
			50.0%	91.7%	58.3%	58.3%			50.0%	41.7%
Winona	10	73	63	134	58	70	18	10	85	51
	6.8%	50.0%	43.2%	91.8%	39.7%	47.9%	12.3%	6.8%	58.2%	34.9%
Wright	34	93	95	208	84	116	22	7	151	64
	15.3%	41.9%	42.8%	93.7%	37.8%	52.3%	9.9%	3.2%	68.0%	28.8%
Yellow Medicine	*	*	8	12	9	6	*	*	7	5
			53.3%	80.0%	60.0%	40.0%			46.7%	33.3%

Table 7 – Page 7

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Northwest	33 11.6%	133 46.7%	119 41.8%	262 91.9%	102 35.8%	155 54.4%	28 9.8%	17 6.0%	166 58.2%	102 35.8%
West Central	252 11.2%	1,085 48.2%	914 40.6%	2,027 90.0%	857 38.1%	1,219 54.2%	175 7.8%	80 3.6%	1,533 68.1%	638 28.3%
Northeast	167 10.0%	827 49.7%	669 40.2%	1,505 90.5%	486 29.2%	996 59.9%	181 10.9%	66 4.0%	1,063 63.9%	534 32.1%
Central	198 11.5%	853 49.7%	666 38.8%	1,598 93.1%	626 36.5%	930 54.2%	161 9.4%	69 4.0%	1,062 61.9%	586 34.1%
Southwest	32 8.1%	200 50.5%	164 41.4%	361 31.2%	133 33.6%	210 53.0%	53 13.4%	39 9.8%	199 50.3%	158 39.9%
South Central	75 11.9%	333 52.9%	221 35.1%	587 93.3%	226 35.9%	313 49.8%	90 14.3%	39 6.2%	361 57.4%	229 36.4%
Southeast	168 11.8%	646 45.5%	606 42.7%	1,308 92.1%	572 40.3%	734 51.7%	114 8.0%	88 6.2%	814 57.3%	518 36.5%
Metro Suburban	243 9.4%	1,268 49.1%	1,073 41.5%	2,430 94.0%	853 33.0%	1,411 54.6%	320 12.4%	99 3.8%	1,765 68.3%	720 27.9%
Core Metro	1,191 9.6%	5,683 46.0%	5,469 44.3%	11,312 91.6%	5,353 43.4%	5,869 47.5%	1,121 9.1%	573 4.6%	8,975 72.7%	2,795 22.6%
Minnesota	2,359 10.1%	11,028 47.4%	9,901 42.5%	21,390 91.8%	9,208 39.5%	11,837 50.8%	2,243 9.6%	1,070 4.6%	15,938 68.4%	6,280 27.0%

Table 8. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2004 Paid DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education and Marital Status, by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Anoka	6 3.4%	89 50.3%	82 46.3%	153 86.4%	38 21.5%	112 63.3%	27 15.3%	7 3.9%	103 58.2%	67 37.9%
Beltrami	0	23	17	29	13	20	7	0	33	7
Dakota	-	57.5%	42.5%	72.5%	32.5%	50.0%	17.5%	-	82.5%	17.5%
Hennepin	7 4.7%	69 46.0%	74 49.3%	140 93.3%	30 20.0%	100 66.7%	20 13.3%	1 0.7%	85 56.7%	64 42.7%
Olmsted	21 2.8%	351 46.0%	391 51.2%	691 90.6%	285 37.4%	383 50.2%	95 12.5%	27 3.5%	507 66.4%	229 30.0%
Ramsey	2 2.2%	38 41.3%	52 56.5%	84 91.3%	29 31.5%	53 57.6%	10 11.5%	2 2.2%	55 59.8%	35 38.0%
St. Louis	21 3.9%	252 46.8%	266 49.4%	494 91.7%	204 37.8%	273 50.6%	62 11.5%	13 2.4%	365 67.8%	161 29.9%
Washington	9 8.3%	56 51.9%	43 39.8%	98 90.7%	23 21.3%	73 67.6%	12 11.1%	3 2.8%	61 56.5%	44 40.7%
All Other Counties	1 1.7%	22 36.7%	37 61.7%	51 85.0%	12 20.0%	40 66.7%	8 13.3%	1 1.7%	38 63.3%	21 35.0%
	42 4.7%	420 46.6%	440 48.8%	808 89.6%	237 26.3%	546 60.5%	119 13.2%	25 2.8%	442 49.0%	435 48.2%

Table 8 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Northwest	1	15	13	28	6	16	7	0	18	11
West Central	3.4%	51.7%	44.8%	96.6%	20.7%	55.2%	24.1%	-	62.1%	37.9%
	12	100	99	177	66	123	22	6	117	88
Northeast	5.7%	47.4%	46.9%	83.9%	31.3%	58.3%	10.4%	2.8%	55.5%	41.7%
	10	81	81	152	36	113	23	5	94	73
Central	5.8%	47.1%	47.1%	88.4%	20.9%	65.7%	13.4%	2.9%	54.7%	42.4%
	20	119	132	247	66	173	32	8	124	139
Southwest	7.4%	43.9%	48.7%	91.1%	24.4%	63.8%	11.8%	3.0%	45.8%	51.3%
	0	41	32	66	24	39	10	1	33	39
South Central	-	56.2%	43.8%	90.4%	32.9%	53.4%	13.7%	1.4%	45.2%	53.4%
	3	48	48	91	20	57	22	4	50	45
Southeast	3.0%	48.5%	48.5%	91.9%	20.2%	57.6%	22.2%	4.0%	50.5%	45.5%
	7	117	128	224	71	152	29	6	134	112
Metro Suburban	2.8%	46.4%	50.8%	88.9%	28.2%	60.3%	11.5%	2.4%	53.2%	44.4%
	14	196	212	378	93	271	58	9	247	166
Core Metro	3.3%	46.4%	50.2%	89.6%	22.0%	64.2%	13.7%	2.1%	58.5%	39.3%
	42	603	657	1,185	489	656	157	40	872	390
Minnesota	3.2%	46.3%	50.5%	91.0%	37.6%	50.4%	12.1%	3.1%	67.0%	30.0%
	109	1,320	1,402	2,548	871	1,600	360	79	1,689	1,063
	3.9%	46.6%	49.5%	90.0%	30.8%	56.5%	12.7%	2.8%	59.7%	37.5%

**Table 9. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2004 MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult:
Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Asian/ Pacific	Black	Hispanic	American Indian	White	Multiple	Non-US Citizens
Anoka	28	245	20	46	712	*	92
	2.6%	23.2%	1.9%	4.4%	67.4%		8.7%
Beltrami	*	*	*	567	130	*	*
				79.3%	18.2%		
Dakota	29	272	58	18	477	*	89
	3.4%	31.7%	6.8%	2.1%	55.5%		10.3%
Hennepin	292	4,738	162	484	1,358	67	1,208
	4.1%	66.4%	2.3%	6.8%	19.0%	0.9%	16.9%
Olmsted	36	205	35	*	225	*	137
	7.1%	40.6%	6.9%		44.6%		27.1%
Ramsey	582	2,609	346	172	1,394	83	782
	11.2%	50.1%	6.6%	3.3%	26.8%	1.6%	15.0%
St. Louis	10	124	10	154	905	15	*
	0.8%	10.1%	0.8%	12.6%	74.0%	1.2%	
Washington	11	90	23	*	310	*	14
	2.5%	20.5%	5.2%		70.6%		3.1%
All Other Counties	81	441	509	623	4,410	43	310
	1.3%	7.2%	8.3%	10.2%	72.0%	0.7%	5.1%
Northwest	*	*	58	18	200	*	10
			20.4%	6.3%	70.2%		3.5%
West Central	12	41	66	1,009	1,099	19	30
	0.5%	1.8%	2.9%	44.8%	48.8%	0.8%	1.3%
Northeast	12	128	14	218	1,270	15	*
	0.7%	7.7%	0.8%	13.1%	76.4%	0.9%	
Central	15	160	142	56	1,326	15	87
	0.9%	9.3%	8.3%	3.3%	77.2%	0.9%	5.1%
Southwest	19	16	58	13	283	*	37
	4.9%	4.1%	14.8%	3.3%	72.4%		9.3%
South Central	*	90	70	*	449	*	69
		14.3%	11.1%		71.4%		11.0%
Southeast	52	296	137	12	916	*	200
	3.7%	20.8%	9.6%	0.8%	64.5%		14.1%
Metro Suburban	77	647	114	85	1,626	19	208
	3.0%	25.0%	4.4%	3.3%	62.9%	0.7%	8.0%
Core Metro	874	7,347	508	656	2,752	150	1,990
	7.1%	59.5%	4.1%	5.3%	22.3%	1.2%	16.1%
Minnesota	1,069	8,732	1,167	2,074	9,921	232	2,640
	4.6%	37.5%	5.0%	8.9%	42.6%	1.0%	11.3%

* For cells with fewer than 10 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.

Table 10. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2004 DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	Asian/ Pacific	Black	Hispanic	American Indian	White	Multiple	Non-US Citizens
Hennepin	46	507	23	26	155	*	162
	6.0%	66.4%	3.0%	3.4%	20.3%		21.2%
Ramsey	96	237	36	8	153	5	121
	17.8%	44.0%	6.7%	1.5%	28.4%	0.9%	22.4%
All Other Counties	32	176	116	83	1,101	10	95
	2.1%	11.5%	7.6%	5.4%	72.0%	0.7%	6.2%
Metro Suburban	15	84	26	17	273	*	38
	3.6%	19.9%	6.2%	4.0%	64.7%		9.0%
Core Metro	142	744	59	34	308	8	283
	10.9%	57.1%	4.5%	2.6%	23.7%	0.6%	21.7%
All Other Regions	17	92	90	66	828	7	57
	1.5%	8.3%	8.1%	6.0%	74.8%	0.6%	5.1%
Minnesota	174	920	175	117	1,409	18	378
	6.1%	32.5%	6.2%	4.1%	49.8%	0.6%	13.4%

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.

