

This document is made available electronically by the Minnesota Legislative Reference Library as part of an ongoing digital archiving project. <http://www.leg.state.mn.us/lrl/lrl.asp>



Minnesota Department of **Human Services** \_\_\_\_\_

# **Characteristics of December 2005 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults**

Program Assessment and Integrity Division  
Minnesota Department of Human Services  
September 2006  
Revised: February 2007

*This report was revised in February 2007. Data pertaining to MFIP months counted toward the 60-month lifetime limit have been changed in Table 4 (page 13), Table 15 (page 53), Table 16 (page 56), and Table 19 (page 63).*

*Dana DeMaster  
Management Analyst III  
(651) 431-3963  
[dana.demaster@state.mn.us](mailto:dana.demaster@state.mn.us)*

*Leslie I. Crichton, Ph.D.  
Supervisor/Welfare Research and Evaluation  
(651) 431-3940  
[leslie.crichton@state.mn.us](mailto:leslie.crichton@state.mn.us)*

## Table of Contents

<b>Characteristics of December 2005 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults.....</b>	1
<b>Statewide Data.....</b>	2
Caseload Data.....	2
Table 1. December 2005 Counts and Percentages of MFIP, DWP and Tribal TANF Paid Cases and Eligible Persons.....	2
Figure 1. December 2005 MFIP Plus DWP Caseloads.....	3
Demographic Characteristics.....	4
Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	6
Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges.....	8
Table 3. Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges of December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	9
Economic Characteristics.....	12
Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases.....	13
<b>County and Regional Data.....</b>	18
Table 5. December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases, by County and Region.....	19
Table 6. December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases Compared with Census Data on Poverty, by County.....	26
Table 7. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2005 Paid MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status, by County and Region.....	29
Table 8. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2005 Paid DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status, by Large County and Region.....	36
Table 9. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2005 MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region.....	38
Table 10. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2005 DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region.....	39
Table 11. Family Types in December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Households, by County and Region.....	40
Table 12. All Children in December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Households, by County and Region.....	44
Table 13. All Children in December 2005 One-eligible-adult DWP Households, by County and Region.....	48
Table 14. Family Violence, Chemical Dependency, and Severe Mental Health Diagnosis for December 2005 One-eligible-adult Cases, by Large County and Region.....	52
Table 15. December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, MFIP Counted Months and New MFIP Cases in 2005, by County and Region.....	53
Table 16. December 2005 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, MFIP Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2005, by Large County and Region.....	56
Table 17. December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region.....	57
Table 18. December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Extensions, Sanctions, and Child Support Payments, by County and Region.....	61
Table 19. December 2005 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2005, by County and Region.....	63
Table 20. December 2005 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region.....	67
Table 21. December 2005 MFIP Two-eligible-adult Cases with Extensions, Sanctions, and Child Support Payments, by Large County and Region.....	70

## Characteristics of December 2005 Minnesota Family Assistance Programs: Cases and Eligible Adults

This is the ninth annual report that provides a snapshot of the universe of cases and participants in Minnesota's public assistance programs for families. This report describes characteristics of eligible adults and active cases receiving family public assistance through either the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or the Diversionary Work Program (DWP) in December 2005. Information on the demographics of the eligible adult caregivers; family composition, residence, and challenges; and economic status of paid cases is provided by state, county, and region. The Data Definitions and Policy Information sections that follow each table are essential for interpreting the data.<sup>1</sup>

Federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) funds all MFIP and DWP cases except cases with two eligible caregivers. TANF legislation set program requirements such as the five-year lifetime limit on welfare receipt, a work-first emphasis, participation requirements, and other rules.

MFIP started statewide in 1998, replacing Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC). The goals of MFIP were to increase employment and income, decrease welfare dependence, and decrease poverty. The first caseload characteristics report described eligible recipients and paid cases in December 1997, the month before the change to MFIP. Subsequent reports described MFIP participants and cases in December of each year.

The 2004 report added data on DWP which enrolled its first cases in July 2004. Many families who apply for cash assistance are directed to DWP with its intensive work supports and short-term benefits (up to four months). The goals of DWP are to facilitate unsubsidized employment, increase economic stability, and reduce the risk of needing assistance through MFIP in the future. Thus, the number of MFIP cases in a given month is decreased by at least the number of cases eligible for DWP. To interpret comparisons between outcomes for MFIP and DWP cases, one needs to take into account that DWP cases are considered more likely to attain or regain self-sufficiency quickly and that MFIP includes many long-term cases.

Findings that parallel this report for the traditional racial/ethnic groups (American Indian, Asian, black, Hispanic, and white) and the major subgroups in Minnesota among blacks (African Americans, Somali immigrants, and non-Somali African immigrants) and Asians (Asian Americans, Hmong immigrants, and other Asian immigrants) will follow as a report the Department of Human Services series on *Welfare Reform Outcomes of Racial/Ethnic and Immigrant Groups in Minnesota*.

Input from readers is invited, both reactions to this report and suggestions for data to include in future reports. The next annual report in this series will describe the family assistance caseload in December 2006.

---

<sup>1</sup> Public assistance data were extracted from MAXIS and medical data were extracted from MMIS, both in the DHS Data warehouse.

## Statewide Data

For reporting purposes, the MFIP population was divided into three segments: child-only cases in which the grant includes children but not their adult caregivers, cases with one eligible adult, and cases with two eligible adults. The DWP population, which cannot have child-only cases, is divided into cases with one or two eligible adult caregivers. The Mille Lacs American Indian Tribal Council administers MFIP-eligible cases in its jurisdiction. Their Tribal TANF program is a separate program from MFIP; tribal cases are only included in Table 1 of this report.

Table 1 (page 2) provides the distribution of MFIP, DWP, and Tribal TANF cases, eligible adults, and eligible children. Figure 1 (page 3) shows the history of MFIP and DWP caseloads since 1999. Table 2 (pages 6 - 7) gives the demographic characteristics of eligible adults: age, gender, education, marital status, ethnicity, and citizenship status. Table 3 (pages 9 - 11) reports case level statistics on family composition, residence, and challenges. Table 4 (pages 13 - 16) quantifies economic characteristics of cases.

### Caseload Data

#### Data Highlights: Table 1 and Figure 1


- The total MFIP and DWP caseload has declined since 2002, but the proportion and count of child-only cases increased each year since 1999. MFIP plus DWP cases decreased by 5 percent since December 2004 and declined by 8 percent between 2003 and 2004. MFIP cases with eligible adults decreased by 9 percent between December 2004 and December 2005, but child-only cases increased by 3 percent from 9,894 in December 2004 cases to 10,165 cases in December 2005. Since 1999, child-only cases have increased by 31 percent.
- Tribal TANF cases increased by 71 percent between 2004 and 2005 (69 cases to 236 cases). This reflects expansion of the program on April 1, 2005, to Minnesota Chippewa Tribe enrolled members who reside in Hennepin, Anoka, and Ramsey Counties.

**Table 1. December 2005 Counts and Percentages of MFIP, DWP and Tribal TANF Paid Cases and Eligible Persons**

Characteristics of Cases	Tribal TANF Cases	MFIP Paid Cases					DWP Paid Cases		
		All MFIP Paid Cases	Child Only Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults			DWP Cases with Eligible Adults		
				Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults
<b>Paid Cases</b>	236	35,048	10,165	24,883	21,112	3,771	4,048	3,162	886
	100.0%	100.0%	29.0%	71.0%	60.2%	10.8%	100.0%	78.1%	21.9%
<b>Eligible Adults</b>	289	28,654	0	28,654	21,112	7,542	4,934	3,162	1,772
	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%	64.1%	35.9%
<b>Eligible Children</b>	450	69,249	19,405	49,844	39,773	10,071	7,248	5,105	2,143
	100.0%	100.0%	28.0%	72.0%	57.4%	14.5%	100.0%	70.4%	29.6%

- The total MFIP caseload has declined 18 percent since 1999, from 41,534 cases to 35,048 cases, although this was not a steady decrease. Between 1999 and 2002 the caseload increased, but has since declined. When DWP cases are included, the total family cash assistance caseload has decreased by 6 percent over the same period, to 39,096 cases.
- Since 1999, child-only MFIP cases increased by 31 percent from 7,777 cases in December 1999 to 10,165 cases in December 2005. Nearly half of ineligible caregivers in December 2005 (49 percent) were ineligible due to receipt of Social Security Income (SSI).
- Between December 1999 and December 2005, MFIP cases with one eligible adult decreased by 38 percent (29,114 cases to 21,112 cases) and cases with two eligible adults decreased by 23 percent (4,643 cases to 3,771 cases).

**Figure 1. December MFIP Plus DWP Caseloads**



**Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 1 and Figure 1**

An “eligible adult” is an adult caregiver or minor parent who meets MFIP or DWP eligibility requirements and receives a MFIP or DWP grant. Cases with two caregivers in which one caregiver was ineligible for MFIP or DWP are included in the one-eligible-adult cases column. Since October 2001, cases with two eligible adults have been state funded. Cases receiving MFIP or DWP grants are known as “paid cases”; this excludes active cases suspended for the month because they have income great enough to cancel out the cash grant. This typically occurs in months with five weekly or three biweekly pay periods or when the deadline for submitting a reporting form as not been met.

Because DWP began enrolling cases in July 2004, this report is the first including a trend for DWP.

Percentages summed across subgroups sometimes do not equal 100 percent due to rounding.

## Demographic Characteristics

### Data Highlights: Table 2

- The demographic characteristics of recipients have remained similar during recent years. Eligible adults were more likely to be young (57 percent were less than 30 years old), female (81 percent of MFIP recipients and 75 percent of DWP recipients), less educated (43 percent of MFIP recipients and 32 percent of DWP recipients did not have a high school diploma), unmarried (18 percent of MFIP recipients and 26 percent of DWP recipients were married and living with their spouse), and people of color (60 percent of MFIP recipients listed a race other than white).
- Eligible adults on DWP were more likely to be male (29 percent compared to 19 percent), have at least a high school diploma or equivalent (68 percent compared to 57 percent), have been married (51 percent compared to 38 percent), and to be white (52 percent compared to 39 percent) than eligible adults on MFIP. These disparities can be attributed to differences between a short-term program and a longer-term program – long-term MFIP recipients are more likely to face significant barriers to employment whereas recipients that are diverted to DWP are considered more likely to quickly gain employment.
- Eligible adults on MFIP were more likely to never have married than eligible adults on DWP. Forty-nine percent of eligible adults on DWP had never been married as compared to 62 percent of eligible adults receiving MFIP. This difference is not due to the higher percentage of two-eligible-adult DWP cases (36 percent compared to 26 percent of MFIP cases) as the difference is consistent within case types; 42 percent of MFIP two-eligible-adult cases had never been married compared to 30 percent of DWP two-eligible-adult cases. Nearly 70 of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases reported never having married as compared to 60 percent of one-eligible-adult DWP cases.
- Black and American Indian people were under-represented in DWP cases as compared to MFIP cases. While 36 percent of eligible adults on MFIP were black, 29 percent of eligible adults on DWP were black. American Indians were 10 percent of eligible adults on MFIP and 4 percent of eligible adults on DWP.<sup>2</sup>
- The greatest difference between December 2004 and December 2005 demographic data were the changes in citizenship status between programs and years. The percentage of non-citizens in DWP cases was 27 percent in 2004, but declined to 17 percent of DWP cases in 2005. The percentage of non-citizens in MFIP cases was 14 percent in 2004 and increased to 17 percent in 2005. Changes in citizenship status between DWP in 2004 and MFIP in 2005 can largely attributed to the Hmong refugees that arrived in 2004.

---

<sup>2</sup> Race/ethnicity data were missing for 140 persons receiving MFIP and 51 persons receiving DWP.

**Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Eligible Adults on December 2005  
MFIP and DWP Paid Cases**

Eligible Adult Characteristics		MFIP Cases			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Eligible Adults	Count	21,112	7,542	28,654	3,163	1,772	4,935
	Percent of All Eligible Adults	73.7%	26.3%	100.0%	64.1%	35.9%	100.0%
Age of Adults	Mean	29.8	30.4	30.0	30.8	32.1	31.3
	Median	27	28	28	29	30	29
	Minimum	13	14	13	16	16	16
	Maximum	81	76	81	59	69	69
Frequency	< 18	517	93	610	3	7	10
		2.4%	1.2%	2.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%
	18 - 19	1,690	481	2,171	106	58	164
		8.0%	6.4%	7.6%	3.4%	3.3%	3.3%
	20 - 29	9,886	3,567	13,453	1,540	770	2,310
		46.8%	47.3%	46.9%	48.7%	43.5%	46.8%
	30 - 39	5,389	2,082	7,471	950	557	1,507
		25.5%	27.6%	26.1%	30.0%	31.4%	30.5%
	40 - 49	2,774	984	3,758	465	280	745
	13.1%	13.0%	13.1%	14.7%	15.8%	15.1%	
	50 - 59	713	282	995	99	80	179
		3.4%	3.7%	3.5%	3.1%	4.5%	3.6%
	60 and over	143	53	196	0	20	20
		0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	1.1%	0.4%
Gender	Female	19,317	3,771	23,088	2,822	886	3,708
		91.5%	50.0%	80.6%	89.2%	50.0%	75.1%
	Male	1,795	3,771	5,566	341	886	1,227
		8.5%	50.0%	19.4%	10.8%	50.0%	24.9%
Education	None or Pre-1st Grade or Unknown	1,042	1,269	2,311	176	308	484
		4.9%	16.8%	8.1%	5.6%	17.4%	9.8%
	Grade School	505	250	755	39	44	83
		2.4%	3.3%	2.6%	1.2%	2.5%	1.7%
	Some High School	7,062	2,219	9,281	639	389	1,028
		33.5%	29.4%	32.4%	20.2%	22.0%	20.8%
	High School Graduate	10,520	3,329	13,849	1,854	871	2,725
		49.8%	44.1%	48.3%	58.6%	49.2%	55.2%
	Some Post-Secondary	1,683	379	2,062	371	119	490
		8.0%	5.0%	7.2%	11.7%	6.7%	9.9%
College Graduate	173	50	223	34	21	55	
	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	
Graduate Degree	127	46	173	50	20	70	
		0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	1.6%	1.1%	1.4%
	High School Graduate or Higher	12,503	3,804	16,307	2,309	1,031	3,340
		59.2%	50.4%	56.9%	73.0%	58.2%	67.7%
Marital Status	Divorced	1,828	147	1,975	415	29	444
		8.7%	1.9%	6.9%	13.1%	1.6%	9.0%
	Legally Separated	59	7	66	20	1	21
		0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%	0.4%
	Married, Living with Spouse	1,009	4,039	5,048	75	1,203	1,278
		4.8%	53.6%	17.6%	2.4%	67.9%	25.9%
	Never Married	14,589	3,178	17,767	1,894	515	2,409
	69.1%	42.1%	62.0%	59.9%	29.1%	48.8%	
Married, Living Apart	3,383	164	3,547	726	21	747	
	16.0%	2.2%	12.4%	23.0%	1.2%	15.1%	
Widowed	244	7	251	33	2	35	
		1.2%	0.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.1%	0.7%



**Table 2 – Page 2**

Eligible Adult Characteristics		MFIP Cases			DWP Cases		
		One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All MFIP Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Race/Ethnicity	Asian	1,032	1,547	2,579	108	246	354
		4.9%	20.5%	9.0%	3.4%	7.8%	11.2%
	Black	8,274	1,936	10,210	993	435	1,428
		39.2%	25.7%	35.6%	31.4%	24.5%	28.9%
	Hispanic	1,079	362	1,441	183	126	309
		5.1%	4.8%	5.0%	5.8%	7.1%	6.3%
	American Indian	1,997	789	2,786	156	56	212
	9.5%	10.5%	9.7%	4.9%	3.2%	4.3%	
White	8,373	2,819	11,192	1,666	874	2,540	
	39.7%	37.4%	39.1%	52.7%	49.3%	51.5%	
Multiple	262	44	306	34	7	41	
	1.2%	0.6%	1.1%	1.1%	0.4%	0.8%	
Citizenship	Non-U.S.	2,478	2,258	4,736	330	496	826
		11.7%	29.9%	16.5%	10.4%	28.0%	16.7%
	U.S.	18,634	5,284	23,918	2,833	1,276	4,109
	88.3%	70.1%	83.5%	89.6%	72.0%	83.3%	
Non-Citizens	Asian	625	1,360	1,985	69	225	294
	Percent of All Asians	60.6%	87.9%	77.0%	63.9%	91.5%	83.1%
	Black	1,560	674	2,234	216	207	423
	Percent of All Blacks	18.9%	34.8%	21.9%	21.8%	47.6%	29.6%
	Hispanic	181	56	237	31	32	63
	Percent of All Hispanics	16.8%	15.5%	16.4%	16.9%	25.4%	20.4%
	American Indian	4	0	4	0	1	1
	Percent of All American Indians	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.8%	0.5%
	White	97	156	253	11	25	36
Percent of All Whites	1.2%	5.5%	2.3%	0.7%	2.9%	1.4%	

**Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 2**

Characteristics with no bearing on program eligibility may not be routinely updated after the initial application, including education, marital status, and citizenship. Thus, high school graduation and U.S. citizenship status may be under reported.

The coding method for race/ethnicity follows the 2000 U.S. Census methodology, with participants asked their racial choice (yes or no) for each of five racial categories (American Indian, Asian, black, Pacific Islander, and white) and ethnicity (Hispanic or non-Hispanic).

## Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges

### Data Highlights: Table 3

- A larger percentage of adults receiving DWP were pregnant with no other children in the household than adults receiving MFIP (9 percent compared to 3 percent, respectively). This may be related to the program length and timing (a pregnant woman applies for assistance and is diverted to DWP, four months later she gives birth and either exits or transitions to MFIP).
- Ineligible caregivers in child-only cases were most likely to be parents ineligible due to receipt of SSI (49 percent) or were relative caregivers (43 percent).
- While the percentage two-eligible-adult MFIP families that included spouses was similar to the percentage in December 2004 (53 percent compared to 50 percent), fewer two-eligible-adult DWP cases had married caregivers than in 2004 (67 percent as compared to 77 percent). These changes, along with some demographic changes, may be due to the Hmong refugees from Thailand who arrived in autumn 2004; they had a higher proportion of married adults than the general population receiving DWP in December 2004.
- The 2000 Census found that 23 percent of all Minnesotans lived in Hennepin County, 10 percent in Ramsey County, 25 percent in the Twin Cities metropolitan suburbs, and 42 percent in Greater Minnesota. The distribution of MFIP and DWP cases was more urban: 54 percent of MFIP and 40 percent of DWP cases with eligible adults resided in either Hennepin or Ramsey Counties.

**Table 3. Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges of December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases**

Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges		MFIP Paid Cases				DWP Paid Cases			
		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases			
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases	
Cases	Count	10,165	21,112	3,771	24,883	3,162	886	4,048	
	Percent of All Cases	29.0%	60.2%	10.8%	71.0%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	
Family Type	Natural/Adopted/Step Children	5,842	20,176	3,754	23,930	2,768	871	3,639	
Count of Cases		57.5%	95.6%	99.5%	96.2%	87.5%	98.3%	89.9%	
	Pregnant Only	-	622	9	631	332	12	344	
		0.0%	2.9%	0.2%	2.5%	10.5%	1.4%	8.5%	
	Relative Care	4,323	314	8	322	62	3	65	
		42.5%	1.5%	0.2%	1.3%	2.0%	0.3%	1.6%	
Two-caregiver Families	Count of Cases	974	1,278	3,771	5,049	26	886	912	
	Percent of Cases in Column	9.6%	6.1%	100.0%	20.3%	0.8%	100.0%	22.5%	
Two Caregivers Married	Count of Cases	723	883	1,984	2,867	14	596	610	
	Percent of Cases in Column	7.1%	4.2%	52.6%	11.5%	0.4%	67.3%	15.1%	
Ineligible Caregivers:	Relative Caregivers	4,332	11			-			
Count of Persons & Percent of Ineligible Caregivers		42.6%	0.1%			0.0%			
	SSI Parents	4,940	921			20			
		48.6%	4.4%			0.6%			
	Undocumented Noncitizen Parents	1,575	136			5			
		15.5%	0.6%			0.2%			
	MFIP Disqualified Parents	213	47			1			
		2.1%	0.2%			0.0%			
	Reached 60th Month And Opted Out	1	163			-			
		0.0%	0.8%			0.0%			
	Other Ineligible Parents	28	-			-			
		0.3%	0.0%			0.0%			
	Count of Ineligible Caregivers	11,089	1,278			26			
Number of Eligible Children in Family & Household	Mean	1.9	1.9	2.7	2.0	1.6	2.4	1.8	
	Count of Cases	10,165	21,112	3,771	24,883	3,162	886	4,048	
	Median	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	
	Minimum	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maximum	11	13	12	13	10	11	11	
	Frequency of Cases	0	0	933	24	957	398	18	416
		0.0%	4.4%	0.6%	3.8%	12.6%	2.0%	10.3%	
	1	5,160	9,574	1,091	10,665	1,352	266	1,618	
		50.8%	45.3%	28.9%	42.9%	42.8%	30.0%	40.0%	
	2	2,693	5,548	1,054	6,602	820	274	1,094	
		26.5%	26.3%	28.0%	26.5%	25.9%	30.9%	27.0%	
	3	1,308	2,869	661	3,530	395	161	556	
		12.9%	13.6%	17.5%	14.2%	12.5%	18.2%	13.7%	
	4 - 6	884	1,993	789	2,782	180	143	323	
		8.7%	9.4%	20.9%	11.2%	5.7%	16.1%	8.0%	
	7 - 9	113	181	136	317	14	23	37	
		1.1%	0.9%	3.6%	1.3%	0.4%	2.6%	0.9%	
	10 or more	7	14	16	30	3	1	4	
		0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	
	Count of Eligible Children	19,405	39,773	10,071	49,844	5,105	2,154	7,259	
Number of Ineligible Children in Family & Household	Frequency of Cases	0	9,210	19,593	3,548	23,141	2,921	834	3,755
		90.6%	92.8%	94.1%	93.0%	92.4%	94.1%	92.8%	
	1	800	1,356	201	1,557	154	41	195	
		7.9%	6.4%	5.3%	6.3%	4.9%	4.6%	4.8%	
	2	127	136	17	153	60	7	67	
		1.2%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	1.9%	0.8%	1.7%	
	3 or more	28	27	5	32	27	4	31	
		0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	0.5%	0.8%	
	Count of Ineligible Children	1,144	1,710	251	1,961	372	71	443	
	Percent of All Children in Column	5.6%	4.1%	2.4%	3.8%	6.8%	3.2%	5.8%	