**Table 11. Family Types in December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Households,
by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Aitkin	43	2	2	2	4	0	0	0
	91.5%	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Anoka	1,029	24	8	56	161	14	2	5
	97.0%	2.3%	0.8%	5.3%	91.0%	7.9%	1.1%	2.8%
Becker	190	5	7	8	6	3	0	0
	94.1%	2.5%	3.5%	4.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Beltrami	662	26	24	15	33	6	1	0
	93.0%	3.7%	3.4%	2.1%	82.5%	15.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Benton	118	2	0	4	19	3	0	0
	98.3%	1.7%	0.0%	3.3%	86.4%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Big Stone	11	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Blue Earth	178	4	2	10	16	3	0	1
	96.7%	2.2%	1.1%	5.4%	84.2%	15.8%	0.0%	5.3%
Brown	32	2	1	1	5	1	0	0
	91.4%	5.7%	2.9%	2.9%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Carlton	122	6	0	8	13	1	0	1
	95.3%	4.7%	0.0%	6.3%	92.9%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%
Carver	67	1	0	6	13	1	0	0
	98.5%	1.5%	0.0%	8.8%	92.9%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Cass	192	6	7	17	14	3	1	0
	93.7%	2.9%	3.4%	8.3%	77.8%	16.7%	5.6%	0.0%
Chippewa	32	0	0	2	3	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
Chisago	139	4	1	3	24	2	0	0
	96.5%	2.8%	0.7%	2.1%	92.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Clay	221	6	1	18	26	3	0	2
	96.9%	2.6%	0.4%	7.9%	89.7%	10.3%	0.0%	6.9%
Clearwater	73	3	1	3	2	0	0	0
	94.8%	3.9%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cook	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	39	0	0	2	10	0	1	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	90.9%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Crow Wing	182	5	0	11	22	3	0	0
	97.3%	2.7%	0.0%	5.9%	88.0%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dakota	831	23	10	24	132	18	0	2
	96.2%	2.7%	1.2%	2.8%	88.0%	12.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Dodge	25	3	0	3	3	0	0	0
	89.3%	10.7%	0.0%	10.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Douglas	64	3	0	1	11	1	0	0
	95.5%	4.5%	0.0%	1.5%	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Faribault	30	1	0	4	6	0	0	0
	96.8%	3.2%	0.0%	12.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fillmore	39	2	0	2	4	1	0	0
	95.1%	4.9%	0.0%	4.9%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Freeborn	108	3	0	10	20	1	0	2
	97.3%	2.7%	0.0%	9.0%	95.2%	4.8%	0.0%	9.5%

Table 11 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care-givers	Family Type			Two Care-givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Goodhue	105	0	1	5	15	2	0	0
	99.1%	0.0%	0.9%	4.7%	88.2%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Grant	14	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hennepin	6,810	178	127	354	671	80	11	17
	95.7%	2.5%	1.8%	5.0%	88.1%	10.5%	1.4%	2.2%
Houston	58	2	1	2	18	3	0	0
	95.1%	3.3%	1.6%	3.3%	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Hubbard	73	3	0	6	6	1	0	1
	96.1%	3.9%	0.0%	7.9%	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%
Isanti	76	4	0	2	11	2	0	0
	95.0%	5.0%	0.0%	2.5%	84.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Itasca	153	7	2	7	24	6	1	0
	94.4%	4.3%	1.2%	4.3%	77.4%	19.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Jackson	22	0	1	4	3	1	0	0
	95.7%	0.0%	4.3%	17.4%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Kanabec	57	4	0	3	10	3	0	0
	93.4%	6.6%	0.0%	4.9%	76.9%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Kandiyohi	163	8	0	14	30	3	0	1
	95.3%	4.7%	0.0%	8.2%	90.9%	9.1%	0.0%	3.0%
Kittson	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Koochiching	57	4	1	4	9	0	0	0
	91.9%	6.5%	1.6%	6.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lac Qui Parle	7	1	0	1	5	0	0	0
	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lake	29	2	0	1	5	0	0	0
	93.5%	6.5%	0.0%	3.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lake of Woods	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Le Sueur	58	6	1	4	8	1	0	0
	89.2%	9.2%	1.5%	6.2%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Lincoln	7	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lyon	66	3	1	12	11	1	0	0
	94.3%	4.3%	1.4%	17.1%	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
McLeod	65	1	0	3	11	0	0	1
	98.5%	1.5%	0.0%	4.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Mahnomen	82	1	3	1	6	2	0	0
	95.3%	1.2%	3.5%	1.2%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Marshall	18	0	0	1	4	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Martin	72	7	0	9	13	0	0	2
	91.1%	8.9%	0.0%	11.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%
Meeker	51	4	0	1	10	0	0	0
	92.7%	7.3%	0.0%	1.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mille Lacs	74	5	0	2	9	0	0	0
	93.7%	6.3%	0.0%	2.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Morrison	63	3	0	2	8	1	0	0
	95.5%	4.5%	0.0%	3.0%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 11 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care-givers	Family Type			Two Care-givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Mower	127	3	2	11	24	5	1	1
	96.2%	2.3%	1.5%	8.3%	80.0%	16.7%	3.3%	3.3%
Murray	13	0	0	3	2	2	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nicollet	114	1	1	4	23	2	0	0
	98.3%	0.9%	0.9%	3.4%	92.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nobles	65	3	1	6	11	0	0	0
	94.2%	4.3%	1.4%	8.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Norman	18	1	0	2	4	0	0	0
	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	10.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Olmsted	474	14	14	42	84	7	0	2
	94.4%	2.8%	2.8%	8.4%	92.3%	7.7%	0.0%	2.2%
Otter Tail	132	9	2	13	21	3	0	2
	92.3%	6.3%	1.4%	9.1%	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%	8.3%
Pennington	48	3	0	6	2	1	0	0
	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%	11.8%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Pine	104	4	2	9	23	4	0	0
	94.5%	3.6%	1.8%	8.2%	85.2%	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Pipestone	29	0	0	2	4	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Polk	154	5	0	10	13	0	0	1
	96.9%	3.1%	0.0%	6.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Pope	14	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ramsey	5,005	97	81	364	470	64	4	10
	96.6%	1.9%	1.6%	7.0%	87.4%	11.9%	0.7%	1.9%
Red Lake	11	1	0	2	2	0	0	0
	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	16.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Redwood	36	1	0	4	6	0	0	0
	97.3%	2.7%	0.0%	10.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Renville	43	2	0	2	5	0	0	0
	95.6%	4.4%	0.0%	4.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rice	135	6	1	6	17	2	0	0
	95.1%	4.2%	0.7%	4.2%	89.5%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Rock	25	0	0	1	3	0	1	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Roseau	20	1	0	0	2	1	0	0
	95.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Louis	1,169	35	14	78	84	22	1	0
	96.0%	2.9%	1.1%	6.4%	78.5%	20.6%	0.9%	0.0%
Scott	134	1	1	5	18	2	1	0
	98.5%	0.7%	0.7%	3.7%	85.7%	9.5%	4.8%	0.0%
Sherburne	125	2	0	4	22	2	0	0
	98.4%	1.6%	0.0%	3.1%	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Sibley	27	1	0	4	8	0	0	0
	96.4%	3.6%	0.0%	14.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Stearns	421	9	3	26	48	5	0	2
	97.2%	2.1%	0.7%	6.0%	90.6%	9.4%	0.0%	3.8%
Steele	108	6	3	10	15	3	0	1
	92.3%	5.1%	2.6%	8.5%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	5.6%

Table 11 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care-givers	Family Type			Two Care-givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Stevens	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Swift	17	0	0	3	3	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Todd	58	7	1	4	9	2	0	0
	87.9%	10.6%	1.5%	6.1%	81.8%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Traverse	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wabasha	27	2	1	1	7	0	0	0
	90.0%	6.7%	3.3%	3.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wadena	60	2	0	4	3	3	0	0
	96.8%	3.2%	0.0%	6.5%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Waseca	61	2	2	2	11	0	0	0
	93.8%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Washington	439	9	2	14	52	6	2	0
	97.6%	2.0%	0.4%	3.1%	86.7%	10.0%	3.3%	0.0%
Watonwan	23	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
	92.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wilkin	10	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Winona	141	2	2	11	18	1	0	0
	97.2%	1.4%	1.4%	7.6%	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Wright	213	9	0	5	21	3	1	0
	95.9%	4.1%	0.0%	2.3%	84.0%	12.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Yellow Medicine	14	1	0	3	1	0	0	0
	93.3%	6.7%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Northwest	274	11	0	21	27	2	0	1
	96.1%	3.9%	0.0%	7.4%	93.1%	6.9%	0.0%	3.4%
West Central	2,120	81	46	104	178	31	2	5
	94.3%	3.6%	2.0%	4.6%	84.4%	14.7%	0.9%	2.4%
Northeast	1,581	56	19	100	140	29	2	1
	95.5%	3.4%	1.1%	6.0%	81.9%	17.0%	1.2%	0.6%
Central	1,649	58	6	78	243	27	1	4
	96.3%	3.4%	0.4%	4.6%	89.7%	10.0%	0.4%	1.5%
Southwest	383	9	3	45	65	6	2	1
	97.0%	2.3%	0.8%	11.4%	89.0%	8.2%	2.7%	1.4%
South Central	595	26	7	38	92	7	0	3
	94.7%	4.1%	1.1%	6.1%	92.9%	7.1%	0.0%	3.0%
Southeast	1,347	43	25	103	225	25	1	6
	95.2%	3.0%	1.8%	7.3%	89.6%	10.0%	0.4%	2.4%
Metro Suburban	2,500	58	21	105	376	41	5	7
	96.9%	2.2%	0.8%	4.1%	89.1%	9.7%	1.2%	1.7%
Core Metro	11,815	275	208	718	1,141	144	15	27
	96.1%	2.2%	1.7%	5.8%	87.8%	11.1%	1.2%	2.1%
Minnesota	22,264	617	335	1,312	2,487	312	28	55
	95.9%	2.7%	1.4%	5.7%	88.0%	11.0%	1.0%	1.9%

**Table 12. All Children in December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Households,
by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Aitkin	2	19	15	7	4	10	16	13	6
	4.3%	40.4%	31.9%	14.9%	8.5%	22.2%	35.6%	28.9%	13.3%
Anoka	26	534	270	147	86	196	485	242	114
	2.4%	50.2%	25.4%	13.8%	8.1%	18.9%	46.8%	23.3%	11.0%
Becker	5	96	57	29	15	40	94	45	18
	2.5%	47.5%	28.2%	14.4%	7.4%	20.3%	47.7%	22.8%	9.1%
Beltrami	28	289	198	131	69	135	322	154	76
	3.9%	40.4%	27.7%	18.3%	9.7%	19.7%	46.9%	22.4%	11.1%
Benton	2	65	32	16	5	35	52	18	13
	1.7%	54.2%	26.7%	13.3%	4.2%	29.7%	44.1%	15.3%	11.0%
Big Stone	0	4	4	2	1	1	5	5	0
	0.0%	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	45.5%	45.5%	0.0%
Blue Earth	4	82	47	35	17	36	89	40	16
	2.2%	44.3%	25.4%	18.9%	9.2%	19.9%	49.2%	22.1%	8.8%
Brown	2	15	10	5	3	9	15	5	4
	5.7%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	8.6%	27.3%	45.5%	15.2%	12.1%
Carlton	7	72	26	23	2	19	62	29	13
	5.4%	55.4%	20.0%	17.7%	1.5%	15.4%	50.4%	23.6%	10.6%
Carver	1	31	21	6	9	21	26	11	9
	1.5%	45.6%	30.9%	8.8%	13.2%	31.3%	38.8%	16.4%	13.4%
Cass	6	75	68	27	29	37	95	54	13
	2.9%	36.6%	33.2%	13.2%	14.1%	18.6%	47.7%	27.1%	6.5%
Chippewa	0	16	9	5	2	9	13	7	3
	0.0%	50.0%	28.1%	15.6%	6.3%	28.1%	40.6%	21.9%	9.4%
Chisago	4	83	42	9	6	22	59	42	17
	2.8%	57.6%	29.2%	6.3%	4.2%	15.7%	42.1%	30.0%	12.1%
Clay	6	94	75	33	20	51	107	40	24
	2.6%	41.2%	32.9%	14.5%	8.8%	23.0%	48.2%	18.0%	10.8%
Clearwater	3	39	17	11	7	19	31	12	12
	3.9%	50.6%	22.1%	14.3%	9.1%	25.7%	41.9%	16.2%	16.2%
Cook	0	5	3	0	0	4	3	0	1
	0.0%	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	37.5%	0.0%	12.5%
Cottonwood	0	18	12	4	5	9	16	10	4
	0.0%	46.2%	30.8%	10.3%	12.8%	23.1%	41.0%	25.6%	10.3%
Crow Wing	5	93	54	26	10	44	94	27	18
	2.7%	49.5%	28.7%	13.8%	5.3%	24.0%	51.4%	14.8%	9.8%
Dakota	24	408	242	113	79	192	399	167	84
	2.8%	47.1%	27.9%	13.0%	9.1%	22.8%	47.4%	19.8%	10.0%
Dodge	3	14	3	5	3	4	12	8	1
	10.7%	50.0%	10.7%	17.9%	10.7%	16.0%	48.0%	32.0%	4.0%
Douglas	3	35	18	10	1	21	25	14	4
	4.5%	52.2%	26.9%	14.9%	1.5%	32.8%	39.1%	21.9%	6.3%
Faribault	1	14	8	6	2	8	15	6	1
	3.2%	45.2%	25.8%	19.4%	6.5%	26.7%	50.0%	20.0%	3.3%
Fillmore	2	19	10	8	2	6	24	6	3
	4.9%	46.3%	24.4%	19.5%	4.9%	15.4%	61.5%	15.4%	7.7%
Freeborn	3	44	45	12	7	30	48	23	7
	2.7%	39.6%	40.5%	10.8%	6.3%	27.8%	44.4%	21.3%	6.5%

Table 12 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Goodhue	0	49	24	23	10	28	50	19	9
	0.0%	46.2%	22.6%	21.7%	9.4%	26.4%	47.2%	17.9%	8.5%
Grant	0	6	6	2	0	1	9	3	1
	0.0%	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	7.1%	64.3%	21.4%	7.1%
Hennepin	193	3,081	1,892	1,041	929	1,378	3,275	1,469	821
	2.7%	43.2%	26.5%	14.6%	13.0%	19.8%	47.2%	21.2%	11.8%
Houston	2	29	16	11	3	14	25	15	5
	3.3%	47.5%	26.2%	18.0%	4.9%	23.7%	42.4%	25.4%	8.5%
Hubbard	3	34	18	18	3	20	30	16	7
	3.9%	44.7%	23.7%	23.7%	3.9%	27.4%	41.1%	21.9%	9.6%
Isanti	4	46	22	7	2	19	34	13	11
	4.9%	56.8%	27.2%	8.6%	2.5%	24.7%	44.2%	16.9%	14.3%
Itasca	7	75	45	22	13	38	66	32	19
	4.3%	46.3%	27.8%	13.6%	8.0%	24.5%	42.6%	20.6%	12.3%
Jackson	0	12	8	2	1	6	10	4	3
	0.0%	52.2%	34.8%	8.7%	4.3%	26.1%	43.5%	17.4%	13.0%
Kanabec	4	26	16	10	5	13	24	16	4
	6.6%	42.6%	26.2%	16.4%	8.2%	22.8%	42.1%	28.1%	7.0%
Kandiyohi	9	78	49	20	16	52	64	28	19
	5.2%	45.3%	28.5%	11.6%	9.3%	31.9%	39.3%	17.2%	11.7%
Kittson	0	4	0	1	0	2	1	1	1
	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Koochiching	4	31	13	9	5	9	31	8	10
	6.5%	50.0%	21.0%	14.5%	8.1%	15.5%	53.4%	13.8%	17.2%
Lac Qui Parle	1	3	3	1	0	1	2	2	2
	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%
Lake	2	17	9	3	0	6	12	5	6
	6.5%	54.8%	29.0%	9.7%	0.0%	20.7%	41.4%	17.2%	20.7%
Lake of Woods	0	5	2	2	0	4	2	2	1
	0.0%	55.6%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%
Le Sueur	6	31	17	4	7	20	27	8	4
	9.2%	47.7%	26.2%	6.2%	10.8%	33.9%	45.8%	13.6%	6.8%
Lincoln	0	4	3	0	0	1	6	0	0
	0.0%	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	85.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Lyon	3	32	15	8	12	12	33	16	6
	4.3%	45.7%	21.4%	11.4%	17.1%	17.9%	49.3%	23.9%	9.0%
McLeod	1	41	15	6	3	15	36	11	3
	1.5%	62.1%	22.7%	9.1%	4.5%	23.1%	55.4%	16.9%	4.6%
Mahnommen	1	40	24	9	12	17	35	21	12
	1.2%	46.5%	27.9%	10.5%	14.0%	20.0%	41.2%	24.7%	14.1%
Marshall	0	5	7	6	0	4	9	5	0
	0.0%	27.8%	38.9%	33.3%	0.0%	22.2%	50.0%	27.8%	0.0%
Martin	7	31	23	14	4	23	30	17	2
	8.9%	39.2%	29.1%	17.7%	5.1%	31.9%	41.7%	23.6%	2.8%
Meeker	4	31	11	6	3	12	29	6	4
	7.3%	56.4%	20.0%	10.9%	5.5%	23.5%	56.9%	11.8%	7.8%
Mille Lacs	6	29	31	9	5	13	35	16	10
	7.5%	36.3%	38.8%	11.3%	6.3%	17.6%	47.3%	21.6%	13.5%
Morrison	3	32	25	6	0	14	33	10	6
	4.5%	48.5%	37.9%	9.1%	0.0%	22.2%	52.4%	15.9%	9.5%

Table 12 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Mower	3	52	44	25	8	28	65	27	9
	2.3%	39.4%	33.3%	18.9%	6.1%	21.7%	50.4%	20.9%	7.0%
Murray	0	6	3	1	3	6	5	2	0
	0.0%	46.2%	23.1%	7.7%	23.1%	46.2%	38.5%	15.4%	0.0%
Nicollet	1	58	33	18	6	30	54	24	7
	0.9%	50.0%	28.4%	15.5%	5.2%	26.1%	47.0%	20.9%	6.1%
Nobles	4	25	18	12	11	19	28	10	9
	5.7%	35.7%	25.7%	17.1%	15.7%	28.8%	42.4%	15.2%	13.6%
Norman	1	8	5	1	4	3	7	7	1
	5.3%	42.1%	26.3%	5.3%	21.1%	16.7%	38.9%	38.9%	5.6%
Olmsted	16	199	126	80	84	124	209	98	58
	3.2%	39.4%	25.0%	15.8%	16.6%	25.4%	42.7%	20.0%	11.9%
Otter Tail	9	77	37	10	10	35	59	26	14
	6.3%	53.8%	25.9%	7.0%	7.0%	26.1%	44.0%	19.4%	10.4%
Pennington	3	30	7	7	4	12	22	10	4
	5.9%	58.8%	13.7%	13.7%	7.8%	25.0%	45.8%	20.8%	8.3%
Pine	4	55	35	11	5	25	42	21	18
	3.6%	50.0%	31.8%	10.0%	4.5%	23.6%	39.6%	19.8%	17.0%
Pipestone	0	17	7	3	2	5	19	5	0
	0.0%	58.6%	24.1%	10.3%	6.9%	17.2%	65.5%	17.2%	0.0%
Polk	5	67	47	21	19	35	61	43	15
	3.1%	42.1%	29.6%	13.2%	11.9%	22.7%	39.6%	27.9%	9.7%
Pope	0	6	5	3	0	5	6	1	2
	0.0%	42.9%	35.7%	21.4%	0.0%	35.7%	42.9%	7.1%	14.3%
Ramsey	111	2,115	1,408	807	766	937	2,416	1,184	559
	2.1%	40.6%	27.0%	15.5%	14.7%	18.4%	47.4%	23.2%	11.0%
Red Lake	1	5	4	2	0	2	7	0	2
	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	18.2%	63.6%	0.0%	18.2%
Redwood	1	20	7	4	5	5	21	4	6
	2.7%	54.1%	18.9%	10.8%	13.5%	13.9%	58.3%	11.1%	16.7%
Renville	3	20	9	12	2	13	17	8	5
	6.5%	43.5%	19.6%	26.1%	4.3%	30.2%	39.5%	18.6%	11.6%
Rice	6	78	33	15	10	39	59	19	19
	4.2%	54.9%	23.2%	10.6%	7.0%	28.7%	43.4%	14.0%	14.0%
Rock	0	14	6	4	1	3	12	8	2
	0.0%	56.0%	24.0%	16.0%	4.0%	12.0%	48.0%	32.0%	8.0%
Roseau	1	17	3	0	0	6	9	1	4
	4.8%	81.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	45.0%	5.0%	20.0%
St. Louis	38	590	377	143	75	244	580	242	119
	3.1%	48.2%	30.8%	11.7%	6.1%	20.6%	48.9%	20.4%	10.0%
Scott	1	61	39	17	18	36	65	18	16
	0.7%	44.9%	28.7%	12.5%	13.2%	26.7%	48.1%	13.3%	11.9%
Sherburne	2	66	37	16	6	27	60	29	9
	1.6%	52.0%	29.1%	12.6%	4.7%	21.6%	48.0%	23.2%	7.2%
Sibley	1	10	8	5	4	12	5	9	1
	3.6%	35.7%	28.6%	17.9%	14.3%	44.4%	18.5%	33.3%	3.7%
Stearns	9	208	120	59	37	85	203	94	42
	2.1%	48.0%	27.7%	13.6%	8.5%	20.0%	47.9%	22.2%	9.9%
Steele	7	43	28	21	19	31	48	21	11
	5.9%	36.4%	23.7%	17.8%	16.1%	27.9%	43.2%	18.9%	9.9%