**Table 3 – page 2**

Family Composition, Residence, and Challenges		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases			
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases	
Number of All Minor Children in Family & Household	Mean	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.1	1.7	2.5	1.9	
	Count of Cases	10,165	21,112	3,771	24,883	3,162	886	4,048	
	Median	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	
	Minimum	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maximum	11	14	12	14	10	11	11	
	Frequency of Cases	0	0	618	9	627	332	12	344
			0.0%	2.9%	0.2%	2.5%	10.5%	1.4%	8.5%
		1	4,744	9,378	1,055	10,433	1,298	255	1,553
			46.7%	44.4%	28.0%	41.9%	41.0%	28.8%	38.4%
		2	2,757	5,654	1,038	6,692	849	271	1,120
			27.1%	26.8%	27.5%	26.9%	26.9%	30.6%	27.7%
		3	1,454	676	1,454	2,130	443	168	611
			14.3%	3.2%	38.6%	8.6%	14.0%	19.0%	15.1%
		4 - 6	1,072	2,206	830	3,036	217	155	372
			10.5%	10.4%	22.0%	12.2%	6.9%	17.5%	9.2%
	7 - 9	130	211	146	357	19	24	43	
		1.3%	1.0%	3.9%	1.4%	0.6%	2.7%	1.1%	
	10 or more	8	19	17	36	4	1	5	
		0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	
	Count of All Children	20,549	41,483	10,322	51,805	5,477	2,225	7,702	
Age of Youngest Child (All Children)	Mean	6.3	5.1	4.3	5.0	6.4	4.3	5.9	
	Count of Cases	10,045	20,769	3,703	24,472	2,813	874	3,687	
	Median	5	3	2	3	5	2	4	
	Minimum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Maximum	18	19	18	19	19	19	19	
	Frequency of Cases	< 1 year old	1,706	4,600	983	5,583	593	260	853
			16.8%	21.8%	26.1%	22.4%	18.8%	29.3%	21.1%
		1-5 years old	3,809	9,039	1,678	10,717	1,376	397	1,773
			37.5%	42.8%	44.5%	43.1%	43.5%	44.8%	43.8%
		6-10 years old	1,952	3,467	550	4,017	627	128	755
			19.2%	16.4%	14.6%	16.1%	19.8%	14.4%	18.7%
	11-12 years old	740	1,204	158	1,362	169	32	201	
		7.3%	5.7%	4.2%	5.5%	5.3%	3.6%	5.0%	
	13-15 years old	1,179	1,709	244	1,953	244	43	287	
		11.6%	8.1%	6.5%	7.8%	7.7%	4.9%	7.1%	
	>15 years old	779	1,093	158	1,251	153	26	179	
		7.7%	5.2%	4.2%	5.0%	4.8%	2.9%	4.4%	
Region	Hennepin County	3,204	6,391	832	7,223	787	172	959	
			31.5%	30.3%	22.1%	29.0%	24.9%	19.4%	23.7%
	Ramsey County	2,050	5,068	1,091	6,159	476	166	642	
			20.2%	24.0%	28.9%	24.8%	15.1%	18.7%	15.9%
	Metro Suburban	1,368	2,885	415	3,300	665	157	822	
		13.5%	13.7%	11.0%	13.3%	21.0%	17.7%	20.3%	
	Greater Minnesota	3,543	6,768	1,433	8,201	1,234	391	1,625	
		34.9%	32.1%	38.0%	33.0%	39.0%	44.1%	40.1%	
Family Violence	MFIP Exemption or Extension During 2000 - 2005		1,130	70	1,200	22	4	26	
			5.4%	1.9%	4.8%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%	
Adult Mental Health Diagnosis (All Caregivers)	During 2003 - 2005	3,346	7,312	1,240	3,346	603	183	786	
			32.9%	34.6%	32.9%	13.4%	19.1%	20.7%	19.4%
	During 2005	2,439	5,264	885	2,439	413	126	539	
		24.0%	24.9%	23.5%	9.8%	13.1%	14.2%	13.3%	
Adult Chemical Dependency Diagnosis (All Caregivers)	During 2003 - 2005	1,254	4,444	966	5,410	411	102	513	
			12.3%	21.0%	25.6%	21.7%	13.0%	11.5%	12.7%
	During 2005	740	2,640	579	3,219	260	69	329	
		7.3%	12.5%	15.4%	12.9%	8.2%	7.8%	8.1%	

### **Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 3**

Table 3 provides case level statistics, except for person counts in the sections on ineligible caregivers and counts of children.

**Family Composition.** The Family Type section defines families by the relationship of the caregivers to the children. The three types of families were parents caring for their biological, adopted or step-children; caregivers caring for a relative's children; and pregnant women (and sometimes a spouse) with no other children.

**Ineligible Caregivers.** Caregivers may be ineligible due to receipt of SSI, being a relative caregiver, lack of documentation of their non-U.S. citizenship status, disqualification from MFIP due to fraud, or have reached their 60<sup>th</sup> month of MFIP eligibility and opted out of the case. Children in MFIP or DWP cases may also be ineligible to receive MFIP or DWP. The most common reason was receipt of SSI. Another reason is undocumented non-citizenship.

**Region.** The counties where families lived were grouped into the following regions: Hennepin County, Ramsey County, Twin Cities metropolitan suburban counties (Anoka, Carver, Chisago, Dakota, Isanti, Scott, Sherburne, Washington, and Wright), and Greater Minnesota (the remaining 76 counties).

**Family Challenges.** Family violence was indicated by whether a case had a time limit exemption or extension for a family violence safety plan at any time between 2000 and 2005. These numbers greatly underestimate the occurrence of family violence because they only include cases that not only reported the abuse, but also acted on a plan. Data on child protection assessments and determinations of maltreatment have been reported in previous years; updates will be incorporated into this report when available.

A severe mental health diagnosis identifies caregivers who were known to have been diagnosed with psychosis, depression, personality disorder, post-traumatic stress syndrome, or anxiety state during 2005 or during the three-year period 2003 to 2005. Chemical dependency diagnosis identifies caregivers who received that diagnosis during 2005 or during the three-year period 2003 to 2005. Both of these measures may underestimate the actual number of adults with these diagnoses because these only include cases that receive publicly paid health care that is recorded in administrative records. On the other hand, these diagnoses may be a secondary diagnosis and do not indicate whether treatment services were received. Starting in the 2004 report, the mental health and chemical health histories of all caregivers was considered rather than only eligible caregivers.

## Economic Characteristics

### Data Highlights: Table 4

- Overall, the distributions of total family assistance months in Minnesota and MFIP months counted toward the lifetime limit remain similar to previous years. DWP months do not count toward the 60-month TANF lifetime limit. From previous time on MFIP, 38 percent of DWP cases had at least one counted month.
- The percentage of MFIP cases with eligible adults who have extensions was similar to the percentage in 2004 – 10 percent in 2005 compared to 8 percent in 2004. The federal government will only fund extended cases that represent 20 percent or less of the MFIP caseload.
- Twenty percent of MFIP cases new in 2005 were opened by people who moved into Minnesota in 2005 compared to 24 percent in 2004; 42 percent of new DWP cases were new residents in 2005 compared to 49 percent in 2004. Overall, regardless of length of residency, 23 percent of eligible-adult MFIP cases and 54 percent of DWP cases were new cases in 2005.
- The most frequent states from which new residents in both programs had moved into the state were Illinois (529), Wisconsin (280), Texas (210), and California (155). The largest numbers of new residents were from foreign countries (652). Of the 88 cases that moved from Louisiana, eight came to Minnesota after Hurricane Katrina.
- Similar percentages of MFIP and DWP cases reported earned income (41 percent of MFIP cases with eligible adults and 45 percent of DWP cases). A working case is defined as a case with at least one caregiver with earned income, usually verified by presenting pay stubs and in some circumstances based on expected income.
- The number and percent of cases that had at least one child subject to the family cap increased between 2004 and 2005, to 11 percent of MFIP cases with eligible adults in 2005 from 4 percent in 2004. A larger percent of two-eligible-adult cases were affected by the family cap than one-eligible-adult cases or child-only cases (18 percent compared to 10 percent and 3 percent, respectively). The first month that the family cap was applied was May 2004, thus the increase between 2004 and 2005 was largely due to time since the policy was implemented.
- Total child support disbursements to MFIP cases, including both current and arrears, decreased from \$1.1 million in 2004 to a little more than \$890,000 in 2005, a 25 percent decrease. The number and percent of MFIP cases receiving child support also decreased – by 2 percentage points to 14 percent of MFIP cases between 2004 and 2005.

**Table 4. Economic Characteristics of December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases**

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Cases	Count	10,165	21,112	3,771	24,883	3,162	886	4,048
	Percent of All Cases	29.0%	60.2%	10.8%	71.0%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%
Family Assistance in Minnesota (Months): 1997 to 2005	Mean	56.9	39.3	29.9	37.9	10.5	8.4	10.1
	Median	55	32.0	21.0	30	0	0	0
Frequency of Cases	No AFDC or MFIP Months	0	0	0	0	1,791	558	2,349
Percent of Cases in Column		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	56.6%	63.0%	58.0%
	1 - 12 Months	1,715	5,250	1,401	6,651	475	118	593
		16.9%	24.9%	37.2%	26.7%	15.0%	13.3%	14.6%
	13 - 24 Months	1,188	3,365	653	4,018	350	90	440
		11.7%	15.9%	17.3%	16.1%	11.1%	10.2%	10.9%
	25 - 36 Months	959	2,900	503	3,403	214	46	260
		9.4%	13.7%	13.3%	13.7%	6.8%	5.2%	6.4%
	37 - 48 Months	823	2,355	364	2,719	159	45	204
		8.1%	11.2%	9.7%	10.9%	5.0%	5.1%	5.0%
49 - 60 Months	744	2,009	282	2,291	104	21	125	
	7.3%	9.5%	7.5%	9.2%	3.3%	2.4%	3.1%	
Over 60 Months	4,736	5,233	568	5,801	69	8	77	
	46.6%	24.8%	15.1%	23.3%	2.2%	0.9%	1.9%	
Counted MFIP Months Sept. 1996 to Dec. 2005	Mean	12.6	27.6	22.5	26.8	9.6	7.8	9.2
	Median	0	24	16	23	0	0	0
Maximum for Eligible Adult in Case	No Counted Months	6,017	1,281	107	1,388	1,592	501	2,093
		59.2%	6.1%	2.8%	5.6%	50.3%	56.5%	51.7%
Frequency of Cases	1 - 12 Months	1,097	5,581	1,521	7,102	660	170	830
		10.8%	26.4%	40.3%	28.5%	20.9%	19.2%	20.5%
	13 - 24 Months	741	3,729	703	4,432	424	112	536
		7.3%	17.7%	18.6%	17.8%	13.4%	12.6%	13.2%
	25 - 36 Months	647	3,128	508	3,636	240	57	297
		6.4%	14.8%	13.5%	14.6%	7.6%	6.4%	7.3%
	37 - 42 Months	247	1,361	215	1,576	89	21	110
		2.4%	6.4%	5.7%	6.3%	2.8%	2.4%	2.7%
	43 - 48 Months	272	1,223	195	1,418	56	14	70
	2.7%	5.8%	5.2%	5.7%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%	
49 - 54 Months	244	1,142	154	1,296	64	7	71	
	2.4%	5.4%	4.1%	5.2%	2.0%	0.8%	1.8%	
55 - 60 Months	900	3,667	368	4,035	37	4	41	
	8.9%	17.4%	9.8%	16.2%	1.2%	0.5%	1.0%	

Table 4 – page 2

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child- only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Months since Start of DWP Eligibility	1st Month				815	246	1,061	
					25.8%	27.8%	26.2%	
	2nd Month				794	204	998	
					25.1%	23.0%	24.7%	
	3rd Month				807	236	1,043	
					25.5%	26.6%	25.8%	
	4th Month				746	200	946	
					23.6%	22.6%	23.4%	
MFIP Extensions	Count		2,269	192	2,461			
	Percent of MFIP Cases		10.7%	5.1%	9.9%			
	Ill or incapacitated		590	53	643			
	Percent of Extended Cases		26.0%	27.6%	26.1%			
	Special Medical Criteria		404	34	438			
			17.8%	17.7%	17.8%			
	IQ below 80		426	43	469			
			18.8%	22.4%	19.1%			
	Mentally Ill		294	20	314			
			13.0%	10.4%	12.8%			
	Care of Ill or Incapacitated		195	8	203			
			8.6%	4.2%	8.2%			
	Employment Required Hours		131	19	150			
			5.8%	9.9%	6.1%			
	Unemployable		65	4	69			
			2.9%	2.1%	2.8%			
Family Violence Waiver		80	2	82				
		3.5%	1.0%	3.3%				
Learning Disabled		60	8	68				
		2.6%	4.2%	2.8%				
Mentally Retarded		20	0	20				
		0.9%	0.0%	0.8%				
Appeal		4	1	5				
		0.2%	0.5%	0.2%				



Table 4 – page 3

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child-only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
New Cases in 2005	Minnesota Resident before 2005	1,067	3,564	940	4,504	1,026	256	1,282
	Percent of New Cases	80.7%	81.3%	75.5%	80.0%	61.7%	48.1%	58.4%
	Moved into State in 2005	256	821	305	1,126	637	276	913
	Percent of New Cases	19.3%	18.7%	24.5%	20.0%	38.3%	51.9%	41.6%
Total of New Cases		1,323	4,385	1,245	5,630	1,663	532	2,195
Percent of All Cases		13.0%	20.8%	33.0%	22.6%	52.6%	60.0%	54.2%
MFIP or DWP Cash Grants	Mean of All Cases in Column	\$271	\$326	\$415	\$340	\$335	\$489	\$369
MFIP Food Portion	Mean of All Cases in Column	\$217	\$286	\$409	\$305			
Food Support- "Uncle Harry" Cases	Mean of Cases Receiving	\$112	\$103	\$103	\$103	\$191	\$395	\$220
	Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	48.3%	12.2%	7.8%	11.5%	0.2%	0.5%	0.7%
Food Support - Other Cases	Mean of Cases Receiving	\$193	\$289	\$401	\$309	\$289	\$430	\$320
	Percent of Cases in Column Receiving	1.5%	4.2%	5.1%	4.3%	90.3%	91.5%	90.5%
MFIP Food-Only Assistance	Mean	\$140	\$206	\$281	\$220			
	Count of Cases	690	2,866	646	3,512			
	Percent of All Cases	6.8%	13.6%	17.1%	14.1%			
Income / Working	Count of Cases	1,330	8,178	1,996	10,174	1,254	474	1,728
	Percent of All Cases	13.1%	38.7%	52.9%	40.9%	39.7%	53.5%	42.7%
December Total Earned Income	Mean of Working Cases	\$816	\$954	\$1,269	\$1,016	\$894	\$1,271	\$997
	Median	\$602	\$806	\$1,104	\$854	\$747	\$1,011	\$820
December Budgeted Earnings	Mean of Working Cases		\$450	\$589	\$480	\$463	\$661	\$528
	Median		\$397	\$533	\$424	\$395	\$515	\$431
December Monthly Work Hours	Mean of Working Cases	93	106	140	113	91	127	101
	Median	80	100	134	106	81	120	91
Percent of All Cases	1 - 79 Hours	613	2,891	483	3,374	560	159	719
		46.1%	35.4%	24.2%	33.2%	44.7%	33.5%	41.6%
	80 - 119 Hours	294	1,885	359	2,244	259	69	328
		22.1%	23.0%	18.0%	22.1%	20.7%	14.6%	19.0%
	120 - 159 Hours	161	1,556	356	1,912	203	70	273
		12.1%	19.0%	17.8%	18.8%	16.2%	14.8%	15.8%
	160 Hours or More	262	1,846	798	2,644	226	176	402
		19.7%	22.6%	40.0%	26.0%	18.0%	37.1%	23.3%
Wages Reported for 2nd Quarter 2005 for Jobs Covered by Unemployment Insurance	Mean of Cases with UI Wages	\$6,662	\$2,966	\$3,525	\$3,060	\$4,504	\$5,880	\$4,823
	Median	\$5,613	\$2,295	\$2,860	\$2,367	\$4,102	\$5,306	\$4,368
	Count of Cases	3,505	9,727	1,961	11,688	1,645	496	2,140
	Percent of All Cases	34.5%	46.1%	52.0%	47.0%	52.0%	56.0%	52.9%

Table 4 – page 4

Economic Characteristics of Cases		Child- only MFIP Cases	MFIP Cases with Eligible Caregivers			DWP Cases		
			One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	All DWP Cases
Employment Services Sanctions	10 % ES Sanction		448	99	547			
	30 % ES Sanction		1,114	229	1,343			
	All Cases with ES Sanctions		1,562	328	1,890			
	Percent of All Cases		7.4%	8.7%	7.6%			
	Six Counted Sanction Months	6	163	37	200			
	Percent of All Cases	0.1%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%			
Housing Subsidy	Mean Subsidy Amount > \$0	\$543	\$594	\$554	\$590			
	Count of Cases	2,947	6,988	852	7,840			
	Percent of All Cases	29.0%	33.1%	22.6%	31.5%			
Cases with Adult and/or Child Receiving SSI	Count of Cases	4,727	2,299	216	2,515	20	0	20
	Percent of Cases	46.5%	10.9%	5.7%	10.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%
Deductions to MFIP Grant	SSI (Maximum \$125 per person)	4,414	2,181	202	2,383			
	Percent of All Cases	43.4%	10.3%	5.4%	9.6%			
	Housing (Maximum \$50 per case)	73	4,657	639	5,296			
	Percent of All Cases	0.7%	22.1%	16.9%	21.3%			
	Either SSI or Housing Deductions > \$0	4,482	6,573	809	7,382			
	Percent of All Cases	44.1%	31.1%	21.5%	29.7%			
Family Cap	Mean Deduction > \$0	\$119	\$76	\$70	\$75			
	Count of Cases with One Child	304	1,912	627	2,539			
	Two Children	8	83	38	121			
	Three Children	0	2	0	2			
	Percentage of Cases	3.1%	9.5%	17.6%	10.7%			
Child Support Disbursements: Average of Payments > \$0	Current Mean	\$201	\$215	\$197	\$214			
	Median	\$168	\$192	\$180	\$190			
	Count	1,197	2,648	134	2,782			
	Percent of Cases	11.8%	12.5%	3.6%	11.2%			
	Sum	\$240,696	\$569,386	\$26,373	\$595,759			
	Arrears Mean	\$131	\$134	\$153	\$135			
	Median	\$61	\$62	\$68	\$62			
	Count	968	2,096	102	2,198			
	Percent of Cases	9.5%	9.9%	2.7%	8.8%			
	Sum	\$126,984	\$281,560	\$15,639	\$297,199			
	Total Mean	\$243	\$257	\$253	\$256			
	Median	\$178	\$199	\$191	\$199			
	Count	1,514	3,316	166	3,482			
	Percent of Cases	14.9%	15.7%	4.4%	14.0%			
	Sum	\$367,680	\$850,946	\$42,011	\$892,958			

## Data Definitions and Policy Information: Table 4

**Months of Welfare Use.** For this report series, welfare use in Minnesota was defined as the number of months a case was active on AFDC, Family General Assistance (FGA), or MFIP in the nine-year period ending with the December profiled, for this report between January 1997 and December 2005.

Counted MFIP months were defined as the maximum number of months of counted eligibility for TANF and/or MFIP between September 1996 and December 2005 of any eligible adult on the case. This includes Minnesota paid and federally paid months in Minnesota and federally paid months in other states, but excludes months exempt from the time limit. Counted months before the time limit of 60 months was reached in which special medical criteria were present can be “banked” (used to extend eligibility beyond 60 months after the time limit has been reached). Some months of MFIP/TANF receipt were not counted due to one of the following time limit exemptions: living on a reservation with a not-employed rate of at least 50 percent, family violence cases cooperating with an alternative employment plan, caregivers aged 60 or older, a minor parent complying with an education plan, or an 18 or 19-year old parent complying with education requirements in their employment plan.

DWP is a four-month program and its months do not count toward the MFIP lifetime limit. A case is eligible for DWP if the applicant has not received MFIP in the previous twelve months and if the applicant is deemed likely to benefit from intensive employment services. An applicant is ineligible for DWP if he or she has 60 or more MFIP-counted months.

A MFIP case can be extended beyond the 60 month lifetime limit if a hardship that is a permitted extension has been documented. Extensions may be granted for cases that have an ill or incapacitated caregiver, a family with special medical criteria, a caregiver with an IQ score below 80, a single parent working at least 30 hours or two parents working a total of 55 hours, or other reasons. A case sanctioned for not being in compliance with Employment Services (ES) or child support in month 60 can never be extended.

New welfare cases for 2005 were December 2005 cases active in a family cash assistance program in Minnesota for one or more months in 2005 but active zero months in 1992 through 2004 (the period covered by the administrative database). Cases new to the state had a 2005 state entry date. Minnesota residents were either lifelong residents or had moved to the state before 2005. This definition is consistent with definitions in the December 1999 through December 2004 reports.

**Cash and Food Grant Amounts.** MFIP includes a cash grant and a food portion that can only be spent on food. As families work their way toward leaving assistance, their cash grant is decreased first so that some families only receive a food portion. Families can opt out of the cash portion, receiving only the food portion, and those months do not count toward their lifetime limit. If a household member is not eligible for MFIP, that person may be eligible to receive Food Support, Minnesota’s Food Stamps program. These cases are referred to as “Uncle Harry” cases. DWP provides a cash grant only, but families are categorically eligible to receive Food Support as well.

The means for cash grants and MFIP food portions were calculated using the grant amounts for all cases, including zero cash or food portion for some MFIP cases. The means for Food Support amounts of DWP cases include only cases with grants greater than zero.

**Income, Earnings, and Work Hours.** Total income was either actual or projected December 2005 income of eligible adults and other adults whose earnings were deemed for the cases. The amount used was either verified earnings for December 2005 or zero for cases still active in February 2006 with no income reported for December 2005 (required to be reported by February 2006) or prospective December 2005 income for new cases with retrospective data missing and for all migrant cases. Total income was gross income except for the self-employed where it was gross less expenses, with a minimum of zero.

Mean total income excluded cases with no verified or expected income from eligible or deeming adults in December 2005.

The work hours reported for eligible and other deeming adults with earned income are defined in the same manner as earned income. The hours are totals for the case. The percentages of all cases with hours reported are slightly smaller than the percentages reported working because of hours that were not entered into the administrative database. Mean hours only included cases with any hours reported.

Budgeted earnings are total earnings with certain kinds and percentages of earnings subtracted to yield an amount that is then deducted from the MFIP grant level for work status and family size to determine the size of the MFIP grant paid. The budgeted earnings used to calculate the December 2005 grants were based on verified income earned in October 2005 or, for new cases and migrants, prospective earnings for December 2005. Mean budgeted earnings in the table were computed for cases which had budgeted earnings greater than zero.

Employers covered by the Unemployment Insurance (UI) system (which excludes federal government, religious, and seasonal workers) must report wages to the states. The table provides second quarter 2005 wages reported for participants' jobs covered by UI in Minnesota as a measure of recent work history and earnings. The second quarter predates DWP application (the earliest any of these DWP cases started was September), so for many or most DWP cases the second quarter was before the occurrence of whatever caused them to apply, often the loss of a job. They had either never been on MFIP or had been off for at least one year before starting DWP. Some MFIP participants were on DWP in the second quarter; many were on MFIP. Therefore, it is not surprising that there were differences between average incomes of participants when making program comparisons.

**Sanctions, Deductions, and Child Support.** MFIP cases not complying with Employment Services (ES) requirements can be sanctioned with a reduction of the grant amount. For one eligible adult not complying in 2005, the first sanction was 10 percent, while the first sanction for two eligible adults both not complying was 30 percent. If not resolved, the sanction was increased the next month to a maximum of 30 percent. In July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature instituted a policy that after the sixth occurrence of non-compliance a case may face closure, or 100 percent sanction. The percentage of all cases with each level of sanction is given, as well as the percentage of non-exempt cases.

Beginning in July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature mandated deductions from MFIP grants for cases including a family member who receives SSI (\$125 maximum deduction per person) and cases with housing subsidies (\$50 maximum deduction per case).

Also in July 2003, the Minnesota Legislature instituted the family cap, which does not allow a cash grant increase for cases with children conceived when the family was receiving MFIP. Any births after 10 months of eligibility did not result in an increase as it would have previously.

Child support disbursements were paid to families receiving MFIP in December 2005 from payments made for child support on behalf of children on the cases. This included both current payments and payments made for arrears on past months. All current support was passed through to custodial families and counted dollar-for dollar against the grants.

Child support data are only released to this division of DHS for people who have been part of an AFDC or MFIP case, so very incomplete data for child support disbursements to DWP cases were available. Therefore, these data are not included in this report.

## County and Regional Data

The following section presents data by county and geographic region. Each table, except for poverty rate data in Table 6, is drawn from the statewide tables. Please refer to the Data Definition and Policy Information Section for each statewide table for notes crucial to understanding the data. Note that percentages and means will be less stable and extreme values more common for counties with small caseloads. Unless stated, data are for all counties and geographic regions. Due to the small number of DWP cases, some DWP data are presented by large counties and regions only.

Statewide Table	County and Regional Table	Description
Table 1	Table 5	Total number of MFIP and DWP paid cases
	Table 6	Count of all MFIP and DWP cases and percentage of state cases with county family poverty rates
Table 2	Table 7	Age, gender, education, and marital status of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases
	Table 8	Age, gender, education, and marital status by large counties and regions of one-eligible-adult DWP cases
	Table 9	Race/ethnicity distributions and citizenship status of one-eligible-adult MFIP cases
	Table 10	Race/ethnicity distributions and citizenship status by large counties and regions of one-eligible-adult DWP cases
Table 3	Table 11	Distribution of family type for MFIP and DWP cases with one eligible adult
	Table 12	Number of children and age of youngest child for MFIP cases with one eligible adult
	Table 13	Number of children and age of youngest child for DWP cases with one eligible adult
	Table 14	Family violence, adult chemical dependency, and adult mental health diagnoses for MFIP and DWP cases with one eligible adult by large counties and region
Table 4	Table 15	Assistance months, MFIP/TANF counted months, and new cases and residents for MFIP one-eligible-adult cases
	Table 16	Assistance months, MFIP/TANF counted months, and new cases and residents for DWP one-eligible-adult cases by large county and region
	Table 17	Food-only MFIP cases, budgeted earnings, income, and hours of work for MFIP one-eligible-adult cases
	Table 18	Extensions, sanctions, and child support for one-eligible-adult MFIP cases
	Table 19	Assistance months, MFIP/TANF counted months, and new cases and residents for MFIP two-eligible-adult cases
	Table 20	Food-only MFIP cases, budgeted earnings, income, and hours of work for MFIP two-eligible-adult cases
	Table 21	Extensions, sanctions, and child support for two-eligible-adult MFIP cases by large county and region

**Table 5. December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
<b>Aitkin</b>	33		54	20	74		7	4	11	
		0.3%	73.0%	27.0%	100.0%	0.3%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	0.3%
<b>Anoka</b>	469		1,016	179	1,195		211	66	277	
		4.6%	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	4.8%	76.2%	23.8%	100.0%	6.8%
<b>Becker</b>	104		175	32	207		23	6	29	
		1.0%	84.5%	15.5%	100.0%	0.8%	79.3%	20.7%	100.0%	0.7%
<b>Beltrami</b>	387		696	200	896		64	14	78	
		3.8%	77.7%	22.3%	100.0%	3.6%	82.1%	17.9%	100.0%	1.9%
<b>Benton</b>	53		108	19	127		22	6	28	
		0.5%	85.0%	15.0%	100.0%	0.5%	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%	0.7%
<b>Big Stone</b>	4		13	5	18		1	2	3	
		0.0%	72.2%	27.8%	100.0%	0.1%	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	0.1%
<b>Blue Earth</b>	51		164	53	217		28	20	48	
		0.5%	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%	0.9%	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%	1.2%
<b>Brown</b>	13		40	13	53		6	3	9	
		0.1%	75.5%	24.5%	100.0%	0.2%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.2%
<b>Carlton</b>	63		109	24	133		16	6	22	
		0.6%	82.0%	18.0%	100.0%	0.5%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	0.5%
<b>Carver</b>	44		65	5	70		21	1	22	
		0.4%	92.9%	7.1%	100.0%	0.3%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%	0.5%
<b>Cass</b>	137		199	53	252		33	9	42	
		1.3%	79.0%	21.0%	100.0%	1.0%	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%	1.0%
<b>Chippewa</b>	29		24	5	29		6	2	8	
		0.3%	82.8%	17.2%	100.0%	0.1%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.2%
<b>Chisago</b>	33		112	19	131		32	17	49	
		0.3%	85.5%	14.5%	100.0%	0.5%	65.3%	34.7%	100.0%	1.2%
<b>Clay</b>	90		178	37	215		34	8	42	
		0.9%	82.8%	17.2%	100.0%	0.9%	81.0%	19.0%	100.0%	1.0%
<b>Clearwater</b>	30		50	9	59		3	5	8	
		0.3%	84.7%	15.3%	100.0%	0.2%	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%	0.2%
<b>Cook</b>	6		7	0	7		0	0	0	
		0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 5 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Cottonwood	15	0.1%	28	9	37	0.1%	2	9	11	0.3%
Crow Wing	108	1.1%	190	31	221	0.9%	44	20	64	1.6%
Dakota	400	3.9%	849	97	946	3.8%	187	34	221	5.5%
Dodge	13	0.1%	20	2	22	0.1%	9	2	11	0.3%
Douglas	37	0.4%	54	16	70	0.3%	12	3	15	0.4%
Faribault	15	0.1%	25	3	28	0.1%	3	1	4	0.1%
Fillmore	10	0.1%	37	9	46	0.2%	11	3	14	0.3%
Freeborn	54	0.5%	105	25	130	0.5%	23	9	32	0.8%
Goodhue	24	0.2%	118	13	131	0.5%	22	7	29	0.7%
Grant	4	0.0%	10	1	11	0.0%	1	0	1	0.0%
Hennepin	3,204	31.5%	6,391	832	7,223	29.0%	787	172	959	23.7%
Houston	11	0.1%	55	12	67	0.3%	12	1	13	0.3%
Hubbard	41	0.4%	49	18	67	0.3%	8	2	10	0.2%
Isanti	45	0.4%	69	15	84	0.3%	32	6	38	0.9%
Itasca	113	1.1%	116	38	154	0.6%	18	7	25	0.6%
Jackson	17	0.2%	20	3	23	0.1%	7	5	12	0.3%

Table 5 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Kanabec	30	0.3%	52	13	65	0.3%	10	5	15	0.4%
Kandiyohi	84	0.8%	175	36	211	0.8%	31	13	44	0
Kittson	4	0.0%	5	0	5	0.0%	1	0	1	0
Koochiching	19	0.2%	59	20	79	0.3%	11	1	12	0
Lac Qui Parle	4	0.0%	11	0	11	0.0%	3	1	4	0
Lake	13	0.1%	6	3	9	0.0%	4	3	7	0
Lake of Woods	2	0.0%	5	2	7	0.0%	0	0	0	0
Le Sueur	27	0.3%	48	11	59	0.2%	17	4	21	0
Lincoln	4	0.0%	3	0	3	0.0%	4	1	5	0
Lyon	34	0.3%	53	14	67	0.3%	12	2	14	0
McLeod	32	0.3%	56	8	64	0.3%	16	5	21	0
Mahnomen	55	0.5%	76	19	95	0.4%	6	1	7	0
Marshall	10	0.1%	13	4	17	0.1%	5	0	5	0
Martin	39	0.4%	56	9	65	0.3%	15	5	20	0
Meeker	34	0.3%	48	3	51	0.2%	8	4	12	0
Mille Lacs	62	0.6%	124	26	150	0.6%	9	1	10	0



Table 5 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Morrison	33	0.3%	46	9	55	0.2%	10	3	13	0.3%
Mower	76	0.7%	121	17	138	0.6%	38	9	47	1.2%
Murray	10	0.1%	7	1	8	0.0%	6	1	7	0.2%
Nicollet	23	0.2%	97	24	121	0.5%	14	6	20	0.5%
Nobles	43	0.4%	46	9	55	0.2%	10	3	13	0.3%
Norman	13	0.1%	9	5	14	0.1%	7	4	11	0.3%
Olmsted	179	1.8%	467	88	555	2.2%	104	30	134	3.3%
Otter Tail	62	0.6%	110	18	128	0.5%	24	10	34	0.8%
Pennington	25	0.2%	37	8	45	0.2%	10	3	13	0.3%
Pine	52	0.5%	101	28	129	0.5%	34	17	51	1.3%
Pipestone	16	0.2%	26	7	33	0.1%	4	3	7	0.2%
Polk	45	0.4%	125	30	155	0.6%	14	8	22	0.5%
Pope	10	0.1%	17	4	21	0.1%	5	0	5	0.1%
Ramsey	2,050	20.2%	5,068	1,091	6,159	24.8%	476	166	642	15.9%
Red Lake	1	0.0%	11	3	14	0.1%	2	1	3	0.1%
Redwood	23	0.2%	32	10	42	0.2%	8	3	11	0.3%

Table 5 – Page 5

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
<b>Renville</b>	29		38	12	50		5	5	10	
		0.3%	76.0%	24.0%	100.0%	0.2%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.2%
<b>Rice</b>	83		169	18	187		41	9	50	
		0.8%	90.4%	9.6%	100.0%	0.8%	82.0%	18.0%	100.0%	1.2%
<b>Rock</b>	8		16	6	22		6	0	6	
		0.1%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%	0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%
<b>Roseau</b>	8		8	0	8		1	0	1	
		0.1%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<b>St. Louis</b>	435		992	161	1,153		143	26	169	
		4.3%	86.0%	14.0%	100.0%	4.6%	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%	4.2%
<b>Scott</b>	91		137	20	157		38	6	44	
		0.9%	87.3%	12.7%	100.0%	0.6%	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%	1.1%
<b>Sherburne</b>	71		104	12	116		31	6	37	
		0.7%	89.7%	10.3%	100.0%	0.5%	83.8%	16.2%	100.0%	0.9%
<b>Sibley</b>	15		27	5	32		4	5	9	
		0.1%	84.4%	15.6%	100.0%	0.1%	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%	0.2%
<b>Stearns</b>	152		370	52	422		79	17	96	
		1.5%	87.7%	12.3%	100.0%	1.7%	82.3%	17.7%	100.0%	2.4%
<b>Steele</b>	46		79	18	97		12	5	17	
		0.5%	81.4%	18.6%	100.0%	0.4%	70.6%	29.4%	100.0%	0.4%
<b>Stevens</b>	3		4	1	5		0	0	0	
		0.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Swift</b>	13		10	4	14		4	2	6	
		0.1%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	0.1%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.1%
<b>Todd</b>	40		60	13	73		16	4	20	
		0.4%	82.2%	17.8%	100.0%	0.3%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.5%
<b>Traverse</b>	2		7	1	8		3	0	3	
		0.0%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%
<b>Wabasha</b>	20		21	12	33		12	1	13	
		0.2%	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%	0.1%	92.3%	7.7%	100.0%	0.3%
<b>Wadena</b>	29		61	22	83		7	8	15	
		0.3%	73.5%	26.5%	100.0%	0.3%	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%	0.4%

Table 5 – Page 6

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
<b>Waseca</b>	32	0.3%	60	11	71	0.3%	16	2	18	0.4%
<b>Washington</b>	152	1.5%	397	55	452	1.8%	69	13	82	2.0%
<b>Watonwan</b>	16	0.2%	21	5	26	0.1%	3	2	5	0.1%
<b>Wilkin</b>	4	0.0%	8	3	11	0.0%	1	0	1	0.0%
<b>Winona</b>	72	0.7%	119	10	129	0.5%	22	4	26	0.6%
<b>Wright</b>	63	0.6%	136	13	149	0.6%	44	8	52	1.3%
<b>Yellow Medicine</b>	10	0.1%	12	0	12	0.0%	2	0	2	0.0%

Table 5 – Page 7

County/Region/ State	Child-only MFIP		MFIP Cases with Eligible Adults				DWP Cases with Eligible Adults			
	Count of Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases	One Eligible Adult	Two Eligible Adults	Total Cases	Percent of State Cases
Northwest	106		208	50	258		40	16	56	
		1.0%	80.6%	19.4%	100.0%	1.0%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	1.4%
West Central	1,178		1,995	489	2,484		294	93	387	
		11.6%	80.3%	19.7%	100.0%	10.0%	76.0%	24.0%	100.0%	9.6%
Northeast	682		1,343	266	1,609		199	47	246	
		6.7%	83.5%	16.5%	100.0%	6.5%	80.9%	19.1%	100.0%	6.1%
Central	707		1,381	237	1,618		321	93	414	
		7.0%	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%	6.5%	77.5%	22.5%	100.0%	10.2%
Southwest	230		301	73	374		75	34	109	
		2.3%	80.5%	19.5%	100.0%	1.5%	68.8%	31.2%	100.0%	2.7%
South Central	231		538	134	672		106	48	154	
		2.3%	80.1%	19.9%	100.0%	2.7%	68.8%	31.2%	100.0%	3.8%
Southeast	588		1,311	224	1,535		306	80	386	
		5.8%	85.4%	14.6%	100.0%	6.2%	79.3%	20.7%	100.0%	9.5%
Metro Suburban	1,189		2,576	375	2,951		558	137	695	
		11.7%	87.3%	12.7%	100.0%	11.9%	80.3%	19.7%	100.0%	17.2%
Core Metro	5,254		11,459	1,923	13,382		1,263	338	1,601	
		51.7%	85.6%	14.4%	100.0%	53.8%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%	39.6%
Minnesota	10,165		21,112	3,771	24,883		3,162	886	4,048	
		100.0%	84.8%	15.2%	100.0%	100.0%	78.1%	21.9%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table 6. December 2005 MFIP and DWP Paid Cases Compared with Census Data on Poverty, by County**

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2005 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2005 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2005 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Aitkin	107	0.3%	11	0.3%	118	0.3%	0.4%	17.3%
Anoka	1,664	4.7%	277	6.8%	1,941	5.0%	4.3%	6.3%
Becker	311	0.9%	29	0.7%	340	0.9%	1.0%	16.4%
Beltrami	1,283	3.7%	78	1.9%	1,361	3.5%	1.8%	21.5%
Benton	180	0.5%	28	0.7%	208	0.5%	0.7%	8.7%
Big Stone	22	0.1%	3	0.1%	25	0.1%	0.1%	12.7%
Blue Earth	268	0.8%	48	1.2%	316	0.8%	1.0%	10.9%
Brown	66	0.2%	9	0.2%	75	0.2%	0.4%	8.5%
Carlton	196	0.6%	22	0.5%	218	0.6%	0.6%	10.1%
Carver	114	0.3%	22	0.5%	136	0.3%	0.8%	4.3%
Cass	389	1.1%	42	1.0%	431	1.1%	0.9%	18.7%
Chippewa	58	0.2%	8	0.2%	66	0.2%	0.3%	11.8%
Chisago	164	0.5%	49	1.2%	213	0.5%	0.7%	7.0%
Clay	305	0.9%	42	1.0%	347	0.9%	1.1%	11.9%
Clearwater	89	0.3%	8	0.2%	97	0.2%	0.3%	18.5%
Cook	13	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	0.0%	0.1%	9.2%
Cottonwood	52	0.1%	11	0.3%	63	0.2%	0.3%	13.6%
Crow Wing	329	0.9%	64	1.6%	393	1.0%	1.3%	12.3%
Dakota	1,346	3.8%	221	5.5%	1,567	4.0%	5.0%	6.0%
Dodge	35	0.1%	11	0.3%	46	0.1%	0.3%	6.4%
Douglas	107	0.3%	15	0.4%	122	0.3%	0.6%	10.1%
Faribault	43	0.1%	4	0.1%	47	0.1%	0.3%	12.1%
Fillmore	56	0.2%	14	0.3%	70	0.2%	0.4%	11.2%
Freeborn	184	0.5%	32	0.8%	216	0.6%	0.6%	11.8%
Goodhue	155	0.4%	29	0.7%	184	0.5%	0.7%	7.9%
Grant	15	0.0%	1	0.0%	16	0.0%	0.1%	11.9%
Hennepin	10,427	29.8%	959	23.7%	11,386	29.1%	25.3%	12.1%
Houston	78	0.2%	13	0.3%	91	0.2%	0.3%	8.5%
Hubbard	108	0.3%	10	0.2%	118	0.3%	0.5%	15.7%
Isanti	129	0.4%	38	0.9%	167	0.4%	0.5%	7.8%

Table 6 – Page 2

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2005 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2005 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2005 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Itasca	267	0.8%	25	0.6%	292	0.7%	1.1%	14.5%
Jackson	40	0.1%	12	0.3%	52	0.1%	0.2%	10.7%
Kanabec	95	0.3%	15	0.4%	110	0.3%	0.4%	12.7%
Kandiyohi	295	0.8%	44	1.1%	339	0.9%	1.0%	13.0%
Kittson	9	0.0%	1	0.0%	10	0.0%	0.1%	10.0%
Koochiching	98	0.3%	12	0.3%	110	0.3%	0.3%	14.9%
Lac Qui Parle	15	0.0%	4	0.1%	19	0.0%	0.1%	10.0%
Lake	22	0.1%	7	0.2%	29	0.1%	0.2%	11.1%
Lake of the Woods	9	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	0.0%	0.1%	10.5%
Le Sueur	86	0.2%	21	0.5%	107	0.3%	0.4%	8.1%
Lincoln	7	0.0%	5	0.1%	12	0.0%	0.1%	10.1%
Lyon	101	0.3%	14	0.3%	115	0.3%	0.5%	10.5%
McLeod	96	0.3%	21	0.5%	117	0.3%	0.6%	8.1%
Mahnomen	150	0.4%	7	0.2%	157	0.4%	0.3%	23.3%
Marshall	27	0.1%	5	0.1%	32	0.1%	0.2%	11.7%
Martin	104	0.3%	20	0.5%	124	0.3%	0.5%	14.0%
Meeker	85	0.2%	12	0.3%	97	0.2%	0.4%	10.1%
Mille Lacs	212	0.6%	10	0.2%	222	0.6%	0.6%	11.9%
Morrison	88	0.3%	13	0.3%	101	0.3%	0.8%	12.1%
Mower	214	0.6%	47	1.2%	261	0.7%	0.9%	12.3%
Murray	18	0.1%	7	0.2%	25	0.1%	0.1%	9.3%
Nicollet	144	0.4%	20	0.5%	164	0.4%	0.4%	8.2%
Nobles	98	0.3%	13	0.3%	111	0.3%	0.5%	13.0%
Norman	27	0.1%	11	0.3%	38	0.1%	0.2%	13.6%
Olmsted	734	2.1%	134	3.3%	868	2.2%	2.3%	8.4%
Otter Tail	190	0.5%	34	0.8%	224	0.6%	1.3%	12.9%
Pennington	70	0.2%	13	0.3%	83	0.2%	0.3%	12.2%
Pine	181	0.5%	51	1.3%	232	0.6%	0.8%	15.5%
Pipestone	49	0.1%	7	0.2%	56	0.1%	0.2%	11.3%
Polk	200	0.6%	22	0.5%	222	0.6%	0.8%	14.5%