Table 12 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Stevens	0	4	3	3	1	5	2	0	4
	0.0%	36.4%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%	18.2%	0.0%	36.4%
Swift	0	8	3	5	1	2	6	3	6
	0.0%	47.1%	17.6%	29.4%	5.9%	11.8%	35.3%	17.6%	35.3%
Todd	7	25	21	8	5	13	23	17	6
	10.6%	37.9%	31.8%	12.1%	7.6%	22.0%	39.0%	28.8%	10.2%
Traverse	0	6	1	3	0	1	5	2	2
	0.0%	60.0%	10.0%	30.0%	0.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Wabasha	2	13	12	1	2	9	14	3	2
	6.7%	43.3%	40.0%	3.3%	6.7%	32.1%	50.0%	10.7%	7.1%
Wadena	2	33	19	7	1	15	27	11	7
	3.2%	53.2%	30.6%	11.3%	1.6%	25.0%	45.0%	18.3%	11.7%
Waseca	2	30	15	14	4	16	31	10	6
	3.1%	46.2%	23.1%	21.5%	6.2%	25.4%	49.2%	15.9%	9.5%
Washington	10	229	122	54	36	113	201	88	39
	2.2%	50.8%	27.1%	12.0%	8.0%	25.6%	45.6%	20.0%	8.8%
Watonwan	2	8	5	5	5	8	8	7	0
	8.0%	32.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	34.8%	34.8%	30.4%	0.0%
Wilkin	2	4	3	1	2	3	4	2	1
	16.7%	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Winona	3	81	34	16	12	37	57	31	18
	2.1%	55.5%	23.3%	11.0%	8.2%	25.9%	39.9%	21.7%	12.6%
Wright	9	103	71	28	11	52	88	52	21
	4.1%	46.4%	32.0%	12.6%	5.0%	24.4%	41.3%	24.4%	9.9%
Yellow Medicine	1	7	3	2	2	5	4	3	2
	6.7%	46.7%	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	35.7%	28.6%	21.4%	14.3%
Northwest	11	136	73	38	27	64	116	67	27
	3.9%	47.7%	25.6%	13.3%	9.5%	23.4%	42.3%	24.5%	9.9%
West Central	83	993	651	339	185	480	1,003	457	228
	3.7%	44.1%	28.9%	15.1%	8.2%	22.1%	46.3%	21.1%	10.5%
Northeast	60	809	488	207	99	330	770	329	174
	3.6%	48.6%	29.3%	12.4%	6.0%	20.6%	48.0%	20.5%	10.9%
Central	61	851	490	209	106	383	743	354	176
	3.6%	49.6%	28.5%	12.2%	6.2%	23.1%	44.9%	21.4%	10.6%
Southwest	10	186	101	53	46	84	180	79	43
	2.5%	47.0%	25.5%	13.4%	11.6%	21.8%	46.6%	20.5%	11.1%
South Central	26	279	166	106	52	162	274	126	41
	4.1%	44.4%	26.4%	16.9%	8.3%	26.9%	45.4%	20.9%	6.8%
Southeast	47	621	375	217	160	350	611	270	142
	3.3%	43.7%	26.4%	15.3%	11.3%	25.5%	44.5%	19.7%	10.3%
Metro Suburban	62	1,263	694	337	228	558	1,176	526	262
	2.4%	48.9%	26.9%	13.0%	8.8%	22.1%	46.6%	20.9%	10.4%
Core Metro	304	5,196	3,300	1,848	1,695	2,315	5,691	2,653	1,380
	2.5%	42.1%	26.7%	15.0%	13.7%	19.2%	47.3%	22.0%	11.5%
Minnesota	664	10,334	6,338	3,354	2,598	4,726	10,564	4,861	2,473
	2.9%	44.4%	27.2%	14.4%	11.2%	20.9%	46.7%	21.5%	10.9%

**Table 13. All Children in December 2004 One-eligible-adult DWP Households,
by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Aitkin	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	0
	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Anoka	14	78	56	22	7	23	64	55	21
	7.9%	44.1%	31.6%	12.4%	4.0%	14.1%	39.3%	33.7%	12.9%
Becker	3	2	0	3	1	1	3	2	0
	33.3%	22.2%	0.0%	33.3%	11.1%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Beltrami	7	18	10	5	0	3	14	10	6
	17.5%	45.0%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	9.1%	42.4%	30.3%	18.2%
Benton	3	9	6	3	1	2	12	2	3
	13.6%	40.9%	27.3%	13.6%	4.5%	10.5%	63.2%	10.5%	15.8%
Big Stone	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Blue Earth	3	11	3	1	1	3	7	6	0
	15.8%	57.9%	15.8%	5.3%	5.3%	18.8%	43.8%	37.5%	0.0%
Brown	1	2	2	1	0	1	2	1	1
	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Carlton	1	8	4	1	0	1	4	5	3
	7.1%	57.1%	28.6%	7.1%	0.0%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	23.1%
Carver	1	7	5	0	1	1	4	5	3
	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%	0.0%	7.1%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	23.1%
Cass	3	8	5	1	1	2	5	6	2
	16.7%	44.4%	27.8%	5.6%	5.6%	13.3%	33.3%	40.0%	13.3%
Chippewa	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	1	0
	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Chisago	2	11	9	3	1	3	13	5	3
	7.7%	42.3%	34.6%	11.5%	3.8%	12.5%	54.2%	20.8%	12.5%
Clay	3	12	9	3	2	6	15	5	0
	10.3%	41.4%	31.0%	10.3%	6.9%	23.1%	57.7%	19.2%	0.0%
Clearwater	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Cook	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	0	5	3	2	1	3	4	2	2
	0.0%	45.5%	27.3%	18.2%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%
Crow Wing	3	13	4	4	1	1	8	7	6
	12.0%	52.0%	16.0%	16.0%	4.0%	4.5%	36.4%	31.8%	27.3%
Dakota	18	62	40	23	7	9	57	44	22
	12.0%	41.3%	26.7%	15.3%	4.7%	6.8%	43.2%	33.3%	16.7%
Dodge	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Douglas	1	4	7	0	0	1	4	4	2
	8.3%	33.3%	58.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%
Faribault	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	3	2
	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%
Fillmore	1	1	1	1	1	0	4	0	0
	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Freeborn	1	6	11	1	2	4	12	3	1
	4.8%	28.6%	52.4%	4.8%	9.5%	20.0%	60.0%	15.0%	5.0%

Table 13 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Goodhue	2	8	4	1	2	1	10	1	3
	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%	5.9%	11.8%	6.7%	66.7%	6.7%	20.0%
Grant	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Hennepin	82	312	194	105	70	64	302	202	113
	10.7%	40.9%	25.4%	13.8%	9.2%	9.4%	44.3%	29.7%	16.6%
Houston	3	8	6	3	1	1	8	5	4
	14.3%	38.1%	28.6%	14.3%	4.8%	5.6%	44.4%	27.8%	22.2%
Hubbard	1	3	3	0	0	1	3	2	0
	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Isanti	2	5	2	3	1	1	7	2	1
	15.4%	38.5%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	9.1%	63.6%	18.2%	9.1%
Itasca	6	15	8	1	1	1	14	4	6
	19.4%	48.4%	25.8%	3.2%	3.2%	4.0%	56.0%	16.0%	24.0%
Jackson	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0
	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%
Kanabec	3	2	6	2	0	0	5	4	1
	23.1%	15.4%	46.2%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	40.0%	10.0%
Kandiyohi	3	13	9	6	2	1	20	4	5
	9.1%	39.4%	27.3%	18.2%	6.1%	3.3%	66.7%	13.3%	16.7%
Kittson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Koochiching	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	0
	-	-	-	-	-	0.0%	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%
Lac Qui Parle	0	3	1	0	1	0	2	2	1
	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%
Lake	0	3	0	0	2	0	1	2	2
	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
Lake of Woods	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Le Sueur	1	4	2	2	0	2	5	1	0
	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	0.0%	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	0.0%
Lincoln	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Lyon	1	5	3	3	0	2	7	1	1
	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	18.2%	63.6%	9.1%	9.1%
McLeod	0	7	4	0	0	2	7	2	0
	0.0%	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	63.6%	18.2%	0.0%
Mahnommen	2	3	3	0	0	0	3	2	1
	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%
Marshall	0	2	0	2	0	1	2	0	1
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Martin	0	7	2	2	2	0	7	4	2
	0.0%	53.8%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	0.0%	53.8%	30.8%	15.4%
Meeker	0	5	1	2	2	1	4	4	1
	0.0%	50.0%	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	40.0%	10.0%
Mille Lacs	0	2	5	2	0	0	4	4	1
	0.0%	22.2%	55.6%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%	44.4%	11.1%
Morrison	1	3	3	2	0	0	1	5	2
	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	62.5%	25.0%

Table 13 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Mower	5	10	9	5	1	3	8	8	6
	16.7%	33.3%	30.0%	16.7%	3.3%	12.0%	32.0%	32.0%	24.0%
Murray	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Nicollet	2	10	6	4	3	4	12	4	3
	8.0%	40.0%	24.0%	16.0%	12.0%	17.4%	52.2%	17.4%	13.0%
Nobles	0	7	0	3	1	4	4	1	2
	0.0%	63.6%	0.0%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	9.1%	18.2%
Norman	0	2	1	1	0	0	4	0	0
	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Olmsted	7	45	25	8	7	11	32	26	16
	7.6%	48.9%	27.2%	8.7%	7.6%	12.9%	37.6%	30.6%	18.8%
Otter Tail	3	12	6	2	1	1	12	3	5
	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	8.3%	4.2%	4.8%	57.1%	14.3%	23.8%
Pennington	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Pine	4	9	8	4	2	2	12	6	3
	14.8%	33.3%	29.6%	14.8%	7.4%	8.7%	52.2%	26.1%	13.0%
Pipestone	1	3	0	1	0	1	2	1	0
	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Polk	0	6	3	3	1	3	4	6	0
	0.0%	46.2%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	23.1%	30.8%	46.2%	0.0%
Pope	0	4	2	0	0	0	1	4	1
	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%
Ramsey	65	222	120	66	66	64	195	142	73
	12.1%	41.2%	22.3%	12.2%	12.2%	13.5%	41.1%	30.0%	15.4%
Red Lake	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Redwood	0	1	2	1	2	1	3	1	1
	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%
Renville	0	2	1	1	1	0	3	1	1
	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Rice	2	9	4	3	1	1	10	5	1
	10.5%	47.4%	21.1%	15.8%	5.3%	5.9%	58.8%	29.4%	5.9%
Rock	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	2
	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%
Roseau	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
St. Louis	22	55	19	9	3	7	41	19	19
	20.4%	50.9%	17.6%	8.3%	2.8%	8.1%	47.7%	22.1%	22.1%
Scott	2	11	5	3	0	1	10	8	0
	9.5%	52.4%	23.8%	14.3%	0.0%	5.3%	52.6%	42.1%	0.0%
Sherburne	2	6	10	4	2	3	6	9	4
	8.3%	25.0%	41.7%	16.7%	8.3%	13.6%	27.3%	40.9%	18.2%
Sibley	0	3	3	1	1	1	3	0	4
	0.0%	37.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	37.5%	0.0%	50.0%
Stearns	5	26	8	8	6	5	20	16	7
	9.4%	49.1%	15.1%	15.1%	11.3%	10.4%	41.7%	33.3%	14.6%
Steele	3	8	2	3	2	0	7	6	2
	16.7%	44.4%	11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	0.0%	46.7%	40.0%	13.3%

Table 13 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Stevens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Swift	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Todd	2	6	2	0	1	1	5	1	2
	18.2%	54.5%	18.2%	0.0%	9.1%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	22.2%
Traverse	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wabasha	0	3	3	1	0	0	4	3	0
	0.0%	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%
Wadena	3	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0
	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Waseca	0	3	5	1	2	0	6	4	1
	0.0%	27.3%	45.5%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%	54.5%	36.4%	9.1%
Washington	6	33	15	4	2	10	13	23	8
	10.0%	55.0%	25.0%	6.7%	3.3%	18.5%	24.1%	42.6%	14.8%
Watonwan	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Wilkin	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Winona	1	9	9	0	0	4	6	4	4
	3.4%	31.0%	31.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%
Wright	3	15	5	2	0	1	12	9	0
	7.9%	39.5%	13.2%	5.3%	0.0%	4.5%	54.5%	40.9%	0.0%
Yellow Medicine	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Northwest	2	14	6	6	1	4	12	9	2
	6.9%	48.3%	20.7%	20.7%	3.4%	14.8%	44.4%	33.3%	7.4%
West Central	32	90	58	24	7	20	79	53	27
	15.2%	42.7%	27.5%	11.4%	3.3%	11.2%	44.1%	29.6%	15.1%
Northeast	29	85	38	14	6	10	67	36	30
	16.9%	49.4%	22.1%	8.1%	3.5%	7.0%	46.9%	25.2%	21.0%
Central	27	112	74	40	18	21	125	68	30
	10.0%	41.3%	27.3%	14.8%	6.6%	8.6%	51.2%	27.9%	12.3%
Southwest	6	34	13	14	6	14	31	11	11
	8.2%	46.6%	17.8%	19.2%	8.2%	20.9%	46.3%	16.4%	16.4%
South Central	7	45	25	13	9	12	43	24	13
	7.1%	45.5%	25.3%	13.1%	9.1%	13.0%	46.7%	26.1%	14.1%
Southeast	25	110	74	26	17	25	103	62	37
	9.9%	43.7%	29.4%	10.3%	6.7%	11.0%	45.4%	27.3%	16.3%
Metro Suburban	41	191	121	52	17	44	148	135	54
	9.7%	45.3%	28.7%	12.3%	4.0%	11.5%	38.8%	35.4%	14.2%
Core Metro	147	534	314	171	136	128	497	344	186
	11.3%	41.0%	24.1%	13.1%	10.4%	11.1%	43.0%	29.8%	16.1%
Minnesota	316	1,215	723	360	217	278	1,105	742	390
	11.2%	42.9%	25.5%	12.7%	7.7%	11.1%	43.9%	29.5%	15.5%