Table 6 – Page 3

County	Count of MFIP Cases (Child-only and Cases with Eligible Adults)	December 2005 Percent of State MFIP Cases	Count of DWP Cases	December 2005 Percent of State DWP Cases	Count of Total MFIP and DWP Cases	December 2005 Percent of State MFIP and DWP Cases	2003 Percent of State Family Households with Children <18 below Poverty Level	2003 Poverty Rate of Family Households with Children <18
Pope	31	0.1%	5	0.1%	36	0.1%	0.2%	10.8%
Ramsey	8,209	23.4%	642	15.9%	8,851	22.6%	14.3%	14.5%
Red Lake	15	0.0%	3	0.1%	18	0.0%	0.1%	12.1%
Redwood	65	0.2%	11	0.3%	76	0.2%	0.4%	11.4%
Renville	79	0.2%	10	0.2%	89	0.2%	0.4%	12.5%
Rice	270	0.8%	50	1.2%	320	0.8%	0.9%	8.0%
Rock	30	0.1%	6	0.1%	36	0.1%	0.2%	9.6%
Roseau	16	0.0%	1	0.0%	17	0.0%	0.3%	7.4%
St. Louis	1,588	4.5%	169	4.2%	1,757	4.5%	4.2%	13.4%
Scott	248	0.7%	44	1.1%	292	0.7%	1.1%	4.3%
Sherburne	187	0.5%	37	0.9%	224	0.6%	0.9%	5.4%
Sibley	47	0.1%	9	0.2%	56	0.1%	0.3%	10.7%
Stearns	574	1.6%	96	2.4%	670	1.7%	2.3%	8.8%
Steele	143	0.4%	17	0.4%	160	0.4%	0.6%	8.5%
Stevens	8	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.0%	0.1%	8.2%
Swift	27	0.1%	6	0.1%	33	0.1%	0.2%	11.2%
Todd	113	0.3%	20	0.5%	133	0.3%	0.7%	15.6%
Traverse	10	0.0%	3	0.1%	13	0.0%	0.1%	14.0%
Wabasha	53	0.2%	13	0.3%	66	0.2%	0.3%	7.7%
Wadena	112	0.3%	15	0.4%	127	0.3%	0.4%	16.5%
Waseca	103	0.3%	18	0.4%	121	0.3%	0.4%	10.4%
Washington	604	1.7%	82	2.0%	686	1.8%	2.5%	5.4%
Watonwan	42	0.1%	5	0.1%	47	0.1%	0.3%	11.7%
Wilkin	15	0.0%	1	0.0%	16	0.0%	0.1%	10.4%
Winona	201	0.6%	26	0.6%	227	0.6%	0.9%	11.3%
Wright	212	0.6%	52	1.3%	264	0.7%	1.4%	6.0%
Yellow Medicine	22	0.1%	2	0.0%	24	0.1%	0.2%	10.4%
Minnesota	35,048	100.0%	4,048	100.0%	39,096	100.0%	100.0%	10.2%

**Table 7. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2005 Paid MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Aitkin</b>	7	33	31	63	28	35	8	7	48	16
	9.9%	46.5%	43.7%	88.7%	39.4%	49.3%	11.3%	9.9%	67.6%	22.5%
<b>Anoka</b>	88	460	474	937	397	507	118	45	689	288
	8.6%	45.0%	46.4%	91.7%	38.8%	49.6%	11.5%	4.4%	67.4%	28.2%
<b>Becker</b>	17	72	106	180	67	111	17	8	125	62
	8.7%	36.9%	54.4%	92.3%	34.4%	56.9%	8.7%	4.1%	64.1%	31.8%
<b>Beltrami</b>	67	305	468	752	307	461	72	52	549	239
	8.0%	36.3%	55.7%	89.5%	36.5%	54.9%	8.6%	6.2%	65.4%	28.5%
<b>Benton</b>	17	52	40	96	44	55	10	*	81	25
	15.6%	47.7%	36.7%	88.1%	40.4%	50.5%	9.2%		74.3%	22.9%
<b>Big Stone</b>	*	*	8	14	8	*	*	*	10	*
			53.3%	93.3%	53.3%				66.7%	
<b>Blue Earth</b>	18	71	71	151	61	84	15	12	99	49
	11.3%	44.4%	44.4%	94.4%	38.1%	52.5%	9.4%	7.5%	61.9%	30.6%
<b>Brown</b>	*	20	16	36	18	18	*	*	25	11
		52.6%	42.1%	94.7%	47.4%	47.4%			65.8%	28.9%
<b>Carlton</b>	11	52	72	118	47	74	14	11	84	40
	8.1%	38.5%	53.3%	87.4%	34.8%	54.8%	10.4%	8.1%	62.2%	29.6%
<b>Carver</b>	7	29	28	56	27	30	7	*	41	19
	10.9%	45.3%	43.8%	87.5%	42.2%	46.9%	10.9%		64.1%	29.7%
<b>Cass</b>	18	100	142	226	109	125	26	17	174	69
	6.9%	38.5%	54.6%	86.9%	41.9%	48.1%	10.0%	6.5%	66.9%	26.5%
<b>Chippewa</b>	0	18	17	33	15	18	*	*	24	10
	0.0%	51.4%	48.6%	94.3%	42.9%	51.4%			68.6%	28.6%
<b>Chisago</b>	17	42	37	86	37	52	7	6	66	24
	17.7%	43.8%	38.5%	89.6%	38.5%	54.2%	7.3%	6.3%	68.8%	25.0%
<b>Clay</b>	16	86	78	164	67	105	8	6	130	44
	8.9%	47.8%	43.3%	91.1%	37.2%	58.3%	4.4%	3.3%	72.2%	24.4%
<b>Clearwater</b>	6	29	29	61	24	32	8	*	42	20
	9.4%	45.3%	45.3%	95.3%	37.5%	50.0%	12.5%		65.6%	31.3%



Table 7 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
Cook	*	*	*	8	*	5	*	*	5	*
				88.9%		55.6%			55.6%	
Cottonwood	*	13	14	28	14	15	*	*	21	7
		43.3%	46.7%	93.3%	46.7%	50.0%			70.0%	23.3%
Crow Wing	21	94	100	199	83	113	19	9	146	60
	9.8%	43.7%	46.5%	92.6%	38.6%	52.6%	8.8%	4.2%	67.9%	27.9%
Dakota	87	410	292	731	342	365	82	46	564	179
	11.0%	52.0%	37.0%	92.6%	43.3%	46.3%	10.4%	5.8%	71.5%	22.7%
Dodge	*	10	5	14	8	7	*	0	12	*
		62.5%	31.3%	87.5%	50.0%	43.8%		0.0%	75.0%	
Douglas	*	34	32	66	25	43	*	*	49	18
		48.6%	45.7%	94.3%	35.7%	61.4%			70.0%	25.7%
Faribault	*	14	12	27	9	15	*	*	23	*
		50.0%	42.9%	96.4%	32.1%	53.6%			82.1%	
Fillmore	*	10	13	27	11	12	*	*	19	6
		37.0%	48.1%	100.0%	40.7%	44.4%			70.4%	22.2%
Freeborn	11	46	47	99	47	48	9	*	75	25
	10.6%	44.2%	45.2%	95.2%	45.2%	46.2%	8.7%		72.1%	24.0%
Goodhue	11	34	45	80	33	43	14	9	62	19
	12.2%	37.8%	50.0%	88.9%	36.7%	47.8%	15.6%	10.0%	68.9%	21.1%
Grant	0	5	8	12	6	5	*	0	9	*
	0.0%	38.5%	61.5%	92.3%	46.2%	38.5%		0.0%	69.2%	
Hennepin	690	2979	2541	5,692	2,565	3,081	564	282	4,332	1,596
	11.1%	48.0%	40.9%	91.7%	41.3%	49.6%	9.1%	4.5%	69.8%	25.7%
Houston	*	20	17	39	23	16	*	*	26	13
		48.8%	41.5%	95.1%	56.1%	39.0%			63.4%	31.7%
Hubbard	11	34	28	70	35	32	6	*	57	13
	15.1%	46.6%	38.4%	95.9%	47.9%	43.8%	8.2%		78.1%	17.8%
Isanti	5	34	34	65	25	38	10	*	52	18
	6.8%	46.6%	46.6%	89.0%	34.2%	52.1%	13.7%		71.2%	24.7%

Table 7 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Itasca</b>	14	69	96	165	56	99	24	8	116	55
	7.8%	38.5%	53.6%	92.2%	31.3%	55.3%	13.4%	4.5%	64.8%	30.7%
<b>Jackson</b>	*	14	9	20	8	15	*	*	15	7
		58.3%	37.5%	83.3%	33.3%	62.5%			62.5%	29.2%
<b>Kanabec</b>	5	23	26	52	16	34	*	*	36	16
	9.3%	42.6%	48.1%	96.3%	29.6%	63.0%			66.7%	29.6%
<b>Kandiyohi</b>	18	65	69	138	54	87	11	11	106	35
	11.8%	42.8%	45.4%	90.8%	35.5%	57.2%	7.2%	7.2%	69.7%	23.0%
<b>Kittson</b>	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	*	*
	0.0%							0.0%		
<b>Koochiching</b>	*	35	27	60	26	34	6	*	47	17
		53.0%	40.9%	90.9%	39.4%	51.5%	9.1%		71.2%	25.8%
<b>Lac Qui Parle</b>	*	*	*	6	*	*	*	0	7	0
				85.7%				0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<b>Lake</b>	*	*	6	8	*	8	*	0	9	*
			54.5%	72.7%		72.7%		0.0%	81.8%	
<b>Lake of Woods</b>	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	*	*
	0.0%									
<b>Le Sueur</b>	*	22	21	44	19	26	*	0	33	14
		46.8%	44.7%	93.6%	40.4%	55.3%		0.0%	70.2%	29.8%
<b>Lincoln</b>	*	*	0	5	*	*	0	0	*	*
			0.0%	100.0%			0.0%	0.0%		
<b>Lyon</b>	8	21	22	48	18	28	5	*	34	15
	15.7%	41.2%	43.1%	94.1%	35.3%	54.9%	9.8%		66.7%	29.4%
<b>McLeod</b>	8	34	19	59	31	28	*	*	47	13
	13.1%	55.7%	31.1%	96.7%	50.8%	45.9%			77.0%	21.3%
<b>Mahnomen</b>	6	36	58	96	31	55	14	*	66	31
	6.0%	36.0%	58.0%	96.0%	31.0%	55.0%	14.0%		66.0%	31.0%
<b>Marshall</b>	*	7	8	15	10	6	*	*	8	7
		41.2%	47.1%	88.2%	58.8%	35.3%			47.1%	41.2%

Table 7 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Martin</b>	7	31	25	59	27	35	*	*	44	16
	11.1%	49.2%	39.7%	93.7%	42.9%	55.6%			69.8%	25.4%
<b>Meeker</b>	5	27	20	51	19	27	6	*	41	9
	9.6%	51.9%	38.5%	98.1%	36.5%	51.9%	11.5%		78.8%	17.3%
<b>Mille Lacs</b>	10	67	67	130	50	82	12	10	95	39
	6.9%	46.5%	46.5%	90.3%	34.7%	56.9%	8.3%	6.9%	66.0%	27.1%
<b>Morrison</b>	8	14	29	49	24	22	5	0	38	13
	15.7%	27.5%	56.9%	96.1%	47.1%	43.1%	9.8%	0.0%	74.5%	25.5%
<b>Mower</b>	16	58	47	110	50	53	18	7	84	30
	13.2%	47.9%	38.8%	90.9%	41.3%	43.8%	14.9%	5.8%	69.4%	24.8%
<b>Murray</b>	0	7	5	12	*	9	*	0	8	*
	0.0%	58.3%	41.7%	100.0%		75.0%		0.0%	66.7%	
<b>Nicollet</b>	20	39	23	77	36	40	6	*	60	20
	24.4%	47.6%	28.0%	93.9%	43.9%	48.8%	7.3%		73.2%	24.4%
<b>Nobles</b>	8	25	19	47	22	24	6	*	35	14
	15.4%	48.1%	36.5%	90.4%	42.3%	46.2%	11.5%		67.3%	26.9%
<b>Norman</b>	*	5	8	12	6	7	*	*	9	*
		35.7%	57.1%	85.7%	42.9%	50.0%			64.3%	
<b>Olmsted</b>	75	205	128	376	202	175	31	15	313	80
	18.4%	50.2%	31.4%	92.2%	49.5%	42.9%	7.6%	3.7%	76.7%	19.6%
<b>Otter Tail</b>	14	61	41	112	57	50	9	5	79	32
	12.1%	52.6%	35.3%	96.6%	49.1%	43.1%	7.8%	4.3%	68.1%	27.6%
<b>Pennington</b>	*	20	21	37	21	18	5	*	33	10
		45.5%	47.7%	84.1%	47.7%	40.9%	11.4%		75.0%	22.7%
<b>Pine</b>	8	50	51	99	44	55	10	9	67	33
	7.3%	45.9%	46.8%	90.8%	40.4%	50.5%	9.2%	8.3%	61.5%	30.3%
<b>Pipestone</b>	*	13	8	22	7	16	*	*	16	8
		52.0%	32.0%	88.0%	28.0%	64.0%			64.0%	32.0%
<b>Polk</b>	6	54	53	100	40	59	14	5	69	39
	5.3%	47.8%	46.9%	88.5%	35.4%	52.2%	12.4%	4.4%	61.1%	34.5%

Table 7 – Page 5

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Pope</b>	*	8	14	21	9	9	5	*	12	9
		34.8%	60.9%	91.3%	39.1%	39.1%	21.7%		52.2%	39.1%
<b>Ramsey</b>	479	2,379	2,029	4,444	2,052	2,403	432	220	3,408	1,259
	9.8%	48.7%	41.5%	90.9%	42.0%	49.2%	8.8%	4.5%	69.7%	25.8%
<b>Red Lake</b>	*	*	*	8	*	*	*	0	5	*
				100.0%				0.0%	62.5%	
<b>Redwood</b>	*	19	15	34	13	19	5	0	26	11
		51.4%	40.5%	91.9%	35.1%	51.4%	13.5%	0.0%	70.3%	29.7%
<b>Renville</b>	8	17	20	42	21	20	*	*	31	13
	17.8%	37.8%	44.4%	93.3%	46.7%	44.4%			68.9%	28.9%
<b>Rice</b>	24	89	38	145	64	76	11	*	115	32
	15.9%	58.9%	25.2%	96.0%	42.4%	50.3%	7.3%		76.2%	21.2%
<b>Rock</b>	*	6	9	16	6	11	*	*	10	7
		33.3%	50.0%	88.9%	33.3%	61.1%			55.6%	38.9%
<b>Roseau</b>	*	7	*	12	7	*	*	0	9	*
		58.3%		100.0%	58.3%			0.0%	75.0%	
<b>St. Louis</b>	99	456	484	947	411	509	119	53	683	303
	9.5%	43.9%	46.6%	91.1%	39.6%	49.0%	11.5%	5.1%	65.7%	29.2%
<b>Scott</b>	20	66	68	143	74	61	19	10	100	44
	13.0%	42.9%	44.2%	92.9%	48.1%	39.6%	12.3%	6.5%	64.9%	28.6%
<b>Sherburne</b>	11	55	48	106	44	62	8	6	77	31
	9.6%	48.2%	42.1%	93.0%	38.6%	54.4%	7.0%	5.3%	67.5%	27.2%
<b>Sibley</b>	*	8	17	27	11	15	*	*	19	7
		27.6%	58.6%	93.1%	37.9%	51.7%			65.5%	24.1%
<b>Stearns</b>	35	172	147	330	130	186	38	17	248	89
	9.9%	48.6%	41.5%	93.2%	36.7%	52.5%	10.7%	4.8%	70.1%	25.1%
<b>Steele</b>	10	40	34	79	41	38	5	*	61	21
	11.9%	47.6%	40.5%	94.0%	48.8%	45.2%	6.0%		72.6%	25.0%
<b>Stevens</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Table 7 – Page 6

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Swift</b>	*	*	8	13	6	6	*	0	8	5
			61.5%	100.0%	46.2%	46.2%		0.0%	61.5%	38.5%
<b>Todd</b>	*	30	23	52	21	26	10	*	39	14
		52.6%	40.4%	91.2%	36.8%	45.6%	17.5%		68.4%	24.6%
<b>Traverse</b>	0	*	6	7	*	5	0	*	*	*
	0.0%		75.0%	87.5%		62.5%	0.0%			
<b>Wabasha</b>	*	16	9	25	11	15	*	0	18	9
		59.3%	33.3%	92.6%	40.7%	55.6%		0.0%	66.7%	33.3%
<b>Wadena</b>	10	27	32	63	27	37	5	*	42	24
	14.5%	39.1%	46.4%	91.3%	39.1%	53.6%	7.2%		60.9%	34.8%
<b>Waseca</b>	6	37	23	61	20	42	*	*	47	17
	9.1%	56.1%	34.8%	92.4%	30.3%	63.6%			71.2%	25.8%
<b>Washington</b>	42	177	138	329	143	178	36	23	249	85
	11.8%	49.6%	38.7%	92.2%	40.1%	49.9%	10.1%	6.4%	69.7%	23.8%
<b>Watonwan</b>	*	16	9	26	16	11	*	*	23	5
		55.2%	31.0%	89.7%	55.2%	37.9%			79.3%	17.2%
<b>Wilkin</b>	*	7	*	9	*	5	0	0	8	*
		77.8%		100.0%		55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	88.9%	
<b>Winona</b>	13	54	55	104	49	61	12	7	89	26
	10.7%	44.3%	45.1%	85.2%	40.2%	50.0%	9.8%	5.7%	73.0%	21.3%
<b>Wright</b>	13	54	57	114	46	63	15	*	84	37
	10.5%	43.5%	46.0%	91.9%	37.1%	50.8%	12.1%		67.7%	29.8%
<b>Yellow Medicine</b>	*	*	6	10	5	5	*	*	8	*
			54.5%	90.9%	45.5%	45.5%			72.7%	

Table 7 – Page 7

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Northwest</b>	28	81	95	193	64	113	27	10	110	84
	13.7%	39.7%	46.6%	94.6%	31.4%	55.4%	13.2%	4.9%	53.9%	41.2%
<b>West Central</b>	248	897	801	1,766	762	1,038	146	39	1,355	552
	12.7%	46.1%	41.2%	90.8%	39.2%	53.3%	7.5%	2.0%	69.6%	28.4%
<b>Northeast</b>	140	641	525	1,203	405	759	142	41	870	395
	10.7%	49.1%	40.2%	92.1%	31.0%	58.1%	10.9%	3.1%	66.6%	30.2%
<b>Central</b>	162	645	537	1,260	506	714	124	35	820	489
	12.1%	48.0%	40.0%	93.8%	37.6%	53.1%	9.2%	2.6%	61.0%	36.4%
<b>Southwest</b>	35	138	117	274	107	145	38	16	149	125
	12.1%	47.6%	40.3%	94.5%	36.9%	50.0%	13.1%	5.5%	51.4%	43.1%
<b>South Central</b>	65	284	172	489	199	265	57	25	317	179
	12.5%	54.5%	33.0%	93.9%	38.2%	50.9%	10.9%	4.8%	60.8%	34.4%
<b>Southeast</b>	150	594	537	1,209	487	679	115	53	793	435
	11.7%	46.4%	41.9%	94.4%	38.0%	53.0%	9.0%	4.1%	61.9%	34.0%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	258	1,256	977	2,373	882	1,311	298	56	1,751	684
	10.4%	50.4%	39.2%	95.3%	35.4%	52.6%	12.0%	2.2%	70.3%	27.5%
<b>Core Metro</b>	1,115	5,202	4,740	10,492	4,870	5,194	993	285	8,241	2,531
	10.1%	47.0%	42.9%	94.9%	44.0%	47.0%	9.0%	2.6%	74.5%	22.9%
<b>Minnesota</b>	2,201	9,738	8,501	19,259	8,282	10,218	1,940	560	14,406	5,474
	10.8%	47.6%	41.6%	94.2%	40.5%	50.0%	9.5%	2.7%	70.5%	26.8%

\* Cells with fewer than 5 cases were removed to protect individual identities.