Table 14. Family Violence, Chemical Dependency and Severe Mental Health Diagnosis for December 2004 One-eligible-adult Cases, by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	MFIP Family Violence Exemption or Extension in 1994-2004		Adult Chemical Dependency Diagnosis in 2002-2004		Adult Severe Mental Health Diagnosis in 2002-2004	
	MFIP	DWP	MFIP	DWP	MFIP	DWP
Anoka	38	3	212	24	337	34
	3.6%	1.7%	19.9%	13.6%	31.7%	19.2%
Beltrami	22	0	259	12	144	6
	3.1%	0.0%	36.2%	30.0%	20.1%	15.0%
Dakota	28	0	144	13	239	18
	3.2%	0.0%	16.6%	8.7%	27.6%	12.0%
Hennepin	359	2	1,309	68	1545	50
	5.0%	0.3%	18.3%	8.9%	21.7%	6.6%
Olmsted	58	1	69	13	127	22
	11.5%	1.1%	13.7%	14.1%	25.1%	23.9%
Ramsey	294	2	879	42	1261	57
	5.6%	0.4%	16.9%	7.8%	24.2%	10.6%
St. Louis	92	2	290	15	425	24
	7.5%	1.9%	23.7%	13.9%	34.8%	22.2%
Washington	20	0	96	10	122	10
	4.4%	0.0%	21.3%	16.7%	27.1%	16.7%
All Other Counties	319	3	1,279	110	1835	140
	5.2%	0.3%	20.9%	12.2%	30.0%	15.5%
Northwest	9	0	60	2	94	7
	3.2%	0.0%	21.1%	6.9%	33.0%	24.1%
West Central	107	2	640	39	616	38
	4.8%	0.9%	28.4%	18.5%	27.4%	18.0%
Northeast	110	2	388	26	552	34
	6.6%	1.2%	23.3%	15.1%	33.2%	19.8%
Central	110	1	376	25	520	38
	6.4%	0.4%	21.9%	9.2%	30.3%	14.0%
Southwest	12	0	57	10	124	9
	3.0%	0.0%	14.4%	13.7%	31.3%	12.3%
South Central	55	0	102	14	186	15
	8.7%	0.0%	16.2%	14.1%	29.6%	15.2%
Southeast	84	1	233	29	387	47
	5.9%	0.4%	16.4%	11.1%	27.3%	17.9%
Metro Suburban	90	3	493	52	750	66
	3.5%	0.7%	19.1%	12.3%	29.0%	15.6%
Core Metro	653	4	2,188	110	2,806	107
	5.3%	0.3%	17.7%	8.4%	22.7%	8.2%
Minnesota	1,230	13	4,537	307	6,035	361
	5.3%	0.5%	19.5%	10.8%	25.9%	12.7%

Table 15. December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, MFIP Counted Months and New MFIP Cases in 2004, by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Aitkin	47	33	7	14.9%	23	6	12.8%	8	17.0%	1	2.1%
Anoka	1,063	39	260	24.5%	27	225	21.2%	230	21.6%	46	4.3%
Becker	202	43	61	30.2%	29	49	24.3%	35	17.3%	5	2.5%
Beltrami	715	52	288	40.3%	16	50	7.0%	102	14.3%	6	0.8%
Benton	120	37	25	20.8%	25	20	16.7%	30	25.0%	2	1.7%
Big Stone	11	45	3	27.3%	28	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%
Blue Earth	185	32	21	11.4%	24	28	15.1%	44	23.8%	2	1.1%
Brown	35	26	4	11.4%	19	4	11.4%	11	31.4%	0	0.0%
Carlton	130	32	22	16.9%	22	15	11.5%	27	20.8%	2	1.5%
Carver	68	34	11	16.2%	23	11	16.2%	15	22.1%	2	2.9%
Cass	205	42	55	26.8%	27	37	18.0%	36	17.6%	2	1.0%
Chippewa	32	28	3	9.4%	19	2	6.3%	6	18.8%	0	0.0%
Chisago	144	34	19	13.2%	24	19	13.2%	25	17.4%	1	0.7%
Clay	228	34	37	16.2%	25	37	16.2%	50	21.9%	21	9.2%
Clearwater	77	36	15	19.5%	23	8	10.4%	24	31.2%	4	5.2%
Cook	8	21	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
Cottonwood	39	30	4	10.3%	20	3	7.7%	9	23.1%	2	5.1%
Crow Wing	188	34	31	16.5%	23	24	12.8%	38	20.2%	5	2.7%
Dakota	866	37	186	21.5%	26	138	15.9%	170	19.6%	30	3.5%
Dodge	28	31	4	14.3%	18	1	3.6%	8	28.6%	1	3.6%
Douglas	67	27	8	11.9%	20	5	7.5%	27	40.3%	3	4.5%
Faribault	31	31	5	16.1%	20	2	6.5%	8	25.8%	0	0.0%
Fillmore	41	29	3	7.3%	19	2	4.9%	11	26.8%	0	0.0%
Freeborn	111	36	15	13.5%	22	7	6.3%	21	18.9%	7	6.3%
Goodhue	106	31	14	13.2%	22	15	14.2%	26	24.5%	4	3.8%
Grant	14	38	3	21.4%	22	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%
Hennepin	7,136	43	2,004	28.1%	29	1,694	23.7%	1,327	18.6%	397	5.6%
Houston	61	28	5	8.2%	23	4	6.6%	13	21.3%	4	6.6%
Hubbard	76	38	14	18.4%	25	11	14.5%	13	17.1%	4	5.3%
Isanti	81	32	15	18.5%	22	12	14.8%	19	23.5%	1	1.2%

Table 15 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Itasca	162	34	28	17.3%	24	25	15.4%	42	25.9%	2	1.2%
Jackson	23	32	3	13.0%	22	2	8.7%	5	21.7%	1	4.3%
Kanabec	61	31	8	13.1%	22	4	6.6%	15	24.6%	0	0.0%
Kandiyohi	172	27	19	11.0%	20	10	5.8%	53	30.8%	8	4.7%
Kittson	5	20	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	3	60.0%
Koochiching	62	31	6	9.7%	23	6	9.7%	17	27.4%	4	6.5%
Lac Qui Parle	8	35	2	25.0%	26	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	31	24	3	9.7%	18	4	12.9%	16	51.6%	1	3.2%
Lake of the Woods	9	26	1	11.1%	20	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%
Le Sueur	65	24	7	10.8%	18	3	4.6%	22	33.8%	1	1.5%
Lincoln	7	29	1	14.3%	18	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%
Lyon	70	33	9	12.9%	24	11	15.7%	14	20.0%	2	2.9%
McLeod	66	26	4	6.1%	18	3	4.5%	14	21.2%	1	1.5%
Mahnomen	86	47	26	30.2%	29	15	17.4%	5	5.8%	0	0.0%
Marshall	18	30	0	0.0%	27	2	11.1%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%
Martin	79	31	16	20.3%	23	12	15.2%	21	26.6%	4	5.1%
Meeker	55	33	6	10.9%	21	5	9.1%	14	25.5%	1	1.8%
Mille Lacs	80	36	16	20.0%	23	10	12.5%	18	22.5%	0	0.0%
Morrison	66	34	14	21.2%	22	9	13.6%	14	21.2%	2	3.0%
Mower	132	28	17	12.9%	20	11	8.3%	36	27.3%	7	5.3%
Murray	13	26	2	15.4%	18	0	0.0%	5	38.5%	0	0.0%
Nicollet	116	29	9	7.8%	21	11	9.5%	23	19.8%	3	2.6%
Nobles	70	35	15	21.4%	26	9	12.9%	19	27.1%	10	14.3%
Norman	19	37	4	21.1%	24	3	15.8%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%
Olmsted	505	34	76	15.0%	23	57	11.3%	108	21.4%	29	5.7%
Otter Tail	143	26	12	8.4%	20	12	8.4%	42	29.4%	8	5.6%
Pennington	51	34	8	15.7%	24	7	13.7%	10	19.6%	2	3.9%
Pine	110	36	24	21.8%	23	15	13.6%	26	23.6%	0	0.0%
Pipestone	29	30	3	10.3%	22	4	13.8%	7	24.1%	5	17.2%
Polk	159	36	29	18.2%	25	24	15.1%	36	22.6%	7	4.4%

Table 15 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Pope	14	35	3	21.4%	29	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%
Ramsey	5,207	48	1,823	35.0%	32	1,575	30.2%	830	15.9%	220	4.2%
Red Lake	12	25	1	8.3%	16	0	0.0%	4	33.3%	4	33.3%
Redwood	37	36	9	24.3%	25	6	16.2%	8	21.6%	0	0.0%
Renville	46	29	6	13.0%	21	7	15.2%	13	28.3%	2	4.3%
Rice	142	27	16	11.3%	20	12	8.5%	45	31.7%	6	4.2%
Rock	25	22	1	4.0%	14	0	0.0%	9	36.0%	4	16.0%
Roseau	21	16	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	7	33.3%	0	0.0%
St. Louis	1,223	41	309	25.3%	28	243	19.9%	205	16.8%	35	2.9%
Scott	136	36	32	23.5%	25	23	16.9%	37	27.2%	7	5.1%
Sherburne	127	32	21	16.5%	22	10	7.9%	28	22.0%	3	2.4%
Sibley	28	35	6	21.4%	22	4	14.3%	5	17.9%	0	0.0%
Stearns	433	34	70	16.2%	25	61	14.1%	87	20.1%	17	3.9%
Steele	118	29	9	7.6%	22	11	9.3%	25	21.2%	5	4.2%
Stevens	11	25	0	0.0%	23	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%
Swift	17	47	7	41.2%	34	6	35.3%	3	17.6%	3	17.6%
Todd	66	32	11	16.7%	21	8	12.1%	24	36.4%	2	3.0%
Traverse	10	28	1	10.0%	21	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%
Wabasha	30	20	2	6.7%	16	1	3.3%	10	33.3%	2	6.7%
Wadena	62	27	5	8.1%	20	4	6.5%	16	25.8%	3	4.8%
Waseca	65	30	9	13.8%	22	10	15.4%	17	26.2%	7	10.8%
Washington	451	35	87	19.3%	24	55	12.2%	88	19.5%	11	2.4%
Watsonwan	25	26	3	12.0%	20	2	8.0%	7	28.0%	3	12.0%
Wilkin	12	26	2	16.7%	18	0	0.0%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%
Winona	146	37	27	18.5%	26	25	17.1%	35	24.0%	4	2.7%
Wright	222	32	32	14.4%	20	19	8.6%	59	26.6%	5	2.3%
Yellow Medicine	15	27	1	6.7%	19	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%