**Table 8. Demographics of Eligible Adults on December 2005 Paid DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Age, Gender, Education, and Marital Status, by Large County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Anoka</b>	*	101	106	188	47	133	31	*	127	81
		47.9%	50.2%	89.1%	22.3%	63.0%	14.7%		60.2%	38.4%
<b>Beltrami</b>	*	29	33	51	16	37	11	0	41	23
		45.3%	51.6%	79.7%	25.0%	57.8%	17.2%	0.0%	64.1%	35.9%
<b>Dakota</b>	*	103	82	167	43	108	36	5	113	69
		55.1%	43.9%	89.3%	23.0%	57.8%	19.3%	2.7%	60.4%	36.9%
<b>Hennepin</b>	21	373	393	722	253	442	92	15	521	251
		2.7%	47.4%	49.9%	91.7%	32.1%	56.2%	11.7%	1.9%	66.2%
<b>Olmsted</b>	*	51	49	92	31	59	14	5	56	43
		49.0%	47.1%	88.5%	29.8%	56.7%	13.5%	4.8%	53.8%	41.3%
<b>Ramsey</b>	15	232	229	430	125	263	88	10	321	145
		3.2%	48.7%	48.1%	90.3%	26.3%	55.3%	18.5%	2.1%	67.4%
<b>St. Louis</b>	6	74	63	123	31	90	22	*	82	58
		4.2%	51.7%	44.1%	86.0%	21.7%	62.9%	15.4%		57.3%
<b>Washington</b>	*	30	36	61	17	43	9	*	45	22
		43.5%	52.2%	88.4%	24.6%	62.3%	13.0%		65.2%	31.9%
<b>All Other Counties</b>	53	549	519	1,007	292	676	153	32	585	504
		4.7%	49.0%	46.3%	89.8%	26.0%	60.3%	13.6%	2.9%	52.2%
<b>Minnesota</b>	110	1,542	1,510	2,841	855	1,851	456	75	1,891	1,196
		3.5%	48.8%	47.8%	89.8%	27.0%	58.5%	14.4%	2.4%	59.8%

Table 8 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Teens	20's	30 or Older	Female	< HS	HS or GED	> HS	Married	Never Married	Others
<b>Northwest</b>	*	21	16	36	13	24	*	0	22	18
		52.5%	40.0%	90.0%	32.5%	60.0%		0.0%	55.0%	45.0%
<b>West Central</b>	14	141	139	253	68	176	50	5	163	126
	4.8%	48.0%	47.3%	86.1%	23.1%	59.9%	17.0%	1.7%	55.4%	42.9%
<b>Northeast</b>	8	105	86	177	49	122	28	*	111	84
	4.0%	52.8%	43.2%	88.9%	24.6%	61.3%	14.1%		55.8%	42.2%
<b>Central</b>	16	155	150	279	79	197	45	9	160	152
	5.0%	48.3%	46.7%	86.9%	24.6%	61.4%	14.0%	2.8%	49.8%	47.4%
<b>Southwest</b>	5	38	32	70	25	40	10	*	32	41
	6.7%	50.7%	42.7%	93.3%	33.3%	53.3%	13.3%		42.7%	54.7%
<b>South Central</b>	*	60	42	99	31	61	14	*	56	46
		56.6%	39.6%	93.4%	29.2%	57.5%	13.2%		52.8%	43.4%
<b>Southeast</b>	9	146	151	277	86	182	38	13	161	132
	2.9%	47.7%	49.3%	90.5%	28.1%	59.5%	12.4%	4.2%	52.6%	43.1%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	15	271	272	498	126	344	88	13	344	201
	2.7%	48.6%	48.7%	89.2%	22.6%	61.6%	15.8%	2.3%	61.6%	36.0%
<b>Core Metro</b>	36	605	622	1,152	378	705	180	25	842	396
	2.9%	47.9%	49.2%	91.2%	29.9%	55.8%	14.3%	2.0%	66.7%	31.4%
<b>Minnesota</b>	110	1,542	1,510	2,841	855	1,851	456	75	1,891	1,196
	3.5%	48.8%	47.8%	89.8%	27.0%	58.5%	14.4%	2.4%	59.8%	37.8%



**Table 9. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2005 MFIP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Asian/ Pacific	Black	Hispanic	American Indian	White	Multiple	Non-US Citizens
<b>Anoka</b>	28	258	23	32	654	7	95
	2.8%	25.5%	2.3%	3.2%	64.8%	0.7%	9.4%
<b>Beltrami</b>	*	7	6	550	122	7	*
		1.0%	0.9%	79.0%	17.5%	1.0%	
<b>Dakota</b>	23	282	62	18	444	16	64
	2.7%	33.2%	7.3%	2.1%	52.3%	1.9%	7.5%
<b>Hennepin</b>	262	4,301	134	357	1,161	66	1,074
	4.2%	68.1%	2.1%	5.7%	18.4%	1.0%	17.0%
<b>Olmsted</b>	31	188	30	*	209	5	119
	6.6%	40.3%	6.4%		44.8%	1.1%	25.5%
<b>Ramsey</b>	596	2,616	324	154	1,262	80	797
	11.8%	51.8%	6.4%	3.0%	25.0%	1.6%	15.8%
<b>St. Louis</b>	9	94	6	135	724	17	6
	0.9%	9.5%	0.6%	13.6%	73.1%	1.7%	0.6%
<b>Washington</b>	17	94	21	14	242	7	20
	4.3%	23.7%	5.3%	3.5%	61.0%	1.8%	5.0%
<b>All Other Counties</b>	64	434	473	736	3,555	57	301
	1.2%	8.1%	8.9%	13.8%	66.6%	1.1%	5.6%
<b>Northwest</b>	0	*	35	16	150	*	5
	0.0%		16.8%	7.7%	72.1%		2.4%
<b>West Central</b>	11	43	57	943	921	16	29
	0.6%	2.2%	2.9%	47.3%	46.2%	0.8%	1.5%
<b>Northeast</b>	10	97	9	205	994	21	10
	0.7%	7.2%	0.7%	15.3%	74.0%	1.6%	0.7%
<b>Central</b>	7	137	144	117	955	18	94
	0.5%	9.9%	10.4%	8.5%	69.2%	1.3%	6.8%
<b>Southwest</b>	14	15	44	16	204	5	24
	4.7%	5.0%	14.6%	5.3%	67.8%	1.7%	8.0%
<b>South Central</b>	7	82	75	10	356	6	65
	1.3%	15.2%	13.9%	1.9%	66.2%	1.1%	12.1%
<b>Southeast</b>	47	304	138	10	799	8	184
	3.6%	23.2%	10.5%	0.8%	60.9%	0.6%	14.0%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	78	675	119	83	1,570	36	196
	3.0%	26.2%	4.6%	3.2%	60.9%	1.4%	7.6%
<b>Core Metro</b>	858	6,918	458	597	2,424	149	1,871
	7.5%	60.4%	4.0%	5.2%	21.2%	1.3%	16.3%
<b>Minnesota</b>	1,032	8,274	1,079	1,997	8,373	262	2,478
	4.9%	39.2%	5.1%	9.5%	39.7%	1.2%	11.7%

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual identities.

**Table 10. Demographics of Eligible Adults in December 2005 DWP Cases with One Eligible Adult: Race/Ethnicity and Citizenship, by Large County and Region**

<b>County/Region/ State</b>	<b>Asian/ Pacific</b>	<b>Black</b>	<b>Hispanic</b>	<b>American Indian</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Multiple</b>	<b>Non-US Citizens</b>
<b>Hennepin</b>	31	542	21	22	160	9	142
	3.9%	68.9%	2.7%	2.8%	20.3%	1.1%	18.0%
<b>Ramsey</b>	44	229	32	13	148	4	67
	9.2%	48.1%	6.7%	2.7%	31.1%	0.8%	14.1%
<b>All Other Counties</b>	33	222	128	121	1,360	20	120
	1.7%	11.7%	6.7%	6.4%	71.6%	1.1%	6.3%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	12	125	21	15	375	7	41
	2.2%	22.4%	3.8%	2.7%	67.2%	1.3%	7.3%
<b>Core Metro</b>	75	771	53	35	308	13	209
	5.9%	61.0%	4.2%	2.8%	24.4%	1.0%	16.5%
<b>All Other Regions</b>	21	97	107	106	985	13	79
	1.6%	7.2%	8.0%	7.9%	73.5%	1.0%	5.9%
<b>Minnesota</b>	108	993	181	156	1,668	33	329
	3.4%	31.4%	5.7%	4.9%	52.8%	1.0%	10.4%

**Table 11. Family Types in December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP and DWP Households, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Aitkin	52	1	1	5	11	0	0	0
	96.3%	1.9%	1.9%	9.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Anoka	979	26	11	80	259	13	5	2
	96.4%	2.6%	1.1%	7.9%	93.5%	4.7%	1.8%	0.7%
Becker	159	12	4	11	26	1	2	0
	90.9%	6.9%	2.3%	6.3%	89.7%	3.4%	6.9%	0.0%
Beltrami	643	29	24	20	67	11	0	0
	92.4%	4.2%	3.4%	2.9%	85.9%	14.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Benton	103	5	0	3	23	3	2	0
	95.4%	4.6%	0.0%	2.8%	82.1%	10.7%	7.1%	0.0%
Big Stone	13	0	0	2	2	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Blue Earth	159	4	1	14	43	5	0	0
	97.0%	2.4%	0.6%	8.5%	89.6%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Brown	39	1	0	2	8	1	0	0
	97.5%	2.5%	0.0%	5.0%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Carlton	102	6	1	6	18	3	1	0
	93.6%	5.5%	0.9%	5.5%	81.8%	13.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Carver	63	2	0	5	18	3	1	0
	96.9%	3.1%	0.0%	7.7%	81.8%	13.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Cass	182	10	7	11	38	2	2	0
	91.5%	5.0%	3.5%	5.5%	90.5%	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%
Chippewa	22	2	0	4	7	1	0	0
	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	16.7%	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Chisago	105	6	1	3	44	4	1	1
	93.8%	5.4%	0.9%	2.7%	89.8%	8.2%	2.0%	2.0%
Clay	174	2	2	11	41	1	0	1
	97.8%	1.1%	1.1%	6.2%	97.6%	2.4%	0.0%	2.4%
Clearwater	48	2	0	6	8	0	0	0
	96.0%	4.0%	0.0%	12.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cook	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	27	1	0	1	11	0	0	0
	96.4%	3.6%	0.0%	3.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Crow Wing	182	4	4	5	62	1	1	1
	95.8%	2.1%	2.1%	2.6%	96.9%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%
Dakota	820	23	6	31	208	12	1	0
	96.6%	2.7%	0.7%	3.7%	94.1%	5.4%	0.5%	0.0%
Dodge	19	1	0	1	10	1	0	0
	95.0%	5.0%	0.0%	5.0%	90.9%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Douglas	52	2	0	1	11	4	0	0
	96.3%	3.7%	0.0%	1.9%	73.3%	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Faribault	23	0	2	6	3	1	0	0
	92.0%	0.0%	8.0%	24.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Fillmore	36	1	0	2	14	0	0	0
	97.3%	2.7%	0.0%	5.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Freeborn	99	6	0	8	31	1	0	0
	94.3%	5.7%	0.0%	7.6%	96.9%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 11 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
<b>Goodhue</b>	111	7	0	5	27	2	0	0
	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%	4.2%	93.1%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Grant</b>	10	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Hennepin</b>	6,108	169	114	329	857	82	20	3
	95.6%	2.6%	1.8%	5.1%	89.4%	8.6%	2.1%	0.3%
<b>Houston</b>	54	0	1	1	11	2	0	0
	98.2%	0.0%	1.8%	1.8%	84.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Hubbard</b>	47	2	0	5	8	2	0	0
	95.9%	4.1%	0.0%	10.2%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Isanti</b>	62	7	0	0	35	3	0	0
	89.9%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	92.1%	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Itasca</b>	111	5	0	8	24	1	0	1
	95.7%	4.3%	0.0%	6.9%	96.0%	4.0%	0.0%	4.0%
<b>Jackson</b>	19	1	0	2	12	0	0	0
	95.0%	5.0%	0.0%	10.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Kanabec</b>	51	1	0	6	14	1	0	0
	98.1%	1.9%	0.0%	11.5%	93.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Kandiyohi</b>	166	9	0	14	44	0	0	1
	94.9%	5.1%	0.0%	8.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
<b>Kittson</b>	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Koochiching</b>	58	0	1	4	12	0	0	0
	98.3%	0.0%	1.7%	6.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Lac Qui Parle</b>	11	0	0	3	3	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Lake</b>	6	0	0	1	6	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Lake of Woods</b>	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Le Sueur</b>	46	1	1	3	19	2	0	1
	95.8%	2.1%	2.1%	6.3%	90.5%	9.5%	0.0%	4.8%
<b>Lincoln</b>	3	0	0	2	4	0	1	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
<b>Lyon</b>	49	3	1	6	14	0	0	0
	92.5%	5.7%	1.9%	11.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>McLeod</b>	53	3	0	6	17	3	1	0
	94.6%	5.4%	0.0%	10.7%	81.0%	14.3%	4.8%	0.0%
<b>Mahnomen</b>	73	0	3	3	7	0	0	0
	96.1%	0.0%	3.9%	3.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Marshall</b>	13	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
<b>Martin</b>	53	2	1	5	19	1	0	0
	94.6%	3.6%	1.8%	8.9%	95.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Meeker</b>	45	1	2	2	10	2	0	0
	93.8%	2.1%	4.2%	4.2%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Mille Lacs</b>	118	4	2	6	8	2	0	0
	95.2%	3.2%	1.6%	4.8%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Morrison</b>	44	2	0	1	13	0	0	0
	95.7%	4.3%	0.0%	2.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 11 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
Mower	115	5	1	7	41	5	1	0
	95.0%	4.1%	0.8%	5.8%	87.2%	10.6%	2.1%	0.0%
Murray	6	1	0	0	7	0	0	1
	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%
Nicollet	90	5	2	4	16	4	0	1
	92.8%	5.2%	2.1%	4.1%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Nobles	44	1	1	3	11	2	0	0
	95.7%	2.2%	2.2%	6.5%	84.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Norman	9	0	0	0	11	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Olmsted	447	12	8	33	116	16	2	1
	95.7%	2.6%	1.7%	7.1%	86.6%	11.9%	1.5%	0.7%
Otter Tail	101	7	2	3	28	6	0	0
	91.8%	6.4%	1.8%	2.7%	82.4%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Pennington	37	0	0	1	12	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	92.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Pine	93	6	2	9	43	6	2	1
	92.1%	5.9%	2.0%	8.9%	84.3%	11.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Pipestone	25	1	0	1	7	0	0	0
	96.2%	3.8%	0.0%	3.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Polk	121	3	1	10	19	3	0	1
	96.8%	2.4%	0.8%	8.0%	86.4%	13.6%	0.0%	4.5%
Pope	16	1	0	1	5	0	0	0
	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ramsey	4,886	105	77	379	563	68	11	2
	96.4%	2.1%	1.5%	7.5%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Red Lake	11	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Redwood	30	1	1	4	8	1	2	0
	93.8%	3.1%	3.1%	12.5%	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%
Renville	34	3	1	5	10	0	0	0
	89.5%	7.9%	2.6%	13.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rice	159	7	3	11	47	2	1	1
	94.1%	4.1%	1.8%	6.5%	94.0%	4.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Rock	15	1	0	2	4	2	0	0
	93.8%	6.3%	0.0%	12.5%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Roseau	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Louis	934	46	12	63	149	18	2	1
	94.2%	4.6%	1.2%	6.4%	88.2%	10.7%	1.2%	0.6%
Scott	129	6	2	6	42	2	0	0
	94.2%	4.4%	1.5%	4.4%	95.5%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%
Sherburne	101	2	1	4	34	3	0	0
	97.1%	1.9%	1.0%	3.8%	91.9%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Sibley	27	0	0	4	8	1	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Stearns	353	14	3	21	84	12	0	1
	95.4%	3.8%	0.8%	5.7%	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%	1.0%
Steele	74	2	3	2	16	1	0	1
	93.7%	2.5%	3.8%	2.5%	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%

Table 11 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	MFIP				DWP			
	Family Type			Two Care- givers	Family Type			Two Care- givers
	Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care		Own Children	Pregnant Only	Relative Care	
<b>Stevens</b>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Swift</b>	9	1	0	1	4	2	0	0
	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Todd</b>	55	5	0	4	18	1	1	1
	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	6.7%	90.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
<b>Traverse</b>	7	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Wabasha</b>	21	0	0	2	13	0	0	1
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
<b>Wadena</b>	58	1	2	5	15	0	0	0
	95.1%	1.6%	3.3%	8.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Waseca</b>	58	2	0	6	17	1	0	0
	96.7%	3.3%	0.0%	10.0%	94.4%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Washington</b>	390	6	1	18	77	3	2	1
	98.2%	1.5%	0.3%	4.5%	93.9%	3.7%	2.4%	1.2%
<b>Watonwan</b>	19	2	0	1	4	1	0	0
	90.5%	9.5%	0.0%	4.8%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Wilkin</b>	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	87.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Winona</b>	115	3	1	9	22	3	1	0
	96.6%	2.5%	0.8%	7.6%	84.6%	11.5%	3.8%	0.0%
<b>Wright</b>	128	8	0	4	47	4	1	1
	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%	2.9%	90.4%	7.7%	1.9%	1.9%
<b>Yellow Medicine</b>	11	1	0	3	1	1	0	0
	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Northwest</b>	204	3	1	11	50	5	1	1
	98.1%	1.4%	0.5%	5.3%	89.3%	8.9%	1.8%	1.8%
<b>West Central</b>	1,867	80	48	89	352	29	6	3
	93.6%	4.0%	2.4%	4.5%	91.0%	7.5%	1.6%	0.8%
<b>Northeast</b>	1,270	58	15	87	220	23	3	2
	94.6%	4.3%	1.1%	6.5%	89.4%	9.3%	1.2%	0.8%
<b>Central</b>	1,307	63	11	80	369	39	6	4
	94.6%	4.6%	0.8%	5.8%	89.1%	9.4%	1.4%	1.0%
<b>Southwest</b>	284	14	3	34	95	11	3	1
	94.4%	4.7%	1.0%	11.3%	87.2%	10.1%	2.8%	0.9%
<b>South Central</b>	514	17	7	44	137	17	0	2
	95.5%	3.2%	1.3%	8.2%	89.0%	11.0%	0.0%	1.3%
<b>Southeast</b>	1,250	44	17	81	348	33	5	4
	95.3%	3.4%	1.3%	6.2%	90.2%	8.5%	1.3%	1.0%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	2,486	69	21	143	648	37	10	4
	96.5%	2.7%	0.8%	5.6%	93.2%	5.3%	1.4%	0.6%
<b>Core Metro</b>	10,994	274	191	708	1,420	150	31	5
	95.9%	2.4%	1.7%	6.2%	88.7%	9.4%	1.9%	0.3%
<b>Minnesota</b>	20,176	622	314	1,277	3,639	344	65	26
	95.6%	2.9%	1.5%	6.0%	89.9%	8.5%	1.6%	0.6%

**Table 12. All Children in December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Households, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Aitkin	1	23	18	9	3	6	22	15	11
	1.9%	42.6%	33.3%	16.7%	5.6%	11.1%	40.7%	27.8%	20.4%
Anoka	26	502	277	127	84	211	429	211	165
	2.6%	49.4%	27.3%	12.5%	8.3%	20.8%	42.2%	20.8%	16.2%
Becker	12	76	50	21	16	31	73	44	27
	6.9%	43.4%	28.6%	12.0%	9.1%	17.7%	41.7%	25.1%	15.4%
Beltrami	29	303	194	105	65	127	305	175	89
	4.2%	43.5%	27.9%	15.1%	9.3%	18.2%	43.8%	25.1%	12.8%
Benton	5	45	35	16	7	25	50	25	8
	4.6%	41.7%	32.4%	14.8%	6.5%	23.1%	46.3%	23.1%	7.4%
Big Stone	0	6	5	1	1	4	5	4	0
	0.0%	46.2%	38.5%	7.7%	7.7%	30.8%	38.5%	30.8%	0.0%
Blue Earth	4	73	44	25	18	45	67	30	22
	2.4%	44.5%	26.8%	15.2%	11.0%	27.4%	40.9%	18.3%	13.4%
Brown	1	17	11	7	4	12	16	8	4
	2.5%	42.5%	27.5%	17.5%	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Carlton	6	48	34	17	4	17	42	28	22
	5.5%	44.0%	31.2%	15.6%	3.7%	15.6%	38.5%	25.7%	20.2%
Carver	2	32	13	12	6	15	21	19	10
	3.1%	49.2%	20.0%	18.5%	9.2%	23.1%	32.3%	29.2%	15.4%
Cass	10	82	62	27	18	43	69	57	30
	5.0%	41.2%	31.2%	13.6%	9.0%	21.6%	34.7%	28.6%	15.1%
Chippewa	2	11	8	0	3	5	9	5	5
	8.3%	45.8%	33.3%	0.0%	12.5%	20.8%	37.5%	20.8%	20.8%
Chisago	6	55	37	13	1	28	49	16	19
	5.4%	49.1%	33.0%	11.6%	0.9%	25.0%	43.8%	14.3%	17.0%
Clay	2	72	65	19	20	40	74	37	27
	1.1%	40.4%	36.5%	10.7%	11.2%	22.5%	41.6%	20.8%	15.2%
Clearwater	2	21	16	6	5	13	13	13	11
	4.0%	42.0%	32.0%	12.0%	10.0%	26.0%	26.0%	26.0%	22.0%
Cook	0	2	4	1	0	1	2	2	2
	0.0%	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%
Cottonwood	1	12	11	4	0	2	15	4	7
	3.6%	42.9%	39.3%	14.3%	0.0%	7.1%	53.6%	14.3%	25.0%
Crow Wing	4	102	54	23	7	39	81	48	22
	2.1%	53.7%	28.4%	12.1%	3.7%	20.5%	42.6%	25.3%	11.6%
Dakota	23	410	232	110	74	206	356	184	103
	2.7%	48.3%	27.3%	13.0%	8.7%	24.3%	41.9%	21.7%	12.1%
Dodge	1	10	5	1	3	3	10	5	2
	5.0%	50.0%	25.0%	5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	50.0%	25.0%	10.0%
Douglas	2	31	14	7	0	16	20	13	5
	3.7%	57.4%	25.9%	13.0%	0.0%	29.6%	37.0%	24.1%	9.3%
Faribault	0	11	7	4	3	5	6	10	4
	0.0%	44.0%	28.0%	16.0%	12.0%	20.0%	24.0%	40.0%	16.0%
Fillmore	1	21	10	4	1	13	13	5	6
	2.7%	56.8%	27.0%	10.8%	2.7%	35.1%	35.1%	13.5%	16.2%
Freeborn	6	48	34	11	6	29	44	23	9
	5.7%	45.7%	32.4%	10.5%	5.7%	27.6%	41.9%	21.9%	8.6%

**Table 12 – Page 2**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Goodhue	7	57	28	16	10	29	42	23	24
	5.9%	48.3%	23.7%	13.6%	8.5%	24.6%	35.6%	19.5%	20.3%
Grant	0	4	3	2	1	2	3	4	1
	0.0%	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%
Hennepin	169	2,778	1,645	948	851	1,459	2,782	1,341	809
	2.6%	43.5%	25.7%	14.8%	13.3%	22.8%	43.5%	21.0%	12.7%
Houston	0	22	20	9	4	10	24	10	11
	0.0%	40.0%	36.4%	16.4%	7.3%	18.2%	43.6%	18.2%	20.0%
Hubbard	2	23	13	6	5	11	17	14	7
	4.1%	46.9%	26.5%	12.2%	10.2%	22.4%	34.7%	28.6%	14.3%
Isanti	7	31	21	9	1	18	29	13	9
	10.1%	44.9%	30.4%	13.0%	1.4%	26.1%	42.0%	18.8%	13.0%
Itasca	5	54	31	17	9	20	45	36	15
	4.3%	46.6%	26.7%	14.7%	7.8%	17.2%	38.8%	31.0%	12.9%
Jackson	1	7	6	4	2	1	9	5	5
	5.0%	35.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	5.0%	45.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Kanabec	1	23	14	9	5	14	20	11	7
	1.9%	44.2%	26.9%	17.3%	9.6%	26.9%	38.5%	21.2%	13.5%
Kandiyohi	9	81	38	24	23	48	76	28	23
	5.1%	46.3%	21.7%	13.7%	13.1%	27.4%	43.4%	16.0%	13.1%
Kittson	0	3	1	1	0	1	3	1	0
	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Koochiching	0	32	16	9	2	11	24	14	10
	0.0%	54.2%	27.1%	15.3%	3.4%	18.6%	40.7%	23.7%	16.9%
Lac Qui Parle	0	5	4	1	1	3	3	1	4
	0.0%	45.5%	36.4%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	36.4%
Lake	0	3	3	0	0	1	2	1	2
	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%
Lake of Woods	0	2	1	1	1	0	3	1	1
	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Le Sueur	1	28	9	6	4	12	22	9	5
	2.1%	58.3%	18.8%	12.5%	8.3%	25.0%	45.8%	18.8%	10.4%
Lincoln	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	1	0
	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Lyon	3	25	5	11	9	17	22	7	7
	5.7%	47.2%	9.4%	20.8%	17.0%	32.1%	41.5%	13.2%	13.2%
McLeod	3	27	11	7	8	13	24	13	6
	5.4%	48.2%	19.6%	12.5%	14.3%	23.2%	42.9%	23.2%	10.7%
Mahnomon	0	35	23	10	8	9	29	23	15
	0.0%	46.1%	30.3%	13.2%	10.5%	11.8%	38.2%	30.3%	19.7%
Marshall	0	6	4	3	0	4	4	2	3
	0.0%	46.2%	30.8%	23.1%	0.0%	30.8%	30.8%	15.4%	23.1%
Martin	2	28	16	7	3	10	29	9	8
	3.6%	50.0%	28.6%	12.5%	5.4%	17.9%	51.8%	16.1%	14.3%
Meeker	1	28	9	7	3	10	24	6	8
	2.1%	58.3%	18.8%	14.6%	6.3%	20.8%	50.0%	12.5%	16.7%
Mille Lacs	4	65	28	18	9	23	50	31	20
	3.2%	52.4%	22.6%	14.5%	7.3%	18.5%	40.3%	25.0%	16.1%
Morrison	2	22	16	4	2	13	14	16	3
	4.3%	47.8%	34.8%	8.7%	4.3%	28.3%	30.4%	34.8%	6.5%



Table 12 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Mower	5	60	27	17	12	30	50	27	14
	4.1%	49.6%	22.3%	14.0%	9.9%	24.8%	41.3%	22.3%	11.6%
Murray	1	2	3	0	1	2	1	3	1
	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%
Nicollet	5	51	24	11	6	25	47	16	9
	5.2%	52.6%	24.7%	11.3%	6.2%	25.8%	48.5%	16.5%	9.3%
Nobles	1	17	9	12	7	14	16	12	4
	2.2%	37.0%	19.6%	26.1%	15.2%	30.4%	34.8%	26.1%	8.7%
Norman	0	5	0	1	3	3	4	1	1
	0.0%	55.6%	0.0%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%
Olmsted	12	197	130	80	48	106	214	90	57
	2.6%	42.2%	27.8%	17.1%	10.3%	22.7%	45.8%	19.3%	12.2%
Otter Tail	7	58	27	11	7	21	54	19	16
	6.4%	52.7%	24.5%	10.0%	6.4%	19.1%	49.1%	17.3%	14.5%
Pennington	0	22	10	3	2	8	14	11	4
	0.0%	59.5%	27.0%	8.1%	5.4%	21.6%	37.8%	29.7%	10.8%
Pine	6	40	37	12	6	15	48	23	15
	5.9%	39.6%	36.6%	11.9%	5.9%	14.9%	47.5%	22.8%	14.9%
Pipestone	1	11	8	6	0	10	10	3	3
	3.8%	42.3%	30.8%	23.1%	0.0%	38.5%	38.5%	11.5%	11.5%
Polk	3	61	29	18	14	32	52	25	16
	2.4%	48.8%	23.2%	14.4%	11.2%	25.6%	41.6%	20.0%	12.8%
Pope	1	6	9	1	0	3	5	7	2
	5.9%	35.3%	52.9%	5.9%	0.0%	17.6%	29.4%	41.2%	11.8%
Ramsey	105	2,067	1,344	769	783	1,006	2,198	1,187	677
	2.1%	40.8%	26.5%	15.2%	15.4%	19.9%	43.4%	23.4%	13.4%
Red Lake	0	3	6	1	1	3	4	2	2
	0.0%	27.3%	54.5%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	36.4%	18.2%	18.2%
Redwood	1	8	11	7	5	7	16	4	5
	3.1%	25.0%	34.4%	21.9%	15.6%	21.9%	50.0%	12.5%	15.6%
Renville	3	14	8	7	6	13	15	3	7
	7.9%	36.8%	21.1%	18.4%	15.8%	34.2%	39.5%	7.9%	18.4%
Rice	7	79	37	33	13	36	79	37	17
	4.1%	46.7%	21.9%	19.5%	7.7%	21.3%	46.7%	21.9%	10.1%
Rock	1	8	4	2	1	1	8	6	1
	6.3%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	37.5%	6.3%
Roseau	0	4	2	2	0	1	4	1	2
	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%
St. Louis	46	488	275	116	67	212	431	219	130
	4.6%	49.2%	27.7%	11.7%	6.8%	21.4%	43.4%	22.1%	13.1%
Scott	6	56	38	18	19	32	55	38	12
	4.4%	40.9%	27.7%	13.1%	13.9%	23.4%	40.1%	27.7%	8.8%
Sherburne	2	52	31	13	6	23	45	26	10
	1.9%	50.0%	29.8%	12.5%	5.8%	22.1%	43.3%	25.0%	9.6%
Sibley	0	13	4	6	4	7	10	7	3
	0.0%	48.1%	14.8%	22.2%	14.8%	25.9%	37.0%	25.9%	11.1%
Stearns	14	176	95	50	35	86	150	71	63
	3.8%	47.6%	25.7%	13.5%	9.5%	23.2%	40.5%	19.2%	17.0%
Steele	2	29	19	18	11	17	39	13	10
	2.5%	36.7%	24.1%	22.8%	13.9%	21.5%	49.4%	16.5%	12.7%

**Table 12 – Page 4**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
<b>Stevens</b>	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0
	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%
<b>Swift</b>	1	3	4	1	1	2	5	2	1
	10.0%	30.0%	40.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%
<b>Todd</b>	5	31	12	9	3	10	22	19	9
	8.3%	51.7%	20.0%	15.0%	5.0%	16.7%	36.7%	31.7%	15.0%
<b>Traverse</b>	0	3	2	1	1	3	1	3	0
	0.0%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%
<b>Wabasha</b>	0	17	3	1	0	4	5	7	5
	0.0%	81.0%	14.3%	4.8%	0.0%	19.0%	23.8%	33.3%	23.8%
<b>Wadena</b>	1	28	18	9	5	16	30	7	8
	1.6%	45.9%	29.5%	14.8%	8.2%	26.2%	49.2%	11.5%	13.1%
<b>Waseca</b>	2	25	17	13	3	16	21	17	6
	3.3%	41.7%	28.3%	21.7%	5.0%	26.7%	35.0%	28.3%	10.0%
<b>Washington</b>	6	190	118	53	30	86	173	95	43
	1.5%	47.9%	29.7%	13.4%	7.6%	21.7%	43.6%	23.9%	10.8%
<b>Watonwan</b>	2	8	7	1	3	6	8	3	4
	9.5%	38.1%	33.3%	4.8%	14.3%	28.6%	38.1%	14.3%	19.0%
<b>Wilkin</b>	1	4	2	0	1	2	1	0	5
	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	62.5%
<b>Winona</b>	3	56	37	12	11	24	53	32	10
	2.5%	47.1%	31.1%	10.1%	9.2%	20.2%	44.5%	26.9%	8.4%
<b>Wright</b>	8	73	36	13	6	36	55	29	16
	5.9%	53.7%	26.5%	9.6%	4.4%	26.5%	40.4%	21.3%	11.8%
<b>Yellow Medicine</b>	1	5	3	1	2	5	3	3	1
	8.3%	41.7%	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	41.7%	25.0%	25.0%	8.3%
<b>Northwest</b>	3	104	52	29	20	52	85	43	28
	1.4%	50.0%	25.0%	13.9%	9.6%	25.0%	40.9%	20.7%	13.5%
<b>West Central</b>	80	904	582	263	166	400	815	502	278
	4.0%	45.3%	29.2%	13.2%	8.3%	20.1%	40.9%	25.2%	13.9%
<b>Northeast</b>	58	650	381	169	85	268	568	315	192
	4.3%	48.4%	28.4%	12.6%	6.3%	20.0%	42.3%	23.5%	14.3%
<b>Central</b>	63	655	363	185	115	324	586	279	192
	4.6%	47.4%	26.3%	13.4%	8.3%	23.5%	42.4%	20.2%	13.9%
<b>Southwest</b>	14	121	83	50	33	74	123	60	44
	4.7%	40.2%	27.6%	16.6%	11.0%	24.6%	40.9%	19.9%	14.6%
<b>South Central</b>	17	254	139	80	48	138	226	109	65
	3.2%	47.2%	25.8%	14.9%	8.9%	25.7%	42.0%	20.3%	12.1%
<b>Southeast</b>	44	596	350	202	119	301	573	272	165
	3.4%	45.5%	26.7%	15.4%	9.1%	23.0%	43.7%	20.7%	12.6%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	69	1,245	715	333	214	578	1,083	563	352
	2.7%	48.3%	27.8%	12.9%	8.3%	22.4%	42.0%	21.9%	13.7%
<b>Core Metro</b>	274	4,845	2,989	1,717	1,634	2,465	4,980	2,528	1,486
	2.4%	42.3%	26.1%	15.0%	14.3%	21.5%	43.5%	22.1%	13.0%
<b>Minnesota</b>	622	9,374	5,654	3,028	2,434	4,600	9,039	4,671	2,802
	2.9%	44.4%	26.8%	14.3%	11.5%	21.8%	42.8%	22.1%	13.3%

**Table 13. All Children in December 2005 One-eligible-adult DWP Households, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Aitkin	0	7	0	0	0	0	4	2	1
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%
Anoka	13	90	63	28	17	31	94	60	26
	6.2%	42.7%	29.9%	13.3%	8.1%	14.7%	44.5%	28.4%	12.3%
Becker	1	14	7	1	0	4	8	6	5
	4.3%	60.9%	30.4%	4.3%	0.0%	17.4%	34.8%	26.1%	21.7%
Beltrami	11	22	18	8	5	15	20	18	11
	17.2%	34.4%	28.1%	12.5%	7.8%	23.4%	31.3%	28.1%	17.2%
Benton	3	13	3	2	1	5	8	3	6
	13.6%	59.1%	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	22.7%	36.4%	13.6%	27.3%
Big Stone	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Blue Earth	5	11	8	3	1	5	17	3	3
	17.9%	39.3%	28.6%	10.7%	3.6%	17.9%	60.7%	10.7%	10.7%
Brown	1	2	2	1	0	1	2	1	2
	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	33.3%
Carlton	3	6	6	0	1	5	9	2	0
	18.8%	37.5%	37.5%	0.0%	6.3%	31.3%	56.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Carver	3	8	6	4	0	6	7	5	3
	14.3%	38.1%	28.6%	19.0%	0.0%	28.6%	33.3%	23.8%	14.3%
Cass	2	17	8	3	3	10	15	4	4
	6.1%	51.5%	24.2%	9.1%	9.1%	30.3%	45.5%	12.1%	12.1%
Chippewa	1	2	0	1	2	2	4	0	0
	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Chisago	4	11	8	7	2	8	11	10	3
	12.5%	34.4%	25.0%	21.9%	6.3%	25.0%	34.4%	31.3%	9.4%
Clay	1	12	14	7	0	5	16	10	3
	2.9%	35.3%	41.2%	20.6%	0.0%	14.7%	47.1%	29.4%	8.8%
Clearwater	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	0
	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cottonwood	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Crow Wing	1	27	11	3	2	4	21	11	8
	2.3%	61.4%	25.0%	6.8%	4.5%	9.1%	47.7%	25.0%	18.2%
Dakota	11	78	59	26	13	30	88	50	19
	5.9%	41.7%	31.6%	13.9%	7.0%	16.0%	47.1%	26.7%	10.2%
Dodge	1	3	3	2	0	1	4	4	0
	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	0.0%	11.1%	44.4%	44.4%	0.0%
Douglas	4	4	2	2	0	5	3	2	2
	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	41.7%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%
Faribault	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0
	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
Fillmore	0	6	3	1	1	0	7	2	2
	0.0%	54.5%	27.3%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%
Freeborn	1	7	10	4	1	1	9	9	4
	4.3%	30.4%	43.5%	17.4%	4.3%	4.3%	39.1%	39.1%	17.4%

**Table 13 – Page 2**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Goodhue	2	6	6	5	3	4	12	2	4
	9.1%	27.3%	27.3%	22.7%	13.6%	18.2%	54.5%	9.1%	18.2%
Grant	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Hennepin	80	336	184	113	74	149	334	194	110
	10.2%	42.7%	23.4%	14.4%	9.4%	18.9%	42.4%	24.7%	14.0%
Houston	2	3	3	2	2	1	7	1	3
	16.7%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	58.3%	8.3%	25.0%
Hubbard	2	1	3	1	1	2	3	2	1
	25.0%	12.5%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	25.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%
Isanti	3	12	9	4	4	4	15	11	2
	9.4%	37.5%	28.1%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	46.9%	34.4%	6.3%
Itasca	0	6	7	2	3	2	8	7	1
	0.0%	33.3%	38.9%	11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	44.4%	38.9%	5.6%
Jackson	0	4	2	1	0	0	3	4	0
	0.0%	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	57.1%	0.0%
Kanabec	0	6	2	2	0	0	7	1	2
	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	70.0%	10.0%	20.0%
Kandiyohi	0	10	8	9	4	3	17	9	2
	0.0%	32.3%	25.8%	29.0%	12.9%	9.7%	54.8%	29.0%	6.5%
Kittson	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Koochiching	0	6	3	2	0	0	7	2	2
	0.0%	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%
Lac Qui Parle	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Lake	1	2	0	1	0	1	2	1	0
	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Lake of Woods	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Le Sueur	2	7	3	4	1	2	10	5	0
	11.8%	41.2%	17.6%	23.5%	5.9%	11.8%	58.8%	29.4%	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0	2	1	1	1	3	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lyon	0	6	4	1	1	0	8	1	3
	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	66.7%	8.3%	25.0%
McLeod	2	3	6	2	3	4	7	3	2
	12.5%	18.8%	37.5%	12.5%	18.8%	25.0%	43.8%	18.8%	12.5%
Mahnomon	0	3	1	2	0	0	4	1	1
	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Marshall	0	3	0	1	1	1	2	1	1
	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Martin	1	3	7	3	1	1	11	2	1
	6.7%	20.0%	46.7%	20.0%	6.7%	6.7%	73.3%	13.3%	6.7%
Meeker	2	2	4	0	0	3	2	1	2
	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%
Mille Lacs	2	1	4	2	0	3	2	3	1
	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	0.0%	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%
Morrison	0	6	2	2	0	0	6	3	1
	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	30.0%	10.0%

Table 13 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
Mower	5	17	6	9	1	5	18	10	5
	13.2%	44.7%	15.8%	23.7%	2.6%	13.2%	47.4%	26.3%	13.2%
Murray	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	4	0
	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Nicollet	4	10	0	0	0	4	7	2	1
	28.6%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	50.0%	14.3%	7.1%
Nobles	2	3	2	2	1	4	2	3	1
	20.0%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%
Norman	0	2	3	1	1	0	3	4	0
	0.0%	28.6%	42.9%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	42.9%	57.1%	0.0%
Olmsted	16	32	36	16	4	23	45	23	13
	15.4%	30.8%	34.6%	15.4%	3.8%	22.1%	43.3%	22.1%	12.5%
Otter Tail	5	11	7	0	1	4	9	4	7
	20.8%	45.8%	29.2%	0.0%	4.2%	16.7%	37.5%	16.7%	29.2%
Pennington	1	5	3	1	0	1	7	2	0
	10.0%	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	70.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Pine	5	13	10	3	3	9	13	10	2
	14.7%	38.2%	29.4%	8.8%	8.8%	26.5%	38.2%	29.4%	5.9%
Pipestone	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	1	1
	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Polk	3	5	3	1	2	5	3	2	4
	21.4%	35.7%	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	35.7%	21.4%	14.3%	28.6%
Pope	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	3	1
	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
Ramsey	65	186	118	68	39	106	189	120	61
	13.7%	39.1%	24.8%	14.3%	8.2%	22.3%	39.7%	25.2%	12.8%
Red Lake	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
Redwood	1	4	2	1	0	2	5	0	1
	12.5%	50.0%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	25.0%	62.5%	0.0%	12.5%
Renville	0	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	0
	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%
Rice	2	18	8	5	8	6	20	9	6
	4.9%	43.9%	19.5%	12.2%	19.5%	14.6%	48.8%	22.0%	14.6%
Rock	2	1	3	0	0	2	3	0	1
	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	16.7%
Roseau	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
St. Louis	17	64	35	21	6	31	61	38	13
	11.9%	44.8%	24.5%	14.7%	4.2%	21.7%	42.7%	26.6%	9.1%
Scott	2	17	11	6	2	8	17	8	5
	5.3%	44.7%	28.9%	15.8%	5.3%	21.1%	44.7%	21.1%	13.2%
Sherburne	3	9	10	5	4	5	17	5	4
	9.7%	29.0%	32.3%	16.1%	12.9%	16.1%	54.8%	16.1%	12.9%
Sibley	1	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	0
	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Stearns	12	31	20	9	7	14	32	23	10
	15.2%	39.2%	25.3%	11.4%	8.9%	17.7%	40.5%	29.1%	12.7%
Steele	1	3	3	4	1	5	4	3	0
	8.3%	25.0%	25.0%	33.3%	8.3%	41.7%	33.3%	25.0%	0.0%

**Table 13 – Page 4**

County/Region/ State	Number of Children					Age of Youngest Child			
	0	1	2	3	4 or more	< 1 year	1-5	6-12	> 12
<b>Stevens</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Swift</b>	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%
<b>Todd</b>	1	9	3	2	1	3	10	2	1
	6.3%	56.3%	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	62.5%	12.5%	6.3%
<b>Traverse</b>	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	0
	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
<b>Wabasha</b>	0	6	5	1	0	0	5	5	2
	0.0%	50.0%	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	41.7%	16.7%
<b>Wadena</b>	0	1	5	1	0	1	4	2	0
	0.0%	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	0.0%	14.3%	57.1%	28.6%	0.0%
<b>Waseca</b>	1	7	6	1	1	2	10	3	1
	6.3%	43.8%	37.5%	6.3%	6.3%	12.5%	62.5%	18.8%	6.3%
<b>Washington</b>	3	36	19	8	3	8	31	20	10
	4.3%	52.2%	27.5%	11.6%	4.3%	11.6%	44.9%	29.0%	14.5%
<b>Watonwan</b>	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0
	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Wilkin</b>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%
<b>Winona</b>	3	6	7	6	0	5	9	6	2
	8.3%	16.7%	19.4%	16.7%	0.0%	13.9%	25.0%	16.7%	5.6%
<b>Wright</b>	4	18	16	4	2	9	18	14	3
	5.6%	25.4%	22.5%	5.6%	2.8%	12.7%	25.4%	19.7%	4.2%
<b>Yellow Medicine</b>	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<b>Northwest</b>	5	18	9	4	4	8	15	11	6
	12.5%	45.0%	22.5%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	37.5%	27.5%	15.0%
<b>West Central</b>	28	130	87	35	14	53	123	73	45
	9.5%	44.2%	29.6%	11.9%	4.8%	18.0%	41.8%	24.8%	15.3%
<b>Northeast</b>	21	91	51	26	10	39	91	52	17
	10.6%	45.7%	25.6%	13.1%	5.0%	19.6%	45.7%	26.1%	8.5%
<b>Central</b>	36	120	94	42	29	60	140	85	36
	11.2%	37.4%	29.3%	13.1%	9.0%	18.7%	43.6%	26.5%	11.2%
<b>Southwest</b>	11	28	20	8	8	17	35	16	7
	14.7%	37.3%	26.7%	10.7%	10.7%	22.7%	46.7%	21.3%	9.3%
<b>South Central</b>	17	42	30	13	4	19	61	18	8
	16.0%	39.6%	28.3%	12.3%	3.8%	17.9%	57.5%	17.0%	7.5%
<b>Southeast</b>	33	107	90	55	21	51	140	74	41
	10.8%	35.0%	29.4%	18.0%	6.9%	16.7%	45.8%	24.2%	13.4%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	36	240	166	79	37	91	248	153	66
	6.5%	43.0%	29.7%	14.2%	6.6%	16.3%	44.4%	27.4%	11.8%
<b>Core Metro</b>	145	522	302	181	113	255	523	314	171
	11.5%	41.3%	23.9%	14.3%	8.9%	20.2%	41.4%	24.9%	13.5%
<b>Minnesota</b>	332	1,298	849	443	240	593	1,376	796	397
	10.5%	41.0%	26.9%	14.0%	7.6%	18.8%	43.5%	25.2%	12.6%