Table 15 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Northwest	285	33	42	14.7%	23	36	12.6%	67	23.5%	12	4.2%
West Central	2,251	41	587	26.1%	22	276	12.3%	444	19.7%	67	3.0%
Northeast	1,663	39	375	22.5%	27	299	18.0%	316	19.0%	45	2.7%
Central	1,717	33	265	15.4%	23	195	11.4%	401	23.4%	41	2.4%
Southwest	396	32	63	15.9%	23	49	12.4%	91	23.0%	27	6.8%
South Central	629	30	80	12.7%	22	76	12.1%	158	25.1%	20	3.2%
Southeast	1,420	32	188	13.2%	22	146	10.3%	338	23.8%	69	4.9%
Metro Suburban	2,584	37	576	22.3%	26	452	17.5%	540	20.9%	96	3.7%
Core Metro	12,343	45	3,827	31.0%	30	3,269	26.5%	2,157	17.5%	617	5.0%
Minnesota	23,288	41	6,003	25.8%	27	4,798	20.6%	4,512	19.4%	994	4.3%

Table 16. December 2004 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, MFIP Counted Months and New MFIP Cases in 2004, by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Anoka	177	11	7	4.0%	7	5	2.8%	118	66.7%	24	13.6%
Beltrami	40	14	1	2.5%	8	1	2.5%	27	67.5%	7	17.5%
Dakota	150	13	11	7.3%	11	5	3.3%	84	56.0%	34	22.7%
Hennepin	763	12	43	5.6%	11	36	4.7%	502	65.8%	284	37.2%
Olmsted	92	10	5	5.4%	9	3	3.3%	60	65.2%	29	31.5%
Ramsey	539	12	35	6.5%	10	17	3.2%	347	64.4%	176	32.7%
St. Louis	108	14	6	5.6%	9	2	1.9%	61	56.5%	19	17.6%
Washington	60	10	3	5.0%	7	2	3.3%	41	68.3%	8	13.3%
All Other Counties	902	10	18	2.0%	7	11	1.2%	550	61.0%	151	16.7%
Northwest	29	12	0	0.0%	7	0	0.0%	14	48.3%	2	6.9%
West Central	211	11	7	3.3%	7	5	2.4%	134	63.5%	29	13.7%
Northeast	172	13	8	4.7%	9	3	1.7%	99	57.6%	26	15.1%
Central	271	10	4	1.5%	7	3	1.1%	161	59.4%	39	14.4%
Southwest	73	7	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%	50	68.5%	19	26.0%
South Central	99	11	2	2.0%	7	1	1.0%	59	59.6%	22	22.2%
Southeast	252	9	8	3.2%	7	4	1.6%	160	63.5%	63	25.0%
Metro Suburban	422	11	22	5.2%	8	13	3.1%	264	62.6%	72	17.1%
Core Metro	1,302	12	78	6.0%	10	53	4.1%	849	65.2%	460	35.3%
Minnesota	2,831	11	129	4.6%	9	82	2.9%	1,790	63.2%	732	25.9%

Table 17. December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Aitkin	4	8.5%	14	\$179	16	34.0%	\$744	90
Anoka	125	11.8%	286	\$251	388	36.5%	\$886	99
Becker	17	8.4%	68	\$250	79	39.1%	\$711	92
Beltrami	79	11.0%	208	\$346	256	35.8%	\$918	117
Benton	10	8.3%	37	\$240	51	42.5%	\$809	96
Big Stone	1	9.1%	6	\$405	5	45.5%	\$675	75
Blue Earth	36	19.5%	75	\$331	85	45.9%	\$826	103
Brown	8	22.9%	18	\$346	22	62.9%	\$804	94
Carlton	22	16.9%	48	\$266	64	49.2%	\$668	88
Carver	7	10.3%	17	\$185	30	44.1%	\$789	80
Cass	11	5.4%	43	\$201	59	28.8%	\$721	89
Chippewa	7	21.9%	10	\$295	16	50.0%	\$779	96
Chisago	17	11.8%	41	\$225	48	33.3%	\$701	80
Clay	28	12.3%	85	\$252	109	47.8%	\$677	90
Clearwater	12	15.6%	26	\$254	33	42.9%	\$593	73
Cook	1	12.5%	3	\$185	5	62.5%	\$140	15
Cottonwood	4	10.3%	18	\$173	21	53.8%	\$626	85
Crow Wing	35	18.6%	79	\$320	97	51.6%	\$858	103
Dakota	89	10.3%	211	\$253	288	33.3%	\$921	103
Dodge	3	10.7%	7	\$260	10	35.7%	\$848	98
Douglas	6	9.0%	16	\$297	20	29.9%	\$807	100
Faribault	4	12.9%	17	\$323	18	58.1%	\$861	105
Fillmore	7	17.1%	13	\$358	14	34.1%	\$908	98
Freeborn	22	19.8%	45	\$269	57	51.4%	\$758	96
Goodhue	12	11.3%	38	\$328	41	38.7%	\$957	100
Grant	4	28.6%	6	\$232	6	42.9%	\$839	109
Hennepin	840	11.8%	1,686	\$313	2,238	31.4%	\$958	101
Houston	9	14.8%	24	\$292	32	52.5%	\$684	90
Hubbard	14	18.4%	25	\$281	22	28.9%	\$700	103
Isanti	6	7.4%	24	\$303	31	38.3%	\$757	94

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.

Table 17 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Itasca	13	8.0%	39	\$262	55	34.0%	\$648	95
Jackson	4	17.4%	6	\$191	9	39.1%	\$757	115
Kanabec	5	8.2%	16	\$350	17	27.9%	\$762	111
Kandiyohi	18	10.5%	52	\$273	61	35.5%	\$864	119
Kittson	1	20.0%	2	*	3	60.0%	*	*
Koochiching	4	6.5%	18	\$170	27	43.5%	\$662	101
Lac Qui Parle	2	25.0%	1	*	1	12.5%	*	*
Lake	2	6.5%	10	\$192	11	35.5%	\$437	61
Lake of the Woods	1	11.1%	6	\$201	8	88.9%	\$721	119
Le Sueur	6	9.2%	20	\$287	24	36.9%	\$680	79
Lincoln	1	14.3%	2	*	4	57.1%	*	*
Lyon	23	32.9%	29	\$353	38	54.3%	\$1,001	120
McLeod	8	12.1%	22	\$238	33	50.0%	\$927	116
Mahnomen	7	8.1%	18	\$285	23	26.7%	\$865	103
Marshall	2	11.1%	7	\$252	6	33.3%	\$597	102
Martin	10	12.7%	36	\$248	45	57.0%	\$729	97
Meeker	7	12.7%	18	\$218	26	47.3%	\$851	100
Mille Lacs	6	7.5%	25	\$283	29	36.3%	\$851	101
Morrison	10	15.2%	22	\$213	29	43.9%	\$866	100
Mower	28	21.2%	61	\$322	73	55.3%	\$766	99
Murray	3	23.1%	4	\$181	8	61.5%	\$606	0
Nicollet	20	17.2%	42	\$237	51	44.0%	\$718	92
Nobles	10	14.3%	29	\$294	35	50.0%	\$773	101
Norman	1	5.3%	6	\$131	11	57.9%	\$768	97
Olmsted	93	18.4%	178	\$324	215	42.6%	\$981	115
Otter Tail	17	11.9%	52	\$241	71	49.7%	\$700	91
Pennington	8	15.7%	19	\$263	21	41.2%	\$719	101
Pine	14	12.7%	38	\$339	39	35.5%	\$848	113
Pipestone	9	31.0%	16	\$383	18	62.1%	\$877	116
Polk	24	15.1%	50	\$301	57	35.8%	\$832	102

Table 17 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Pope	1	0.5%	2	*	3	1.5%	*	*
Ramsey	595	11.4%	1,179	\$334	1,545	29.7%	\$989	111
Red Lake	1	8.3%	5	*	4	33.3%	\$861	105
Redwood	7	18.9%	16	\$474	17	45.9%	\$958	107
Renville	6	13.0%	16	\$285	17	37.0%	\$840	111
Rice	24	16.9%	48	\$330	65	45.8%	\$930	116
Rock	5	20.0%	11	\$392	13	52.0%	\$842	100
Roseau	1	4.8%	4	\$202	6	28.6%	\$585	75
St. Louis	175	14.3%	390	\$273	495	40.5%	\$746	94
Scott	21	15.4%	32	\$332	50	36.8%	\$1,025	112
Sherburne	5	3.9%	33	\$232	42	33.1%	\$955	105
Sibley	4	14.3%	13	\$230	15	53.6%	\$767	105
Stearns	55	12.7%	143	\$270	184	42.5%	\$804	90
Steele	15	12.7%	46	\$335	48	40.7%	\$1,020	123
Stevens	3	27.3%	5	*	4	36.4%	\$550	76
Swift	4	23.5%	7	\$292	10	58.8%	\$880	118
Todd	12	18.2%	26	\$300	27	40.9%	\$691	102
Traverse	2	20.0%	2	\$223	5	50.0%	*	*
Wabasha	3	10.0%	10	\$238	12	40.0%	\$828	121
Wadena	6	9.7%	26	\$307	29	46.8%	\$568	78
Waseca	5	7.7%	9	\$149	22	33.8%	\$848	113
Washington	50	11.1%	131	\$230	179	39.7%	\$769	91
Watonwan	2	8.0%	8	\$263	10	40.0%	\$945	99
Wilkin	4	33.3%	7	\$232	8	66.7%	\$548	75
Winona	21	14.4%	55	\$359	66	45.2%	\$887	110
Wright	19	8.6%	59	\$234	73	32.9%	\$698	89
Yellow Medicine	0	0.0%	3	\$113	5	33.3%	*	*

Table 17 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Northwest	38	13.3%	93	\$270	108	37.9%	\$779	99
West Central	269	12.0%	722	\$285	888	39.4%	\$780	100
Northeast	221	13.3%	522	\$263	673	40.5%	\$718	92
Central	176	10.3%	524	\$263	651	37.9%	\$811	98
Southwest	80	20.2%	158	\$308	200	50.5%	\$821	104
South Central	95	15.1%	238	\$278	292	46.4%	\$783	98
Southeast	237	16.7%	525	\$321	633	44.6%	\$901	109
Metro Suburban	292	11.3%	677	\$250	935	36.2%	\$879	99
Core Metro	1,435	11.6%	2,865	\$322	3,783	30.6%	\$971	105
Minnesota	2,843	12.2%	6,324	\$297	8,163	35.1%	\$887	102