**Table 14. Family Violence, Chemical Dependency, and Severe Mental Health Diagnosis for December 2005 One-eligible-adult Cases, by Large County and Region**

	MFIP Family Violence Exemption or Extension in 1995-2005		Adult Chemical Dependency Diagnosis in 2003-2005		Adult Severe Mental Health Diagnosis in 2003-2005	
	MFIP	DWP	MFIP	DWP	MFIP	DWP
<b>Anoka</b>	50	2	240	26	434	49
	4.9%	0.9%	23.6%	12.3%	42.7%	23.2%
<b>Beltrami</b>	19	2	256	16	236	14
	2.7%	3.1%	36.8%	25.0%	33.9%	21.9%
<b>Dakota</b>	36	1	166	25	321	37
	4.2%	0.5%	19.6%	13.4%	37.8%	19.8%
<b>Hennepin</b>	325	5	1,253	90	1864	124
	5.1%	0.6%	19.6%	11.4%	29.2%	15.8%
<b>Olmsted</b>	43	0	66	12	152	15
	9.2%	0.0%	14.1%	11.5%	32.5%	14.4%
<b>Ramsey</b>	310	2	898	49	1552	73
	6.1%	0.4%	17.7%	10.3%	30.6%	15.3%
<b>St. Louis</b>	66	1	314	17	465	28
	6.7%	0.7%	31.7%	11.9%	46.9%	19.6%
<b>Washington</b>	12	0	87	8	163	9
	3.0%	0.0%	21.9%	11.6%	41.1%	13.0%
<b>All Other Counties</b>	269	9	1,164	168	2104	254
	5.1%	0.8%	22.2%	15.0%	40.2%	22.7%
<b>Northwest</b>	8	1	39	5	93	8
	3.8%	2.5%	18.8%	12.5%	44.7%	20.0%
<b>West Central</b>	76	7	595	52	765	74
	3.8%	2.4%	29.8%	17.7%	38.3%	25.2%
<b>Northeast</b>	92	1	398	24	645	45
	6.9%	0.5%	29.6%	12.1%	48.0%	22.6%
<b>Central</b>	99	2	340	54	555	61
	7.2%	0.6%	24.6%	16.8%	40.2%	19.0%
<b>Southwest</b>	13	0	52	7	125	15
	4.3%	0.0%	17.3%	9.3%	41.5%	20.0%
<b>South Central</b>	38	0	82	14	205	28
	7.1%	0.0%	15.2%	13.2%	38.1%	26.4%
<b>Southeast</b>	69	0	226	43	465	63
	5.3%	0.0%	17.2%	14.1%	35.5%	20.6%
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	100	4	561	73	1,043	112
	3.9%	0.7%	21.8%	13.1%	40.5%	20.1%
<b>Core Metro</b>	635	7	2,151	139	3,416	197
	5.5%	0.6%	18.8%	11.0%	29.8%	15.6%
<b>Minnesota</b>	1,130	22	4,444	411	7,312	603
	5.4%	0.7%	21.0%	13.0%	34.6%	19.1%

**Table 15. December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, MFIP Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2005, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Aitkin	54	36	11	20.4%	24	9	16.7%	12	22.2%	*	
Anoka	1,016	38	248	24.4%	28	255	25.1%	216	21.3%	20	2.0%
Becker	175	40	44	25.1%	28	38	21.7%	25	14.3%	*	
Beltrami	696	49	263	37.8%	15	56	8.0%	97	13.9%	6	0.9%
Benton	108	30	14	13.0%	22	15	13.9%	34	31.5%	*	
Big Stone	13	42	5	38.5%	28	4	30.8%	4	30.8%	*	
Blue Earth	164	34	31	18.9%	27	38	23.2%	34	20.7%	9	5.5%
Brown	40	31	6	15.0%	17	2	5.0%	12	30.0%	5	12.5%
Carlton	109	39	25	22.9%	27	22	20.2%	18	16.5%	*	
Carver	65	29	5	7.7%	21	4	6.2%	15	23.1%	*	
Cass	199	35	41	20.6%	26	42	21.1%	45	22.6%	*	
Chippewa	24	32	3	12.5%	23	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	*	
Chisago	112	30	13	11.6%	23	16	14.3%	26	23.2%	*	
Clay	178	35	38	21.3%	28	41	23.0%	40	22.5%	12	6.7%
Clearwater	50	38	9	18.0%	28	10	20.0%	11	22.0%	*	
Cook	7	36	2	28.6%	31	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%
Cottonwood	28	31	4	14.3%	23	4	14.3%	4	14.3%	*	
Crow Wing	190	28	20	10.5%	22	24	12.6%	45	23.7%	*	
Dakota	849	34	159	18.7%	25	155	18.3%	183	21.6%	20	2.4%
Dodge	20	28	3	15.0%	22	3	15.0%	5	25.0%	*	
Douglas	54	27	8	14.8%	21	6	11.1%	16	29.6%	5	9.3%
Faribault	25	39	6	24.0%	27	4	16.0%	4	16.0%	0	0.0%
Fillmore	37	26	3	8.1%	21	3	8.1%	14	37.8%	*	
Freeborn	105	30	17	16.2%	20	13	12.4%	34	32.4%	*	
Goodhue	118	31	16	13.6%	25	19	16.1%	27	22.9%	*	
Grant	10	47	3	30.0%	37	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hennepin	6,391	41	1,663	26.0%	29	1,630	25.5%	1,327	20.8%	320	5.0%
Houston	55	28	2	3.6%	22	5	9.1%	13	23.6%	3	5.5%
Hubbard	49	33	11	22.4%	25	9	18.4%	11	22.4%	*	
Isanti	69	25	7	10.1%	20	10	14.5%	18	26.1%	*	



Table 15 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Itasca	116	35	25	21.6%	26	26	22.4%	31	26.7%	*	
Jackson	20	29	2	10.0%	21	2	10.0%	6	30.0%	*	
Kanabec	52	31	10	19.2%	22	11	21.2%	16	30.8%	*	
Kandiyohi	175	26	18	10.3%	20	20	11.4%	53	30.3%	15	8.6%
Kittson	5	12	0	0.0%	4	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%
Koochiching	59	30	6	10.2%	25	11	18.6%	8	13.6%	0	0.0%
Lac Qui Parle	11	31	1	9.1%	23	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	*	
Lake	6	33	1	16.7%	27	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
Lake of the Woods	5	41	1	20.0%	21	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Le Sueur	48	20	3	6.3%	15	2	4.2%	15	31.3%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	3	38	1	33.3%	28	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Lyon	53	33	10	18.9%	24	10	18.9%	14	26.4%	5	9.4%
McLeod	56	22	2	3.6%	17	6	10.7%	19	33.9%	6	10.7%
Mahnomen	76	47	25	32.9%	29	18	23.7%	10	13.2%	0	0.0%
Marshall	13	32	3	23.1%	26	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%
Martin	56	25	5	8.9%	19	4	7.1%	13	23.2%	*	
Meeker	48	29	7	14.6%	20	9	18.8%	13	27.1%	*	
Mille Lacs	124	34	16	12.9%	24	16	12.9%	23	18.5%	5	4.0%
Morrison	46	23	4	8.7%	17	3	6.5%	11	23.9%	0	0.0%
Mower	121	26	13	10.7%	20	12	9.9%	41	33.9%	7	5.8%
Murray	7	19	1	14.3%	15	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%
Nicollet	97	25	8	8.2%	21	13	13.4%	27	27.8%	6	6.2%
Nobles	46	29	7	15.2%	24	9	19.6%	14	30.4%	*	
Norman	9	26	1	11.1%	20	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%
Olmsted	467	29	51	10.9%	22	40	8.6%	127	27.2%	33	7.1%
Otter Tail	110	25	6	5.5%	19	11	10.0%	30	27.3%	*	
Pennington	37	25	1	2.7%	22	4	10.8%	9	24.3%	*	
Pine	101	34	18	17.8%	24	18	17.8%	22	21.8%	*	
Pipestone	26	24	3	11.5%	19	2	7.7%	8	30.8%	*	
Polk	125	34	23	18.4%	25	27	21.6%	32	25.6%	5	4.0%
Pope	17	31	2	11.8%	22	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%
Ramsey	5,068	47	1,766	34.8%	33	1,764	34.8%	867	17.1%	197	3.9%
Red Lake	11	25	1	9.1%	23	2	18.2%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%
Redwood	32	39	6	18.8%	26	6	18.8%	5	15.6%	0	0.0%
Renville	38	36	9	23.7%	25	9	23.7%	9	23.7%	*	
Rice	169	26	14	8.3%	19	13	7.7%	48	28.4%	7	4.1%
Rock	16	27	3	18.8%	17	0	0.0%	5	31.3%	*	
Roseau	8	25	1	12.5%	16	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
St. Louis	992	37	216	21.8%	27	213	21.5%	197	19.9%	30	3.0%
Scott	137	34	28	20.4%	25	28	20.4%	32	23.4%	*	
Sherburne	104	30	12	11.5%	21	8	7.7%	24	23.1%	*	
Sibley	27	27	2	7.4%	18	3	11.1%	10	37.0%	*	
Stearns	370	33	57	15.4%	25	60	16.2%	94	25.4%	14	3.8%
Steele	79	32	10	12.7%	25	13	16.5%	15	19.0%	*	
Stevens	4	24	0	0.0%	28	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	*	

**Table 15 – Page 3**

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Swift	10	25	2	20.0%	21	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%
Todd	60	33	13	21.7%	24	14	23.3%	18	30.0%	*	
Traverse	7	24	1	14.3%	28	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	*	
Wabasha	21	14	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%	9	42.9%	*	
Wadena	61	33	14	23.0%	24	11	18.0%	15	24.6%	*	
Waseca	60	33	7	11.7%	26	11	18.3%	14	23.3%	*	
Washington	397	37	77	19.4%	27	67	16.9%	75	18.9%	10	2.5%
Watonwan	21	21	1	4.8%	15	2	9.5%	8	38.1%	*	
Wilkin	8	13	0	0.0%	10	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	*	
Winona	119	35	22	18.5%	27	25	21.0%	33	27.7%	9	7.6%
Wright	136	27	16	11.8%	20	18	13.2%	37	27.2%	*	
Yellow Medicine	12	28	1	8.3%	26	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%
Northwest	208	30	30	14.4%	23	37	17.8%	55	26.4%	7	3.4%
West Central	1,995	39	503	25.2%	21	292	14.6%	381	19.1%	40	2.0%
Northeast	1,343	37	286	21.3%	27	284	21.1%	269	20.0%	33	2.5%
Central	1,381	30	186	13.5%	22	200	14.5%	362	26.2%	52	3.8%
Southwest	301	31	49	16.3%	23	47	15.6%	74	24.6%	18	6.0%
South Central	538	29	69	12.8%	22	79	14.7%	137	25.5%	27	5.0%
Southeast	1,311	29	151	11.5%	22	147	11.2%	366	27.9%	73	5.6%
Metro Suburban	2,576	36	530	20.6%	26	528	20.5%	547	21.2%	54	2.1%
Core Metro	11,459	44	3,429	29.9%	31	3,394	29.6%	2,194	19.1%	517	4.5%
Minnesota	21,112	39	5,233	24.8%	28	5,008	23.7%	4,385	20.8%	821	3.9%

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data has been removed to protect individual identities.

**Table 16. December 2005 One-eligible-adult DWP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, MFIP Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2005, by Large County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare									
		Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Prcnt
Anoka	211	10	9	4.3%	9	9	4.3%	114	54.0%	31	14.7%
Beltrami	64	19	11	17.2%	11	3	4.7%	22	34.4%	5	7.8%
Dakota	187	8	5	2.7%	7	1	0.5%	98	52.4%	26	13.9%
Hennepin	787	11	47	6.0%	12	34	4.3%	436	55.4%	220	28.0%
Olmsted	104	6	4	3.8%	6	1	1.0%	69	66.3%	29	27.9%
Ramsey	476	12	43	9.0%	10	26	5.5%	274	57.6%	130	27.3%
St. Louis	143	11	8	5.6%	10	5	3.5%	73	51.0%	24	16.8%
Washington	69	9	0	0.0%	10	1	1.4%	37	53.6%	8	11.6%
All Other Counties	1,121	10	46	4.1%	9	27	2.4%	540	48.2%	164	14.6%
Northwest	40	14	2	5.0%	12	1	2.5%	19	47.5%	10	25.0%
West Central	294	14	26	8.8%	11	13	4.4%	114	38.8%	34	11.6%
Northeast	199	10	9	4.5%	9	5	2.5%	99	49.7%	31	15.6%
Central	321	10	14	4.4%	8	6	1.9%	162	50.5%	34	10.6%
Southwest	75	6	2	2.7%	7	2	2.7%	42	56.0%	21	28.0%
South Central	106	7	1	0.9%	7	1	0.9%	57	53.8%	16	15.1%
Southeast	306	9	12	3.9%	9	7	2.3%	157	51.3%	61	19.9%
Metro Suburban	558	9	17	3.0%	8	12	2.2%	303	54.3%	80	14.3%
Core Metro	1,263	11	90	7.1%	11	60	4.8%	710	56.2%	350	27.7%
Minnesota	3,162	11	173	5.5%	10	107	3.4%	1,663	52.6%	637	20.1%

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data has been removed to protect individual identities.

**Table 17. December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Aitkin	10	18.5%	16	\$432	17	31.5%	\$689	96
Anoka	111	10.9%	272	\$414	403	39.7%	\$826	97
Becker	19	10.9%	46	\$393	61	34.9%	\$844	104
Beltrami	58	8.3%	163	\$457	226	32.5%	\$983	122
Benton	13	12.0%	38	\$399	53	49.1%	\$894	102
Big Stone	2	15.4%	5	\$411	8	61.5%	\$1,295	120
Blue Earth	35	21.3%	78	\$431	85	51.8%	\$825	98
Brown	13	32.5%	20	\$549	25	62.5%	\$981	126
Carlton	17	15.6%	31	\$435	46	42.2%	\$810	98
Carver	9	13.8%	19	\$456	25	38.5%	\$926	99
Cass	17	8.5%	40	\$375	57	28.6%	\$780	102
Chippewa	6	25.0%	13	\$402	15	62.5%	\$888	98
Chisago	14	12.5%	28	\$330	44	39.3%	\$682	87
Clay	33	18.5%	74	\$434	98	55.1%	\$824	110
Clearwater	7	14.0%	17	\$488	18	36.0%	\$1,119	121
Cook	2	28.6%	4	*	4	57.1%	*	*
Cottonwood	5	17.9%	12	\$373	14	50.0%	\$648	83
Crow Wing	31	16.3%	69	\$418	89	46.8%	\$853	83
Dakota	110	13.0%	224	\$394	341	40.2%	\$925	94
Dodge	0	0.0%	7	\$337	10	50.0%	\$775	90
Douglas	7	13.0%	15	\$347	24	44.4%	\$833	95
Faribault	4	16.0%	13	\$313	11	44.0%	\$797	96
Fillmore	5	13.5%	13	\$350	20	54.1%	\$655	84
Freeborn	28	26.7%	54	\$443	60	57.1%	\$927	106
Goodhue	13	11.0%	40	\$365	55	46.6%	\$909	98
Grant	2	20.0%	3	*	5	50.0%	\$491	73
Hennepin	920	14.4%	1,717	\$500	2,323	36.3%	\$1,013	110
Houston	13	23.6%	29	\$341	35	63.6%	\$710	96
Hubbard	7	14.3%	25	\$360	24	49.0%	\$794	103
Isanti	9	13.0%	25	\$368	33	47.8%	\$804	98

Table 17 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Itasca	6	5.2%	28	\$362	41	35.3%	\$804	103
Jackson	4	20.0%	8	\$421	12	60.0%	\$708	92
Kanabec	3	5.8%	22	\$231	23	44.2%	\$872	90
Kandiyohi	17	9.7%	51	\$373	73	41.7%	\$833	115
Kittson	1	20.0%	1	*	1	20.0%	*	*
Koochiching	9	15.3%	22	\$423	30	50.8%	\$862	115
Lac Qui Parle	0	0.0%	5	\$264	5	45.5%	\$951	129
Lake	0	0.0%	2	*	1	16.7%	*	*
Lake of the Woods	2	40.0%	3	*	3	60.0%	*	*
Le Sueur	8	16.7%	23	\$386	35	72.9%	\$830	87
Lincoln	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Lyon	14	26.4%	26	\$566	36	67.9%	\$985	125
McLeod	4	7.1%	15	\$355	23	41.1%	\$875	101
Mahnomen	6	7.9%	11	\$463	18	23.7%	\$859	104
Marshall	2	15.4%	7	\$416	7	53.8%	\$849	109
Martin	9	16.1%	17	\$363	30	53.6%	\$780	99
Meeker	5	10.4%	17	\$359	19	39.6%	\$791	90
Mille Lacs	19	15.3%	30	\$447	38	30.6%	\$1,000	111
Morrison	8	17.4%	13	\$336	21	45.7%	\$660	76
Mower	14	11.6%	59	\$381	61	50.4%	\$870	98
Murray	3	42.9%	3	*	3	42.9%	*	*
Nicollet	21	21.6%	30	\$502	45	46.4%	\$689	83
Nobles	3	6.5%	16	\$406	25	54.3%	\$880	102
Norman	1	11.1%	3	*	3	33.3%	*	*
Olmsted	82	17.6%	167	\$447	229	49.0%	\$940	108
Otter Tail	17	15.5%	48	\$421	58	52.7%	\$807	107
Pennington	3	8.1%	12	\$239	17	45.9%	\$392	58
Pine	15	14.9%	28	\$493	31	30.7%	\$1,033	128
Pipestone	8	30.8%	11	\$366	16	61.5%	\$748	97
Polk	20	16.0%	48	\$400	63	50.4%	\$959	102

Table 17 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Pope	0	0.0%	2	*	5	29.4%	*	*
Ramsey	632	12.5%	1,185	\$485	1,668	32.9%	\$1,031	112
Red Lake	2	18.2%	5	\$308	6	54.5%	\$605	75
Redwood	5	15.6%	14	\$545	22	68.8%	\$975	103
Renville	7	18.4%	9	\$607	18	47.4%	\$914	101
Rice	21	12.4%	57	\$420	82	48.5%	\$857	103
Rock	2	12.5%	5	\$447	10	62.5%	\$908	108
Roseau	0	0.0%	3	*	3	37.5%	*	*
St. Louis	141	14.2%	330	\$393	418	42.1%	\$834	102
Scott	25	18.2%	49	\$447	63	46.0%	\$852	84
Sherburne	13	12.5%	35	\$393	47	45.2%	\$819	86
Sibley	4	14.8%	10	\$242	14	51.9%	\$1,135	113
Stearns	50	13.5%	111	\$413	161	43.5%	\$915	103
Steele	7	8.9%	22	\$397	37	46.8%	\$1,017	114
Stevens	0	0.0%	1	*	3	75.0%	*	*
Swift	1	10.0%	3	*	4	40.0%	*	*
Todd	11	18.3%	24	\$296	33	55.0%	\$812	110
Traverse	0	0.0%	3	*	5	71.4%	*	*
Wabasha	6	28.6%	10	\$528	13	61.9%	\$579	98
Wadena	9	14.8%	28	\$342	31	50.8%	\$584	81
Waseca	0	0.0%	19	\$252	27	45.0%	\$644	96
Washington	65	16.4%	124	\$423	183	46.1%	\$908	107
Watonwan	5	23.8%	9	\$516	11	52.4%	\$898	105
Wilkin	1	12.5%	4	*	3	37.5%	*	*
Winona	18	15.1%	50	\$370	58	48.7%	\$932	114
Wright	17	12.5%	34	\$384	51	37.5%	\$855	100
Yellow Medicine	0	0.0%	3	*	6	50.0%	\$747	85

**Table 17 – Page 4**

County/Region/ State	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income						Number of Cases
	Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours	
	Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean	
<b>Northwest</b>	29	13.9%	79	\$371	100	48.1%	\$834	95	208
<b>West Central</b>	235	11.8%	589	\$416	782	39.2%	\$860	106	1,995
<b>Northeast</b>	185	13.8%	433	\$395	557	41.5%	\$825	102	1,343
<b>Central</b>	172	12.5%	415	\$398	570	41.3%	\$887	103	1,381
<b>Southwest</b>	53	17.6%	124	\$445	176	58.5%	\$917	108	301
<b>South Central</b>	99	18.4%	219	\$414	283	52.6%	\$813	98	538
<b>Southeast</b>	207	15.8%	508	\$411	660	50.3%	\$893	104	1,311
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	334	13.0%	716	\$409	1,059	41.1%	\$870	96	2,576
<b>Core Metro</b>	1,552	13.5%	2,902	\$494	3,991	34.8%	\$1,020	111	11,459
<b>Minnesota</b>	2,866	13.6%	5,985	\$450	8,178	38.7%	\$911	106	21,112

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data has been removed to protect individual identities.