Table 18. December 2004 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Extensions, Sanctions and Child Support Payments, by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Extensions		Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Aitkin	0	0.0%	7	14.9%	14	29.8%	\$247
Anoka	97	9.1%	78	7.3%	159	15.0%	\$212
Becker	11	5.4%	15	7.4%	28	13.9%	\$171
Beltrami	19	2.7%	49	6.9%	67	9.4%	\$168
Benton	7	5.8%	13	10.8%	20	16.7%	\$257
Big Stone	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	*
Blue Earth	3	1.6%	8	4.3%	36	19.5%	\$272
Brown	0	0.0%	2	5.7%	8	22.9%	\$185
Carlton	3	2.3%	11	8.5%	23	17.7%	\$207
Carver	5	7.4%	3	4.4%	10	14.7%	\$123
Cass	13	6.3%	25	12.2%	27	13.2%	\$230
Chippewa	0	0.0%	5	15.6%	9	28.1%	\$164
Chisago	3	2.1%	19	13.2%	30	20.8%	\$227
Clay	12	5.3%	20	8.8%	35	15.4%	\$253
Clearwater	6	7.8%	2	2.6%	11	14.3%	\$105
Cook	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	*
Cottonwood	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	11	28.2%	\$261
Crow Wing	7	3.7%	10	5.3%	32	17.0%	\$235
Dakota	39	4.5%	69	8.0%	141	16.3%	\$202
Dodge	0	0.0%	4	14.3%	6	21.4%	\$202
Douglas	2	3.0%	4	6.0%	12	17.9%	\$230
Faribault	0	0.0%	3	9.7%	9	29.0%	\$210
Fillmore	0	0.0%	5	12.2%	11	26.8%	\$251
Freeborn	0	0.0%	9	8.1%	30	27.0%	\$187
Goodhue	5	4.7%	9	8.5%	24	22.6%	\$250
Grant	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	4	28.6%	*
Hennepin	655	9.2%	494	6.9%	796	11.2%	\$189
Houston	2	3.3%	7	11.5%	14	23.0%	\$293
Hubbard	2	2.6%	3	3.9%	18	23.7%	\$109
Isanti	1	1.2%	16	19.8%	10	12.3%	\$261
Itasca	12	7.4%	16	9.9%	36	22.2%	\$195
Jackson	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	9	39.1%	\$265
Kanabec	1	1.6%	7	11.5%	9	14.8%	\$261
Kandiyohi	1	0.6%	17	9.9%	27	15.7%	\$189
Kittson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	*
Koochiching	0	0.0%	4	6.5%	12	19.4%	\$228
Lac Qui Parle	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	*
Lake	1	3.2%	7	22.6%	1	3.2%	*
Lake of the Woods	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	*
Le Sueur	1	1.5%	6	9.2%	15	23.1%	\$158
Lincoln	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	*
Lyon	6	8.6%	6	8.6%	15	21.4%	\$188
McLeod	1	1.5%	15	22.7%	13	19.7%	\$196
Mahnomen	5	5.8%	11	12.8%	11	12.8%	\$219
Marshall	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	4	22.2%	*
Martin	1	1.3%	7	8.9%	17	21.5%	\$218
Meeker	3	5.5%	4	7.3%	16	29.1%	\$174
Mille Lacs	4	5.0%	4	5.0%	15	18.8%	\$157

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.

Table 18 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Extensions		Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Morrison	1	1.5%	7	10.6%	19	28.8%	\$345
Mower	1	0.8%	23	17.4%	15	11.4%	\$303
Murray	0	0.0%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	*
Nicollet	0	0.0%	3	2.6%	33	28.4%	\$239
Nobles	3	4.3%	2	2.9%	14	20.0%	\$272
Norman	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	3	15.8%	*
Olmsted	4	0.8%	24	4.8%	83	16.4%	\$200
Otter Tail	1	0.7%	12	8.4%	19	13.3%	\$245
Pennington	2	3.9%	7	13.7%	5	9.8%	\$192
Pine	5	4.5%	16	14.5%	16	14.5%	\$277
Pipestone	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	5	17.2%	\$125
Polk	14	8.8%	14	8.8%	45	28.3%	\$218
Pope	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	*
Ramsey	868	16.7%	86	1.7%	624	12.0%	\$189
Red Lake	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	*
Redwood	3	8.1%	3	8.1%	8	21.6%	\$92
Renville	1	2.2%	12	26.1%	6	13.0%	\$250
Rice	2	1.4%	10	7.0%	18	12.7%	\$201
Rock	0	0.0%	1	4.0%	4	16.0%	*
Roseau	0	0.0%	6	28.6%	4	19.0%	*
St. Louis	91	7.4%	123	10.1%	235	19.2%	\$172
Scott	8	5.9%	23	16.9%	16	11.8%	\$215
Sherburne	5	3.9%	20	15.7%	22	17.3%	\$218
Sibley	2	7.1%	3	10.7%	6	21.4%	\$194
Stearns	18	4.2%	25	5.8%	68	15.7%	\$212
Steele	2	1.7%	9	7.6%	25	21.2%	\$265
Stevens	0	0.0%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	*
Swift	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	4	23.5%	*
Todd	2	3.0%	4	6.1%	11	16.7%	\$130
Traverse	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	*
Wabasha	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	3	10.0%	*
Wadena	1	1.6%	4	6.5%	11	17.7%	\$214
Waseca	2	3.1%	11	16.9%	11	16.9%	\$234
Washington	23	5.1%	33	7.3%	73	16.2%	\$226
Watsonwan	0	0.0%	2	8.0%	8	32.0%	\$206
Wilkin	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	*
Winona	10	6.8%	13	8.9%	27	18.5%	\$169
Wright	7	3.2%	43	19.4%	51	23.0%	\$185
Yellow Medicine	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	3	20.0%	*
Northwest	19	6.7%	32	11.2%	66	23.2%	\$221
West Central	85	3.8%	180	8.0%	317	14.1%	\$205
Northeast	107	6.4%	169	10.2%	323	19.4%	\$182
Central	57	3.3%	211	12.3%	303	17.6%	\$219
Southwest	18	4.5%	28	7.1%	93	23.5%	\$201
South Central	9	1.4%	45	7.2%	143	22.7%	\$225
Southeast	26	1.8%	115	8.1%	256	18.0%	\$221
Metro Suburban	172	6.7%	206	8.0%	399	15.4%	\$210
Core Metro	1,523	12.3%	580	4.7%	1,420	11.5%	\$189
Minnesota	2,016	8.7%	1,566	6.7%	3,320	14.3%	\$200

Table 19. December 2004 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, Counted Months and New MFIP Cases in 2004, by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Aitkin	22	33	3	13.6%	21	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%
Anoka	197	29	22	11.2%	23	22	11.2%	52	26.4%	18	9.1%
Becker	33	37	7	21.2%	29	5	15.2%	5	15.2%	0	0.0%
Beltrami	199	44	54	27.1%	16	8	4.0%	27	13.6%	0	0.0%
Benton	20	42	5	25.0%	30	5	25.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%
Big Stone	5	29	0	0.0%	34	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Blue Earth	59	30	3	5.1%	26	7	11.9%	17	28.8%	5	8.5%
Brown	11	22	1	9.1%	14	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%
Carlton	25	23	1	4.0%	16	0	0.0%	6	24.0%	0	0.0%
Carver	10	36	2	20.0%	25	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%
Cass	74	33	14	18.9%	24	10	13.5%	22	29.7%	*	0.0%
Chippewa	9	17	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	*	0.0%
Chisago	19	26	1	5.3%	21	1	5.3%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%
Clay	50	31	8	16.0%	24	6	12.0%	15	30.0%	6	12.0%
Clearwater	12	44	2	16.7%	32	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%
Cook	2	31	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cottonwood	6	24	1	16.7%	22	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	*	0.0%
Crow Wing	35	36	6	17.1%	27	5	14.3%	4	11.4%	*	0.0%
Dakota	106	32	17	16.0%	23	8	7.5%	20	18.9%	*	0.0%
Dodge	12	20	1	8.3%	13	0	0.0%	6	50.0%	*	0.0%
Douglas	11	46	4	36.4%	32	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%
Faribault	5	41	2	40.0%	24	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%
Fillmore	4	25	0	0.0%	18	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Freeborn	21	28	2	9.5%	18	0	0.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%
Goodhue	14	28	1	7.1%	22	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	*	0.0%
Grant	1	74	1	100.0%	27	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hennepin	844	39	197	23.3%	28	159	18.8%	179	21.2%	84	10.0%
Houston	6	31	1	16.7%	21	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	*	0.0%
Hubbard	17	30	2	11.8%	21	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%
Isanti	23	23	2	8.7%	16	0	0.0%	8	34.8%	0	0.0%

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.

Table 19 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total	Out-of-State		
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent		Count	Percent	
Itasca	34	31	5	14.7%	23	5	14.7%	5	14.7%	0	0.0%
Jackson	4	19	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Kanabec	8	43	2	25.0%	35	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
Kandiyohi	41	29	4	9.8%	21	3	7.3%	4	9.8%	0	0.0%
Kittson	1	11	0	0.0%	11	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Koochiching	21	37	5	23.8%	26	4	19.0%	4	19.0%	*	0.0%
Lac Qui Parle	2	44	0	0.0%	31	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	3	48	1	33.3%	32	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake of the Woods	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Sueur	11	28	1	9.1%	21	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	*	0.0%
Lincoln	2	11	0	0.0%	11	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Lyon	19	31	1	5.3%	25	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	0.0%
McLeod	12	21	1	8.3%	19	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%
Mahnomen	23	33	2	8.7%	27	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%
Marshall	5	31	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Martin	13	33	0	0.0%	28	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Meeker	14	30	2	14.3%	24	1	7.1%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%
Mille Lacs	17	37	4	23.5%	25	3	17.6%	6	35.3%	0	0.0%
Morrison	16	29	2	12.5%	20	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%
Mower	23	27	2	8.7%	22	2	8.7%	8	34.8%	*	0.0%
Murray	2	9	0	0.0%	8	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nicollet	23	27	1	4.3%	21	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	*	0.0%
Nobles	14	27	1	7.1%	20	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%
Norman	4	25	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	*	0.0%
Olmsted	101	28	9	8.9%	21	5	5.0%	20	19.8%	5	5.0%
Otter Tail	26	29	4	15.4%	20	1	3.8%	11	42.3%	*	0.0%
Pennington	7	16	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%
Pine	41	31	6	14.6%	24	4	9.8%	8	19.5%	*	0.0%
Pipestone	4	13	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	*	0.0%
Poik	36	29	6	16.7%	24	4	11.1%	8	22.2%	*	0.0%