**Table 18. December 2005 One-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Extensions, Sanctions, and Child Support Payments, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Extensions		Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Aitkin	1	1.9%	6	11.1%	10	18.5%	\$188
Anoka	140	13.8%	69	6.8%	144	14.2%	\$200
Becker	11	6.3%	16	9.1%	25	14.3%	\$208
Beltrami	16	2.3%	27	3.9%	55	7.9%	\$163
Benton	9	8.3%	13	12.0%	11	10.2%	\$190
Big Stone	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	\$352
Blue Earth	12	7.3%	9	5.5%	21	12.8%	\$205
Brown	2	5.0%	1	2.5%	8	20.0%	\$151
Carlton	6	5.5%	10	9.2%	22	20.2%	\$253
Carver	2	3.1%	8	12.3%	7	10.8%	\$150
Cass	16	8.0%	21	10.6%	25	12.6%	\$157
Chippewa	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	3	12.5%	\$167
Chisago	9	8.0%	20	17.9%	24	21.4%	\$214
Clay	14	7.9%	20	11.2%	26	14.6%	\$190
Clearwater	5	10.0%	6	12.0%	6	12.0%	\$89
Cook	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$0
Cottonwood	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	\$137
Crow Wing	10	5.3%	12	6.3%	34	17.9%	\$155
Dakota	52	6.1%	72	8.5%	118	13.9%	\$198
Dodge	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	5	25.0%	\$355
Douglas	1	1.9%	4	7.4%	7	13.0%	\$162
Faribault	1	4.0%	2	8.0%	7	28.0%	\$214
Fillmore	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	9	24.3%	\$175
Freeborn	3	2.9%	9	8.6%	23	21.9%	\$177
Goodhue	9	7.6%	13	11.0%	22	18.6%	\$231
Grant	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	*
Hennepin	669	10.5%	479	7.5%	644	10.1%	\$173
Houston	1	1.8%	9	16.4%	11	20.0%	\$228
Hubbard	4	8.2%	7	14.3%	5	10.2%	\$178
Isanti	2	2.9%	9	13.0%	13	18.8%	\$250
Itasca	16	13.8%	11	9.5%	19	16.4%	\$219
Jackson	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	7	35.0%	\$314
Kanabec	1	1.9%	6	11.5%	9	17.3%	\$145
Kandiyohi	6	3.4%	22	12.6%	24	13.7%	\$228
Kittson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	*
Koochiching	1	1.7%	6	10.2%	7	11.9%	\$281
Lac Qui Parle	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	*
Lake	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$0
Lake of the Woods	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	\$0
Le Sueur	0	0.0%	4	8.3%	6	12.5%	\$143
Lincoln	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	\$0
Lyon	5	9.4%	4	7.5%	8	15.1%	\$204
McLeod	1	1.8%	6	10.7%	8	14.3%	\$338
Mahnomen	8	10.5%	6	7.9%	4	5.3%	*
Marshall	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%	*
Martin	1	1.8%	12	21.4%	11	19.6%	\$247
Meeker	2	4.2%	4	8.3%	9	18.8%	\$200
Mille Lacs	4	3.2%	10	8.1%	6	4.8%	\$166



Table 18 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Extensions		Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
Morrison	0	0.0%	5	10.9%	14	30.4%	\$142
Mower	2	1.7%	18	14.9%	19	15.7%	\$232
Murray	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	*
Nicollet	3	3.1%	4	4.1%	24	24.7%	\$271
Nobles	2	4.3%	6	13.0%	11	23.9%	\$280
Norman	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	*
Olmsted	8	1.7%	32	6.9%	69	14.8%	\$200
Otter Tail	1	0.9%	8	7.3%	15	13.6%	\$200
Pennington	1	2.7%	4	10.8%	7	18.9%	\$116
Pine	3	3.0%	16	15.8%	11	10.9%	\$223
Pipestone	1	3.8%	4	15.4%	4	15.4%	*
Polk	13	10.4%	8	6.4%	35	28.0%	\$209
Pope	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	4	23.5%	*
Ramsey	996	19.7%	210	4.1%	551	10.9%	\$194
Red Lake	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	*
Redwood	2	6.3%	4	12.5%	5	15.6%	\$205
Renville	4	10.5%	3	7.9%	4	10.5%	*
Rice	3	1.8%	19	11.2%	19	11.2%	\$229
Rock	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	18.8%	*
Roseau	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	*
St. Louis	92	9.3%	99	10.0%	163	16.4%	\$169
Scott	13	9.5%	17	12.4%	17	12.4%	\$225
Sherburne	3	2.9%	25	24.0%	13	12.5%	\$275
Sibley	0	0.0%	2	7.4%	6	22.2%	\$127
Stearns	20	5.4%	23	6.2%	50	13.5%	\$179
Steele	3	3.8%	9	11.4%	15	19.0%	\$177
Stevens	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	*
Swift	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	*
Todd	0	0.0%	12	20.0%	11	18.3%	\$211
Traverse	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	*
Wabasha	0	0.0%	3	14.3%	3	14.3%	*
Wadena	1	1.6%	6	9.8%	11	18.0%	\$236
Waseca	6	10.0%	6	10.0%	10	16.7%	\$103
Washington	24	6.0%	31	7.8%	78	19.6%	\$214
Watsonwan	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	*
Wilkin	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	*
Winona	9	7.6%	13	10.9%	23	19.3%	\$173
Wright	3	2.2%	22	16.2%	17	12.5%	\$216
Yellow Medicine	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	*
Northwest	17	8.2%	18	8.7%	53	25.5%	\$195
West Central	90	4.5%	155	7.8%	249	12.5%	\$178
Northeast	118	8.8%	132	9.8%	221	16.5%	\$188
Central	58	4.2%	159	11.5%	175	12.7%	\$206
Southwest	17	5.6%	22	7.3%	55	18.3%	\$238
South Central	25	4.6%	41	7.6%	94	17.5%	\$207
Southeast	39	3.0%	129	9.8%	218	16.6%	\$208
Metro Suburban	240	9.3%	217	8.4%	388	15.1%	\$203
Core Metro	1,665	14.5%	689	6.0%	1,195	10.4%	\$183
Minnesota	2,269	10.7%	1,562	7.4%	2,648	12.5%	\$192

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual identities.

**Table 19. December 2005 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases with Months of Family Assistance, Counted Months, and New MFIP Cases in 2005, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Aitkin	20	35	5	25.0%	26	3	15.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%
Anoka	179	26	16	8.9%	23	22	12.3%	49	27.4%	10	5.6%
Becker	32	25	3	9.4%	24	4	12.5%	9	28.1%	0	0.0%
Beltrami	200	44	62	31.0%	17	17	8.5%	32	16.0%	*	
Benton	19	47	8	42.1%	34	3	15.8%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%
Big Stone	5	27	0	0.0%	31	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Blue Earth	53	32	5	9.4%	28	8	15.1%	14	26.4%	8	15.1%
Brown	13	25	1	7.7%	18	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	*	
Carlton	24	28	2	8.3%	21	2	8.3%	4	16.7%	*	
Carver	5	24	1	20.0%	18	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	*	
Cass	53	32	8	15.1%	22	6	11.3%	9	17.0%	0	0.0%
Chippewa	5	20	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%
Chisago	19	18	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	9	47.4%	0	0.0%
Clay	37	30	7	18.9%	24	8	21.6%	11	29.7%	5	13.5%
Clearwater	9	38	1	11.1%	30	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%
Cook	0	0	0	0.0%	16	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cottonwood	9	15	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	0	0.0%
Crow Wing	31	29	4	12.9%	24	5	16.1%	9	29.0%	0	0.0%
Dakota	97	31	16	16.5%	5	15	15.5%	28	28.9%	*	
Dodge	2	8	0	0.0%	20	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	*	
Douglas	16	27	1	6.3%	26	2	12.5%	5	31.3%	*	
Faribault	3	34	1	33.3%	11	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
Fillmore	9	18	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	*	
Freeborn	25	24	0	0.0%	12	2	8.0%	5	20.0%	*	
Goodhue	13	13	0	0.0%	29	1	7.7%	5	38.5%	*	
Grant	1	68	1	100.0%	24	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hennepin	832	32	146	17.5%	13	127	15.3%	268	32.2%	79	9.5%
Houston	12	15	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	6	50.0%	*	
Hubbard	18	27	1	5.6%	23	2	11.1%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%
Isanti	15	28	2	13.3%	23	2	13.3%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%

Table 19 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Itasca	38	30	6	15.8%	22	5	13.2%	12	31.6%	0	0.0%
Jackson	3	18	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Kanabec	13	33	1	7.7%	20	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%
Kandiyohi	36	23	3	8.3%	17	2	5.6%	8	22.2%	0	0.0%
Kittson	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Koochiching	20	39	3	15.0%	29	3	15.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%
Lac Qui Parle	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	3	38	1	33.3%	40	2	66.7%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%
Lake of the Woods	2	10	0	0.0%	10	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Le Sueur	11	27	1	9.1%	20	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lyon	14	20	0	0.0%	16	0	0.0%	7	50.0%	*	
McLeod	8	21	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%
Mahnomen	19	31	3	15.8%	27	5	26.3%	8	42.1%	0	0.0%
Marshall	4	17	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Martin	9	31	1	11.1%	29	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%
Meeker	3	35	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mille Lacs	26	27	4	15.4%	25	6	23.1%	9	34.6%	0	0.0%
Morrison	9	33	1	11.1%	25	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mower	17	22	1	5.9%	23	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%
Murray	1	14	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nicollet	24	25	1	4.2%	18	1	4.2%	8	33.3%	*	
Nobles	9	19	0	0.0%	16	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%
Norman	5	15	0	0.0%	13	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%
Olmsted	88	27	8	9.1%	23	10	11.4%	26	29.5%	8	9.1%
Otter Tail	18	28	4	22.2%	24	2	11.1%	7	38.9%	*	
Pennington	8	31	1	12.5%	23	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pine	28	19	2	7.1%	17	2	7.1%	9	32.1%	*	
Pipestone	7	17	0	0.0%	16	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	*	
Polk	30	20	0	0.0%	20	3	10.0%	10	33.3%	*	

Table 19 – Page 3

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Pope	4	36	0	0.0%	28	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	*	
Ramsey	1091	28	167	15.3%	22	176	16.1%	504	46.2%	148	13.6%
Red Lake	3	30	0	0.0%	15	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Redwood	10	23	1	10.0%	18	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	*	
Renville	12	33	1	8.3%	23	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%
Rice	18	19	1	5.6%	18	2	11.1%	8	44.4%	*	
Rock	6	22	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
Roseau	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
St. Louis	161	35	33	20.5%	27	31	19.3%	34	21.1%	*	
Scott	20	26	2	10.0%	24	4	20.0%	7	35.0%	*	
Sherburne	12	27	0	0.0%	23	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%
Sibley	5	20	6	120.0%	13	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%
Stearns	52	33	0	0.0%	26	5	9.6%	10	19.2%	*	
Steele	18	19	1	5.6%	14	1	5.6%	7	38.9%	*	
Stevens	1	55	0	0.0%	52	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Swift	4	50	1	25.0%	37	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Todd	13	41	4	30.8%	27	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
Traverse	1	32	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wabasha	12	23	1	8.3%	17	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	0	0.0%
Wadena	22	28	2	9.1%	21	0	0.0%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%
Waseca	11	30	2	18.2%	23	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%
Washington	55	32	10	18.2%	25	7	12.7%	11	20.0%	*	
Watsonwan	5	17	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	*	
Wilkin	3	13	0	0.0%	8	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	*	
Winona	10	40	3	30.0%	28	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	*	
Wright	13	24	1	7.7%	22	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%
Yellow Medicine	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 19 – Page 4

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Active Months 1997-2005			Counted Months			New Cases in 2005			
		Mean	Over 60 Months		Mean	Over 48 Months		Total		Out-of-State	
			Count	Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Northwest	50	21	1	2.0%	19	4	8.0%	14	28.0%	*	
West Central	489	36	102	20.9%	21	55	11.2%	103	21.1%	11	2.2%
Northeast	266	34	50	18.8%	26	46	17.3%	57	21.4%	*	
Central	237	29	28	11.8%	22	24	10.1%	54	22.8%	*	
Southwest	73	21	2	2.7%	19	3	4.1%	28	38.4%	7	9.6%
South Central	134	28	12	9.0%	23	15	11.2%	38	28.4%	13	9.7%
Southeast	224	24	15	6.7%	20	3	1.3%	74	33.0%	21	9.4%
Metro Suburban	375	28	45	12.0%	23	49	13.1%	105	28.0%	17	4.5%
Core Metro	1,923	30	313	16.3%	23	303	15.8%	772	40.1%	227	11.8%
<b>Minnesota</b>	3,771	30	568	15.1%	23	522	13.8%	1,245	33.0%	305	8.1%

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual identities.

**Table 20. December 2005 Two-eligible-adult MFIP Cases Receiving Food-only Assistance, Budgeted Earnings, Working Adults, Earned Income, and Monthly Work Hours, by County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Aitkin	20	1	5.0%	6	\$315	8	40.0%	\$983	114
Anoka	179	26	14.5%	83	\$544	101	56.4%	\$1,118	129
Becker	32	5	15.6%	14	\$480	19	59.4%	\$1,075	131
Beltrami	200	25	12.5%	85	\$564	102	51.0%	\$1,293	152
Benton	19	2	10.5%	14	\$546	14	73.7%	\$1,654	173
Big Stone	5	1	20.0%	2	*	2	40.0%	*	*
Blue Earth	53	16	30.2%	28	\$639	36	67.9%	\$1,210	138
Brown	13	6	46.2%	12	\$602	11	84.6%	\$1,360	151
Carlton	24	5	20.8%	12	\$521	14	58.3%	\$1,273	148
Carver	5	1	20.0%	2	*	4	80.0%	*	*
Cass	53	7	13.2%	23	\$569	26	49.1%	\$1,269	142
Chippewa	5	0	0.0%	4	*	3	60.0%	*	*
Chisago	19	0	0.0%	7	\$296	11	57.9%	\$782	117
Clay	37	5	13.5%	18	\$569	21	56.8%	\$1,185	137
Clearwater	9	0	0.0%	3	*	3	33.3%	*	*
Cook	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Cottonwood	9	2	22.2%	6	\$496	6	66.7%	\$898	117
Crow Wing	31	3	9.7%	12	\$469	20	64.5%	\$1,077	119
Dakota	97	9	9.3%	40	\$462	53	54.6%	\$1,331	147
Dodge	2	0	0.0%	0	\$0	2	100.0%	*	*
Douglas	16	3	18.8%	8	\$394	7	43.8%	\$1,330	168
Faribault	3	0	0.0%	1	*	0	0.0%	*	*
Fillmore	9	0	0.0%	3	*	5	55.6%	*	*
Freeborn	25	4	16.0%	14	\$662	14	56.0%	\$1,368	164
Goodhue	13	1	7.7%	7	\$428	6	46.2%	\$822	91
Grant	1	1	100.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Hennepin	832	189	22.7%	395	\$681	462	55.5%	\$1,376	142
Houston	12	1	8.3%	6	\$367	7	58.3%	\$1,659	184
Hubbard	18	2	11.1%	8	\$464	9	50.0%	\$978	121
Isanti	15	1	6.7%	6	\$293	8	53.3%	\$1,433	134

Table 20 – Page 2

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
<b>Itasca</b>	38	5	13.2%	18	\$393	19	50.0%	\$932	120
<b>Jackson</b>	3	2	66.7%	2	*	2	66.7%	*	*
<b>Kanabec</b>	13	3	23.1%	8	\$562	10	76.9%	\$1,090	116
<b>Kandiyohi</b>	36	5	13.9%	22	\$360	25	69.4%	\$1,351	162
<b>Kittson</b>	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
<b>Koochiching</b>	20	3	15.0%	11	\$449	13	65.0%	\$1,212	160
<b>Lac Qui Parle</b>	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
<b>Lake</b>	3	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
<b>Lake of the Woods</b>	2	0	0.0%	2	*	2	100.0%	*	*
<b>Le Sueur</b>	11	4	36.4%	7	\$492	8	72.7%	\$992	113
<b>Lincoln</b>	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
<b>Lyon</b>	14	5	35.7%	10	\$616	9	64.3%	\$1,414	171
<b>McLeod</b>	8	2	25.0%	6	\$577	7	87.5%	\$1,293	117
<b>Mahnomen</b>	19	1	5.3%	4	*	8	42.1%	\$986	113
<b>Marshall</b>	4	0	0.0%	0	\$0	2	50.0%	*	*
<b>Martin</b>	9	1	11.1%	8	\$559	8	88.9%	\$1,497	191
<b>Meeker</b>	3	1	33.3%	2	*	2	66.7%	*	*
<b>Mille Lacs</b>	26	1	3.8%	8	\$341	12	46.2%	\$758	68
<b>Morrison</b>	9	1	11.1%	6	\$557	7	77.8%	\$1,612	208
<b>Mower</b>	17	5	29.4%	10	\$593	12	70.6%	\$1,355	168
<b>Murray</b>	1	0	0.0%	1	*	1	100.0%	*	*
<b>Nicollet</b>	24	7	29.2%	16	\$549	16	66.7%	\$1,006	133
<b>Nobles</b>	9	1	11.1%	4	*	6	66.7%	\$848	106
<b>Norman</b>	5	1	20.0%	3	*	4	80.0%	*	*
<b>Olmsted</b>	88	23	26.1%	53	\$638	65	73.9%	\$1,320	148
<b>Otter Tail</b>	18	4	22.2%	12	\$608	12	66.7%	\$1,340	131
<b>Pennington</b>	8	0	0.0%	3	*	4	50.0%	*	*
<b>Pine</b>	28	4	14.3%	15	\$450	17	60.7%	\$1,172	134
<b>Pipestone</b>	7	1	14.3%	5	\$634	5	71.4%	\$837	110
<b>Polk</b>	30	3	10.0%	15	\$424	21	70.0%	\$925	122

**Table 20 – Page 3**

County/Region/ State	Number of Cases	Welfare		Monthly Work and Income					
		Food-only MFIP		Budgeted Earnings		Working		Income	Work Hours
		Count	Percent	Count	Mean	Count	Percent	Mean	Mean
Pope	4	1	25.0%	1	*	1	25.0%	*	*
Ramsey	1091	154	14.1%	354	\$628	429	39.3%	\$1,333	144
Red Lake	3	0	0.0%	1	*	3	100.0%	*	*
Redwood	10	1	10.0%	3	*	4	40.0%	*	*
Renville	12	1	8.3%	4	*	7	58.3%	\$1,261	132
Rice	18	5	27.8%	11	\$638	11	61.1%	\$1,498	166
Rock	6	0	0.0%	2	*	3	50.0%	*	*
Roseau	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
St. Louis	161	31	19.3%	87	\$557	103	64.0%	\$1,187	139
Scott	20	4	20.0%	9	\$586	12	60.0%	\$1,483	118
Sherburne	12	2	16.7%	5	\$434	3	25.0%	\$1,130	121
Sibley	5	1	20.0%	3	*	4	80.0%	*	*
Stearns	52	10	19.2%	22	\$649	30	57.7%	\$1,143	118
Steele	18	3	16.7%	11	\$516	12	66.7%	\$1,528	165
Stevens	1	1	100.0%	1	*	1	100.0%	*	*
Swift	4	0	0.0%	0	\$0	2	50.0%	*	*
Todd	13	1	7.7%	9	\$407	10	76.9%	\$1,032	130
Traverse	1	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Wabasha	12	2	16.7%	6	\$553	9	75.0%	\$1,055	117
Wadena	22	5	22.7%	13	\$559	15	68.2%	\$984	113
Waseca	11	1	9.1%	6	\$450	8	72.7%	\$676	78
Washington	55	13	23.6%	28	\$594	39	70.9%	\$1,366	126
Watsonwan	5	1	20.0%	2	*	2	40.0%	*	*
Wilkin	3	1	33.3%	1	*	1	33.3%	*	*
Winona	10	5	50.0%	8	\$689	8	80.0%	\$1,288	155
Wright	13	4	30.8%	8	\$483	8	61.5%	\$1,477	157
Yellow Medicine	0	0	0.0%	0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0
Northwest	50	4	8.0%	22	\$443	34	68.0%	\$1,015	131
West Central	489	66	13.5%	220	\$541	264	54.0%	\$1,206	141
Northeast	266	45	16.9%	134	\$512	157	59.0%	\$1,155	138
Central	237	36	15.2%	120	\$487	143	60.3%	\$1,231	131
Southwest	73	13	17.8%	39	\$525	43	58.9%	\$1,046	133
South Central	134	37	27.6%	83	\$574	93	69.4%	\$1,125	134
Southeast	224	49	21.9%	129	\$594	151	67.4%	\$1,301	148
Metro Suburban	375	53	14.1%	169	\$523	220	58.7%	\$1,220	131
Core Metro	1,923	343	17.8%	749	\$656	891	46.3%	\$1,356	143
Minnesota	3,771	646	17.1%	1,665	\$589	1,996	52.9%	\$1,269	140

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect private information.



**Table 21. December 2005 MFIP Two-eligible-adult Cases with Extensions, Sanctions, and Child Support Payments, by Large County and Region**

County/Region/ State	Extensions		Sanctions		Child Support: Current Payments		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Median
<b>Anoka</b>	7	3.9%	23	12.8%	7	3.9%	\$300
<b>Beltrami</b>	2	1.0%	7	3.5%	5	2.5%	\$224
<b>Dakota</b>	6	6.2%	9	9.3%	*		*
<b>Hennepin</b>	29	3.5%	60	7.2%	17	2.0%	\$187
<b>Olmsted</b>	3	3.4%	11	12.5%	0	0.0%	\$0
<b>Ramsey</b>	102	9.3%	46	4.2%	23	2.1%	\$142
<b>St. Louis</b>	11	6.8%	19	11.8%	12	7.5%	\$139
<b>Washington</b>	2	3.6%	8	14.5%	5	9.1%	\$90
<b>All Other Counties</b>	30	2.8%	145	13.6%	63	5.9%	\$192
<b>Northwest</b>	0	0.0%	10	20.0%	5	10.0%	\$192
<b>West Central</b>	9	1.8%	41	8.4%	20	4.1%	\$212
<b>Northeast</b>	17	6.4%	32	12.0%	22	8.3%	\$141
<b>Central</b>	8	3.4%	31	13.1%	12	5.1%	\$161
<b>Southwest</b>	2	2.7%	11	15.1%	6	8.2%	\$179
<b>South Central</b>	3	2.2%	15	11.2%	*		*
<b>Southeast</b>	6	2.7%	29	12.9%	7	3.1%	\$279
<b>Metro Suburban</b>	16	4.3%	53	14.1%	19	5.1%	\$162
<b>Core Metro</b>	131	6.8%	106	5.5%	40	2.1%	\$163
<b>Minnesota</b>	192	5.1%	328	8.7%	134	3.6%	\$180

\* For cells with fewer than 5 cases, data have been removed to protect individual identities.