Table 19 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1996-2004			Counted Months			New Cases in 2004		
		Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total	Out-of-State		
		Count	Percent		Count	Percent		Count	Percent	
Pope	3	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Ramsey	817	198	24.2%	28	189	23.1%	167	20.4%	84	10.3%
Red Lake	1	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	*	
Redwood	9	2	22.2%	24	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	*	
Renville	17	2	11.8%	23	0	0.0%	3	17.6%	*	
Rice	22	3	13.6%	24	1	4.5%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%
Rock	7	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%
Roseau	1	1	100.0%	60	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
St. Louis	205	40	19.5%	27	33	16.1%	31	15.1%	*	
Scott	20	8	40.0%	33	6	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sherburne	24	3	12.5%	18	2	8.3%	10	41.7%	3	12.5%
Sibley	6	1	16.7%	27	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	*	
Stearns	63	5	7.9%	21	5	7.9%	11	17.5%	4	6.3%
Steele	16	2	12.5%	20	1	6.3%	7	43.8%	*	
Stevens	2	0	0.0%	13	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	*	
Swift	5	0	0.0%	30	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Todd	29	8	27.6%	26	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%
Traverse	2	0	0.0%	35	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	*	
Wabasha	3	0	0.0%	16	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wadena	18	3	16.7%	19	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%
Waseca	15	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	4	26.7%	*	
Washington	64	8	12.5%	20	6	9.4%	18	28.1%	*	
Watonwan	5	0	0.0%	18	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	*	
Wilkin	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Winona	27	5	18.5%	24	3	11.1%	5	18.5%	*	
Wright	34	2	5.9%	17	2	5.9%	10	29.4%	*	
Yellow Medicine	3	0	0.0%	20	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 19 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1996-2004		Counted Months		New Cases in 2004					
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Northwest	55	28	7	12.7%	22	5	9.1%	15	27.3%	5	9.1%
West Central	551	38	117	21.2%	22	52	9.4%	104	18.9%	15	2.7%
Northeast	312	36	55	17.6%	25	44	14.1%	49	15.7%	6	1.9%
Central	333	29	39	11.7%	22	28	8.4%	72	21.6%	10	3.0%
Southwest	91	26	5	5.5%	21	7	7.7%	19	20.9%	*	
South Central	148	28	9	6.1%	23	13	8.8%	36	24.3%	13	8.8%
Southeast	249	28	26	10.4%	21	14	5.6%	60	24.1%	14	5.6%
Metro Suburban	397	31	57	14.4%	23	44	11.1%	92	23.2%	25	6.3%
Core Metro	1,661	39	395	23.8%	28	348	21.0%	346	20.8%	168	10.1%
Minnesota	3,797	35	710	18.7%	25	555	14.6%	793	20.9%	260	6.8%

Table 20. December 2004 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Aitkin	22	2	9.1%	11	\$283	11	50.0%	\$583	70
Anoka	197	24	12.2%	84	\$383	100	50.8%	\$1,227	126
Becker	33	3	9.1%	11	\$249	14	42.4%	\$1,063	116
Beltrami	199	33	16.6%	91	\$412	110	55.3%	\$1,195	150
Benton	20	4	20.0%	13	\$414	14	70.0%	\$1,154	128
Big Stone	5	0	0.0%	3	*	3	60.0%	*	*
Blue Earth	59	12	20.3%	31	\$467	39	66.1%	\$1,111	131
Brown	11	4	36.4%	6	\$634	7	63.6%	\$1,524	169
Carlton	25	6	24.0%	13	\$437	17	68.0%	\$1,189	125
Carver	10	2	20.0%	6	\$423	7	70.0%	\$1,209	124
Cass	74	8	10.8%	30	\$391	36	48.6%	\$1,134	128
Chippewa	9	1	11.1%	5	\$488	6	66.7%	\$938	139
Chisago	19	2	10.5%	7	\$452	7	36.8%	\$1,542	148
Clay	50	11	22.0%	25	\$562	28	56.0%	\$1,310	149
Clearwater	12	1	8.3%	3	\$285	6	50.0%	\$608	68
Cook	2	1	50.0%	1	*	1	50.0%	*	*
Cottonwood	6	1	16.7%	4	*	4	66.7%	*	*
Crow Wing	35	3	8.6%	20	\$331	22	62.9%	\$911	110
Dakota	106	16	15.1%	49	\$374	58	54.7%	\$1,034	118
Dodge	12	1	8.3%	5	\$271	8	66.7%	\$1,340	141
Douglas	11	1	9.1%	6	\$415	6	54.5%	\$1,259	177
Faribault	5	0	0.0%	3	*	1	20.0%	*	*
Fillmore	4	1	25.0%	2	*	3	75.0%	*	*
Freeborn	21	7	33.3%	14	\$632	13	61.9%	\$1,537	169
Goodhue	14	2	14.3%	7	\$476	7	50.0%	\$1,523	158
Grant	1	1	100.0%	1	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Hennepin	844	187	22.2%	405	\$496	472	55.9%	\$1,215	132
Houston	6	1	16.7%	6	*	5	83.3%	*	*
Hubbard	17	2	11.8%	7	\$350	8	47.1%	\$816	89
Isanti	23	2	8.7%	6	\$232	10	43.5%	\$1,205	128

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.

Table 20 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Itasca	34	8	23.5%	19	\$363	22	64.7%	\$826	106
Jackson	4	1	25.0%	2	*	3	75.0%	*	*
Kanabec	8	1	12.5%	3	\$278	6	75.0%	\$1,095	115
Kandiyohi	41	4	9.8%	16	\$319	25	61.0%	\$1,003	133
Kittson	1	0	0.0%	1	*	1	100.0%	*	*
Koochiching	21	9	42.9%	15	\$605	14	66.7%	\$988	131
Lac Qui Parle	2	0	0.0%	2	*	2	100.0%	*	*
Lake	3	0	0.0%	2	\$422	1	33.3%	\$1,159	128
Lake of the Woods	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Le Sueur	11	1	9.1%	7	\$301	5	45.5%	\$931	124
Lincoln	2	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Lyon	19	6	31.6%	12	\$703	13	68.4%	\$1,326	187
McLeod	12	2	16.7%	9	\$381	10	83.3%	\$880	92
Mahnomen	23	1	4.3%	8	\$216	13	56.5%	\$1,418	160
Marshall	5	1	20.0%	2	*	3	60.0%	*	*
Martin	13	4	30.8%	11	\$435	10	76.9%	\$1,147	155
Meeker	14	2	14.3%	7	\$350	11	78.6%	\$931	109
Mille Lacs	17	3	17.6%	8	\$360	11	64.7%	\$1,095	128
Morrison	16	0	0.0%	8	\$268	10	62.5%	\$860	84
Mower	23	4	17.4%	17	\$396	19	82.6%	\$884	102
Murray	2	0	0.0%	2	*	1	50.0%	*	*
Nicollet	23	5	21.7%	12	\$455	13	56.5%	\$1,030	126
Nobles	14	3	21.4%	10	\$564	10	71.4%	\$1,055	118
Norman	4	1	25.0%	3	*	3	75.0%	*	*
Olmsted	101	33	32.7%	64	\$510	69	68.3%	\$1,369	152
Otter Tail	26	6	23.1%	18	\$510	17	65.4%	\$1,228	145
Pennington	7	3	42.9%	5	*	4	57.1%	*	*
Pine	41	3	7.3%	20	\$341	23	56.1%	\$950	129
Pipestone	4	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Polk	36	3	8.3%	16	\$351	23	63.9%	\$1,040	128

Table 20 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Pope	3	1	33.3%	2	*	3	100.0%	*	*
Ramsey	817	166	20.3%	356	\$507	410	50.2%	\$1,264	144
Red Lake	1	0	0.0%	0	*	1	100.0%	*	*
Redwood	9	3	33.3%	7	\$402	8	88.9%	\$871	92
Renville	17	5	29.4%	10	\$435	12	70.6%	\$1,001	112
Rice	22	6	27.3%	13	\$375	13	59.1%	\$1,136	132
Rock	7	0	0.0%	2	*	3	42.9%	*	*
Roseau	1	1	100.0%	1	*	1	100.0%	*	*
St. Louis	205	43	21.0%	114	\$421	118	57.6%	\$1,037	129
Scott	20	3	15.0%	10	\$428	9	45.0%	\$1,064	109
Sherburne	24	3	12.5%	8	\$378	9	37.5%	\$1,519	182
Sibley	6	1	16.7%	4	\$339	6	100.0%	\$1,515	180
Stearns	63	15	23.8%	37	\$494	37	58.7%	\$1,097	120
Steele	16	3	18.8%	9	\$597	9	56.3%	\$2,104	184
Stevens	2	0	0.0%	2	*	2	100.0%	*	*
Swift	5	1	20.0%	3	*	3	60.0%	*	*
Todd	29	4	13.8%	14	\$265	14	48.3%	\$608	89
Traverse	2	0	0.0%	2	*	2	100.0%	*	*
Wabasha	3	0	0.0%	1	*	2	66.7%	*	*
Wadena	18	6	33.3%	15	\$507	13	72.2%	\$1,519	150
Waseca	15	1	6.7%	7	*	7	46.7%	*	*
Washington	64	11	17.2%	35	\$393	42	65.6%	\$1,119	125
Watonwan	5	2	40.0%	3	*	2	40.0%	*	*
Wilkin	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Winona	27	8	29.6%	18	\$556	17	63.0%	\$1,433	171
Wright	34	4	11.8%	14	\$451	13	38.2%	\$1,438	148
Yellow Medicine	3	0	0.0%	2	*	3	100.0%	*	*

Table 20 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Northwest	55	9	16.4%	27	\$356	36	65.5%	\$904	118
West Central	551	82	14.9%	263	\$395	304	55.2%	\$1,128	135
Northeast	312	69	22.1%	175	\$424	184	59.0%	\$1,001	122
Central	333	50	15.0%	158	\$389	188	56.5%	\$1,109	128
Southwest	91	16	17.6%	54	\$484	59	64.8%	\$1,098	136
South Central	148	30	20.3%	84	\$462	90	60.8%	\$1,154	139
Southeast	249	66	26.5%	156	\$483	165	66.3%	\$1,341	148
Metro Suburban	397	56	14.1%	184	\$386	216	54.4%	\$1,147	123
Core Metro	1,661	353	21.3%	761	\$501	882	53.1%	\$1,238	137
Minnesota	3,797	731	19.3%	1862	\$452	2124	55.9%	\$1,176	134

Table 21. December 2004 MFIP Two-eligible-adult Cases with Extensions, Sanctions and Child Support Payments, by Large County and Region

County/Region/ State	Extensions		Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Anoka	6	0.2%	15	7.6%	4	2.0%	*
Beltrami	0	0.0%	12	6.0%	8	4.0%	\$259
Dakota	3	0.1%	10	9.4%	3	2.8%	*
Hennepin	31	0.8%	47	5.6%	25	3.0%	\$217
Olmsted	0	0.0%	5	5.0%	3	3.0%	*
Ramsey	86	2.3%	16	2.0%	25	3.1%	\$140
St. Louis	11	0.3%	14	6.8%	6	2.9%	\$244
Washington	0	0.0%	3	4.7%	6	9.4%	\$115
All Other Counties	27	0.7%	113	7.7%	74	5.1%	\$183
Northwest	1	0.0%	4	7.3%	1	1.8%	*
West Central	6	0.2%	44	8.0%	32	5.8%	\$209
Northeast	14	0.4%	26	8.3%	21	6.7%	\$198
Central	6	0.2%	29	8.7%	13	3.9%	\$241
Southwest	3	0.1%	5	5.5%	3	3.3%	*
South Central	3	0.1%	15	10.1%	8	5.4%	\$249
Southeast	2	0.1%	17	6.8%	12	4.8%	\$136
Metro Suburban	12	0.3%	32	8.1%	14	3.5%	\$183
Core Metro	117	3.1%	63	3.8%	50	3.0%	\$189
Minnesota	164	4.3%	235	6.2%	154	4.1%	\$188

* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual data.